## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

October 3, 2008

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 1
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum 

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 155 | 442 E 10001 | 16659 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, 12.5 MM, Type A (446), As <br> Per Plan |
| 156 | 442 E 10100 | 20097 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, 19 MM, Type A (446) |
| 160 | 451 E 14000 | 24658 | SY | 9" Reinforced Concrete Pavement |
| 585 | 202 E 35200 | 110 | FT | Pipe Removed, Over 24" |
| 597 | 603 E 26200 | 110 | FT | 72" Conduit, Type B |

## Deleted Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 589 | $203 E 10000$ | 83 | CY | Excavation |
| 590 | $203 E 20000$ | 4553 | CY | Embankment |
| 591 | $301 E 46001$ | 75 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22, As Per Plan |
| 592 | 304 E 20000 | 82 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 593 | 448 E 46050 | 21 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64-22 |
| 594 | 448 E 47020 | 15 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |

Added Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1000 | 507 E 98010 | 12 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |
| 1001 | 507 E 98010 | 12 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |
| 1002 | 507 E 98010 | 19 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |
| 1003 | 507 E 98010 | 19 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |
| 1004 | 507 E 98010 | 5 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |
| 1005 | 507 E 98010 | 5 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |
| 1006 | 507 E 98010 | 25 | Each | Piling Misc.: Pile Splice |

## Revise the plan sheet as follows:

Delete the following plan sheets 55, 184, 1316, 1317, 1319, 1320, 1323 and 1326.
Add the following plan sheets 55, 1316, 1317, 1320, 1323, 1319 and 1326.

## Add the following General Note:

The contractor shall be assessed disincentives, as designated in the lane value contract table below, for each unit of time the described critical ramp is restricted from full use by the traveling public within the time period. The disincentives will be assessed for all restrictions of the critical work.

Critical work is shown in the lane value contract table.
Critical work is defined as having the designated sections open to unrestricted traffic as shown in the table, or the entire project if not otherwise listed.

Unrestricted traffic is defined as all traffic lanes being available for use with temporary safety features in place.

| Description or <br> Location of <br> Critical Work | Completion <br> Date | Time Unit | Disincentive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All work needed to re-open SR-91 Ramp A to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-91 Ramp B to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$7000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-640 Ramp A to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-640 Ramp D to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-6401 Ramp E to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-640 Ramp H to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp A to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$6000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp B to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$7500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp E to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp F to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$4500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp I to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$11,500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp K to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$9000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp N to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$13,000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp O to traffic | Day 30 | Day | \$7500/Day |

## All references to the Vine St. culvert (LAK-2-0395) in Phase B, Step 7 shall be disregarded. The following note shall apply.

Vine Street Culvert
Phase A Step 1
The culvert lining of LAK-2-0395 shall be completed in Stage 1, Phase A. All work associated with this work must be done by June 1, 2009.

Vehicular access to the properties south of Lakeland Boulevard via E. 367th must be maintained at all times during construction operations.

The contractor is alerted to the fact that before the temporary excavation of work area number 1 can begin two sections of the existing waterline must be relocated as shown on sheet 1033.

Also note that before the initial stage of the existing 72-inch removal can begin, the existing power pole is to be relocated, by others, as indicated on the plans.

The existing 72-inch RCP that flows into the inlet of the existing 180-inch CMP is in conflict with the temporary excavation required for work area No. 1. The contractor is required to remove and replace 110' of the existing 72-inch RCP.

Note that during construction, the storm water currently flowing through the existing 72-inch RCP must be
maintained. Any temporary diversion of the storm water around work area number 1 is the responsibility of the contractor and the temporary storm water bypass system used by the contractor to divert the storm water is subject to the approval of the engineer. All contractor expenses related to diverting the storm water including the temporary storm water bypass system provided by the contractor is incidental to the construction of the proposed 72-inch RCP.

## Answer to pre-bid question:

Q: Could you please provide the "office calcs" for the bituminous asphalt pavement items listed in the general summary.

