

Q: In regards to Bridge No. LAK-2-0760 L&R, we have the following question. On page 1603/1679, Section A-A shows the existing porous backfill to be removed. However, pages 1604/1679 and 1605/1679 show the limits of the porous backfill with filter fabric ending at approximately 12' LT/RT of CL. Also, Section B-B on page 1606/1679 notes the existing 2'-0" +/- of porous backfill to remain. Will the Department please clarify if the existing porous backfill beyond 12' LT/RT of CL is to be removed or retained.

A: Section A-A, on sheet 1603, the porous backfill is to REMAIN instead being removed.

Q: Ref. 103- 36" Conduit, Type B: Plan sheet 898 calls this out as Type C conduit and not Type B. Please verify which this should be since this is outside of the paved area. Also, drainage subsummary on plan sheet 850 calls for 1' of 36" B on Lost Nation Rd. sta. 69+42L. Is this a mistake?

A: 20' of 36" Conduit, Type C was added to this addendum. The 1' of 36", Type B was in the wrong column. 1 each Catch Basin 2-2B was added to this addendum.

Q: Ref. 102- 36" Conduit, Type A: Plan sheet 860 specifies this pipe for two of the sections to be 706.02, D-2750. Is pipe limited to this or is open to all applicable type A pipes?

A: The pipe is limited to 706.02, D-2250. The item has been added to this addendum.

Q: Also, plan sheet 856 shows 211' of this item which crosses the SR 2 mainline at station 450+95. Plan sheet 115 in the MOT plans shows this as being "bored or jacked under pavement". Given that this section of pavement must be built in three phases (1B, 1C, 1D), it will be impossible to properly maintain flow given that an adjacent 36" pipe must also be removed in these three phases. Also note that there is no quantity setup for removal of existing 36" pipe at this location. Please review this and revise in an addendum as there is no bid item for 36" pipe bored or jacked as well as for the adjacent removal.

A: The proposed pipe has been offset 10' from the existing pipe so the flow can be maintained. See addendum #10 for changes to the MOT.

Q: Addendum No.9 made significant modifications and additions to the concrete barrier wall items. Please provide the revised Roadway Subsummary sheets for these added items and also the revised items.

A: Revised plan sheets containing subsummaries are linked to this addendum.

Q: Ref. 89- 15" Conduit, Type B- Plan sheet 880, references D-5 and D-6 call for 15" B in drainage subsummary which is then carried to general summary. Plan sheets 330-332 and 881 show these as both 18" B. Please clarify this plan conflict in an addendum.

A: The quantities were placed in the incorrect column on the sub-summary sheet, but the drainage plan and profile are correct. 500' was deducted from the 15" conduit, type B quantity and 500' was added to the 18" conduit, type B in this addendum.

Q: Ref. 89, 12" Conduit, Type B: plan sheet 881, ref. D-15 and plan sheet 884, ref. D-13. Subsummary says these are type B conduits, however cross-sections specify these as type C and both runs are outside of pavement. Please clarify whether type B or type C in an addendum.

A: The quantities for D-15 on sheet 881, and D-13 on sheet 884 were placed in the incorrect columns. 48' of 15" conduit, type C was added, and 48' of 15" conduit, type B was subtracted in this addendum.

Q: Ref. 90- 15" Conduit, Type C. Plan sheet 886, ref. D-9 calls for 62' of 15"C. Cross-sections on plan sheet 911 show this as 15"B, as a good portion of this run of pipe goes under pavement. Please clarify what this should be in an addendum.

A: 62' of 15" Conduit, Type B was added, and 62' of 15" Conduit, Type C was subtracted from this