A: The "office calculations" are linked to this addendum.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

October 21, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 2
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.
The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^0]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum 

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 513 | $509 E 10001$ | 109,168 | LB | Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel, As Per Plan |
| 553 | $509 E 10001$ | 109,627 | LB | Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel, As Per Plan |
| 834 | $509 E 10001$ | 53,632 | LB | Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel, As Per Plan |
| 854 | $509 E 10001$ | 53,458 | LB | Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel, As Per Plan |
| 931 | $606 E 10310$ | 126,752 | SF | Special - Noise Barrier (Absorptive), Over 10' to 14’ <br> Height |
| 936 | $606 E 10920$ | 4391 | SF | Special - Noise Barrier, Misc.: Structure Mounted TL-4 <br> Noise Barrier |
| 944 | $619 E 16021$ | 36 | MNTH | Field Office, Type C, As Per Plan |

Replace all notes in the plans referring to Item 511 - Class HP Concrete with the following note:

## Item 511 - Class HP Concrete, Bridge Deck, As Per Plan

Item 511 - Class HP Concrete, Bridge Deck (Parapet), As Per Plan
Item 511 - Class HP Concrete, Substructure, As Per Plan
Item 511 - Class HP Concrete, Superstructure, As Per Plan
Item 511 - Class HP Concrete, Sidewalk, As Per Plan
Item 511 - Class HP Concrete, Test Slab

## General Requirements:

The provisions of Item 511 shall apply except as noted below.

## Mix Options:

All superstructure, bridge deck, sidewalk, parapet, median barrier and approach slab concrete shall be this mix, as modified by Table A. All other structure concrete shall be this mix, as modified by Table B.

The following proportions will be used as a starting mix design:

Table A
Quantities per Cubic Yard
Aggregates (SSD)

| HP4, AS PER PLAN (GGBF SLAG + MICROSILICA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate <br> Type | Fine <br> Aggre. <br> (LB) | \#8 <br> Coarse <br> Aggre. <br> (LB)* | \#57 <br> Coarse <br> Aggre. <br> (LB) | Cement <br> Conten <br> t <br> (LB) | GGBF <br> Slag <br> (LB) | Micro <br> - <br> Silica <br> (LB) | W/CM <br> Ratio <br> $\pm .01$ | Air <br> Conten <br> t <br> $\pm 2 \%$ |
| Gravel | 1245 | 360 | 1315 | 400 | 170 | 30 | 0.43 | 7 |
| Limestone | 1245 | 360 | 1335 | 400 | 170 | 30 | 0.43 | 7 |
| Slag | 1245 | 315 | 1155 | 400 | 170 | 30 | 0.43 | 7 |

Table B
Quantities per Cubic Yard
Aggregates (SSD)

| HP2, AS PER PLAN (GGBF SLAG WITHOUT MICROSILICA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate <br> Type | Fine Aggre. (LB) | \#8 Coarse Aggre. (LB)* | \#57 <br> Coarse <br> Aggre. <br> (LB) | Cement Conten t (LB) | $\begin{gathered} \text { GGBF } \\ \text { Slag } \\ \text { (LB) } \end{gathered}$ | Micro <br> Silica <br> (LB) | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/CM } \\ \text { Ratio } \\ \pm .01 \end{gathered}$ | Air <br> Conten <br> $t$ <br> $\pm 2 \%$ |
| avel | 1245 | 360 | 1315 | 430 | 170 | 0 | 0.43 | 7 |
| Limeston | 1245 | 360 | 1335 | 430 | 170 | 0 | 0.43 | 7 |
| Sag | 245 | 15 | 1155 | 430 | 170 | 0 | 0.4 | 7 |

*All coarse aggregate shall have an absorption of $1.00 \%$ or greater as defined per ASTM C127.
The weights specified in the concrete table were calculated for materials of the following bulk specific gravities (SSD): natural sand and gravel 2.62, limestone sand 2.68 , limestone 2.65 , slag 2.30 , fly ash 2.65 , GGBF slag 2.90, micro-silica solids 2.20, and Portland cement 3.15. For aggregates of specific gravities differing more than plus or minus 0.02 from these, the weights in the table will be corrected.

## Parapet Construction (Formed and Poured):

Forms shall not be removed until at least 2 hours after the final set. Determination of the final set shall be as per ASTM C266 (Gillmore Needle). Testing shall be performed by the Contractor at no cost to the State.

The minimum concrete slump during the placement of formed concrete parapets shall be 6 inches, with a maximum slump of 8 inches.

## Parapet Construction (Slip Formed):

Slip forming parapet is prohibited.

## Basis of Payment

Payment for the above completed and accepted quantities will be made at the contract bid price for:

## Item Units Description

511E50001 Cu yd Class HP Concrete, Bridge Deck, As Per Plan
511E50101 Cu yd Class HP Concrete, Bridge Deck (Parapet), As Per Plan

511E50201 Cu yd Class HP Concrete, Substructure, As Per Plan
511E51001 Cu yd Class HP Concrete, Superstructure, As Per Plan
511E51501 Cu yd Class HP Concrete, Sidewalk, As Per Plan
511E52000 Lump Class HP Concrete, Test Slab

## Ohio Department of Transportation
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October 27, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 3
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^1]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

## Proposal Addendum

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 204 E 13000 | 14,204 | CY | Excavation of Subgrade |

Answer to Prebid Question:
Q: There appears to be a duplication of quantity for the undercut at retaining wall \#3. Ref \# 22 "excavation of subgrade" includes approximately 7200 cyds of undercut at wall \#3 as shown on cross section sheets 358 to 361 . Ref \# 480 "unclassified excavation, app" at wall \#3 has a quantity of 5140 cyds for undercut excavation in the same location. See detail on plan page 965. Please review this duplication and decide which bid item will be utilized for the undercut at wall \#3 and make the appropriate quantity revisions to the proposal.

A: Ref \# 22, Item 204 - Excavation of Subgrade has been decreased by a quantity of 4513 cy. Item 503 (Ref \#480) should be utilized.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

October 28, 2008

Re: Project $080597 \quad$ Addendum No. 4
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

## Proposal Addendum

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597
Revise the following general notes:
On sheet 53A:

## LONGITUDIANL BUTT JOINTS

```
LONGITUDINAL BUTT JOINTS ARE REQUIRED ALONG AREAS WHERE
TRAFFIC WILL CROSS FROM OLD TO NEW PAVEMENT. WHERE THE OLD
PAVEMENT IS LOW,ITEM 6I4 - ASPHALT FOR MAINTAINING TRAFFIC
SHALL BE PLACED. IF THE OLD PAVEMENT IS HIGHER, MILLING SHALL
BE PERFORMED. THE TAPER RATE SHALL BE l"OM 2' OR FLATTER.
THE FOLLOWING ITEMS SHALL BE USED FOR THIS PURPOSE:
ITEM 254 - PAVEMENT PLANING, ASPHALT CONCRETE 3,000 SQ. YD.
ITEM 614 - ASPHALT FOR MAINTAINING TRAFFIC 50 CU. YD.
```

The fifth line should read: "BE PERFORMED. THE TAPER RATE SHALL BE 1" PER 10' OR FLATTER".

Also on sheet 53A:

## maintaining traffic and seouence of operations

all asphal $T$ CONCRETE OPERATIONS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN A MANNER THAT WILL ASSURE MINIMUM DANGER AND INCONVENIENCE TO THE HIGHWAY USERS. ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED AT THE TIMES PROVIDED IN THE "SCHEDULE OF THROUGH LANES TO BE maintained." THE PROCEDURE FOR THE REMOVAL OR PLACEMENT OF ANY EXISTING OR PROPOSED ASPHALT COURSE SHALL BE SUCH THAT NO GREATER THAN R/2" DISCONTINUITY IN THE elevation of the traveled surface shall be exposed to TRAFFIC.

The seventh line should read: "SUCH THAT NO GREATER THAN $1 ½$ " DISCONTINUITY IN THE."
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November 5, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 5
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^2]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

## Proposal Addendum

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 423 | 614 E 12756 | 3 | Each | Work Zone Crossover Lighting System |
| 206 | 625 E 10500 | 174 | Each | Light Pole Misc.: Design ALM35 |

## Please revise the proposal note:

"PN 090-7/21/2006 - Work Type Codes and Descriptions" the third paragraph shall read: ‘Listed below are the work types for this proposal. In accordance with Ohio Law, a bidder must possess work types, and perform work equal to at least forty percent of the total amount of the submitted bid price."

Prebid Questions from prebid meeting 10/30/08:
Q: There is discrepancy in the crossover lighting quantity.
A: The crossover lighting quantity has been revised to 3 in addendum \#5.
Q: Does ODOT want to keep the temporary lighting in the plans?
A: Yes, for safety reasons.
Q: It appears that there is not enough quantity of temporary signals.
A: The only actual temporary signal installation is at the EB exit ramp at Vine Street, all others are changes to phasing, timing or head locations covered under the maintenance of traffic signal/flasher installation note on sheet 51.

Q: Does ODOT want break away poles on top of the median barrier?
A: No. The poles are called out correctly on the plan sheets, but the description was incorrect in the lighting general summary. See addendum \#5.

## Ohio Department of Transportation
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November 6, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 6
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, go to the following ODOT web address:

## ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 204 E 10000 | 35411 | SY | Subgrade Compaction |
| 150 | 302 E 46000 | 124532 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 151 | 304 E 20000 | 74870 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 152 | 407 E 10000 | 41859 | GAL | Tack Coat |
| 153 | 407 E 14000 | 20671 | GAL | Tack Coat for Intermediate Course |
| 154 | 408 E 10000 | 171245 | GAL | Prime Coat |
| 156 | 442 E 10100 | 19436 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, 19 MM, Type A, As |
| Per Plan (446) |  |  |  |  |

Added Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> $y$ | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1007 | $446 E 46050$ | 661 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64-22 |
| 1008 | 448 E 47020 | 472 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |

Deleted Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> $y$ | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324 | $625 E 30720$ | 2 | Each | Pull Box, 725.08, 36" |
| 598 | $604 E 31500$ | 1 | Each | Manhole, No. 3 |

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: Bid reference 3242 Ea Pull Box, 725.08, 36". After review of the plan sheets and the general notes I have been unable to locate a detail for this pull box. Will the engineer provide a detail so the pull box can be fabricated.
A: The pull boxes have been revised to 24 " in this addendum.
Q: GPD calculations recently provided appear to be grossly overstated. Please verify quantities on Item 302 Asphalt Concrete Base, Item 407 Tack Coat, Item 408 Prime Coat, and Item 442 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course 12.5 mm .
A: The quantities have been adjusted in this addendum.
Q: GPD calculations recently provided for ramps at SR 306 and SR 91 show quantities for 1.75 inches of Item 446 Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course PG 64-22 and 1.25 inches of Item 446 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course PG 64-22. Typical sections for these areas show them receiving 1.75 inches Item 44219 mm and 1.5 inches Item 44212.5 mm . What is the Departments intent for these ramps?
A: The typical sections are correct. The quantities have been adjusted in this addendum.
Q: GPD calculations recently provided for side roads on SR 91 and SR 306 show quantities for Item 446 Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course PG 64-22 and Item 446 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course PG

64-22. Typical sections for these areas show them receiving Item 446 Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course PG 64-22 and Item 448 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course PG 64-22. These quantities seem to be included in Item 442 19mm and Item 44212.5 mm for payment. What is the Departments intent for these side road areas?
A: Revised pavement calculations have been linked, and the quantities have been corrected in this addendum. The typical sections are correct.

Q: Ref. 598 "Manhole \#3-1 Each"- this manhole is covered under subsummary for LAK-2-0395. Plan sheet 1319 shows it in the estimated summary. However, cannot find this manhole anywhere on plan sheets 1316-1326. Please provide information as to where this manhole is located.
A: This item has been deleted in this addendum.

THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

November 13, 2008

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 7
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 206 E 11000 | 422,965 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 26 | 206 E 15000 | 422,965 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade, 12" Deep |
| 150 | 302 E 46000 | 125,268 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 151 | 304 E 20000 | 75,316 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 152 | 407 E 10000 | 42,123 | GAL | Tack Coat |
| 153 | 407 E 14000 | 20,803 | GAL | Tack Coat for Intermediate Course |
| 154 | 408 E 10000 | 172,317 | GAL | Prime Coat |
| 155 | 442 E 10001 | 16,769 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, 12.5MM, Type A <br> (446), As Per Plan |
| 156 | 442 E 10100 | 19,564 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, 19MM, Type A <br> (446) |
| 160 | 451 E 14000 | 26,357 | SY | 9" Reinforced Concrete Pavement |
| 085 | 603 E 01500 | 6565 | FT | 6" Conduit, Type F |
| 142 | 605 E 13410 | 5390 | FT | 6"Unclassified Pipe Underdrains with Fabric Wrap |

Answers to Prebid Questions:
Q: The quantity given on the pavement calculation sheet in addendum one shows a quantity of 566.3 sy of 9 " concrete pavement on Lakeland Blvd. Calculating the sy of pavement from the two typical sections on sheet 36 of 1679 for Lakeland Blvd. indicates a greater quantity. Please verify.
A: Quantity has been adjusted in this addendum.
Q: For the underdrain items References 0141 and 0143 the item descriptions include "with Fabric Wrap". Should Reference 0142 also include "with Fabric Wrap"?
A: The item has been revised to include fabric wrap in this addendum.
Q: Revised Office Calcs under the worksheet named LNAT Ramps have incorrect summations. Please revise quantities to include the last two rows listed as LN Ramp BF station 203+30 to station 203+80 and station 203+80 to station 207+14.
A: The spreadsheet quantities have been revised and the revised spreadsheets are linked to this addendum.

Q: Note 11 on plan sheet 188 of 1679 states that following removal of 621 RPMs resurfacing of the transition area shall be performed. General summary for this project do not provide quantities for resurfacing existing pavement beyond project limits. Please provide appropriate proposal items for this work.
A: The quantities are shown in the MOT general notes on sheet 50 under Item - 614 Work Zone Pavement Marker, As Per Plan. The quantities are also in the MOT general summary on sheet 57.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

November 14, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 8
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^3]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

## Proposal Addendum

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159 | 448 E 46061 | 1548 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 1, Under <br> Guardrail, PG64-22, As Per Plan |
| 446 | 615 E 20000 | 83,567 | SY | Pavement For Maintaining Traffic, Class A |

Add the following note to the plans:
Removal of temporary pavement for maintaining traffic is included with the provisions of Item 615, unless otherwise specified in the plans.

## Answer to prebid questions:

Q: Ref. 446 and 447 (Pavement for Maintaining Traffic, Types A and B)- will ODOT please allow contractor at their own option to substitute additional 2" of 302 asphalt in lieu of 4 " 304 stone as opposed to Value Engineering after the bid?
A: This is an acceptable alternative providing it doesn't add any cost to the project.
Q: Ref. 446- Pavement for Maintaining Traffic, Class A: Quantities on subsummary sheet 67 (1622 sy) were not carried to plan sheet 75 subsummary which in turn was not carried to general summary. Final quantity appears to be understated by this quantity. Please verify and address in an addendum.
A: Quantity has been revised in this addendum
Q: Plan sheets $121,122,167$ and 168 show temporary pavement ramp connectors with the item designated as "Pavement for Maintaining Traffic, Class A". These two sections of temporary pavement appear to run across existing pavements and shoulders. Is the contractor supposed to reconstruct temporary pavement over existing here? Since these two area are paid as temporary pavements, when are they supposed to be constructed? Construction of these will require multiple lane, shoulder, and ramp shifts if they are required to be done prior to Stage 1, Phase C.
A: The existing pavement is higher in these locations. The existing pavement is to be removed under the roadway quantities and temporary pavement is to tie the proposed ramp into the proposed mainline. The time period for construction is during the ramp closure when constructing the mainline pavement on the east side. At the tie in to the proposed mainline pavement the contractor shall place the pavement during the permitted lane closure times to only maintain one lane on SR 2. (weekend or nighttime). The barrier will only be moved once to reopen the ramp.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

November 20, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 9
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: December 5, 2008

Please be advised that the above referenced project has been delayed from the December 5, 2008 letting and is rescheduled to sell on Wednesday, December 17, 2008.

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum. The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 171 | 622 E 10061 | 2534 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B, As Per <br> Plan |
| 172 | 622 E 10100 | 19815 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B1 |
| 173 | 622 E 10160 | 8619 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D |

Added Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1009 | 622 E10200 | 3 | Each | Barrier Transitions |
| 1010 | 622 E24840 | 2 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type B |
| 1011 | 622 E24850 | 1 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type B1 |
| 1012 | 622 E25000 | 6 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type D |
| 1013 | 622 E25020 | 83 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced |
| 1014 | 622 E25050 | 31 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced, Type <br> D |

## ITEM SPECIAL - ASBESTOS ABATEMENT

Two asbestos surveys were conducted on the bridges along the SR-2 within the project area and were completed on June 27, 2006 and July 20, 2006 by a certified asbestos hazard evaluation specialist from HzW Environmental Consultants, Inc. The survey identified the asbestos containing materials at the location listed in the chart below.

## Insert Attached Table

| Bridge | Description | SFN | ACM | Location | Amount to be removed | Amount to remain on Structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 3.63 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Beidler Road over SR-2 | $\begin{gathered} 4300 \\ 483 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Structural <br> Expansion Construction Joint | On the superstructure |  | 128 linear feet |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 3.63 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Beidler Road over SR-2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4300 \\ & 513 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Structural <br> Expansion Construction Joints | On the superstructure |  | 128 linear feet |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ 4.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WB SR-2 bridge over Vinestreet | $\begin{gathered} 4300 \\ 572 \end{gathered}$ | Gasket Material | Under rail posts | 30 square feet |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 4.00 \end{gathered}$ | EB SR-2 bridge over Vinestreet | $\begin{gathered} 4300 \\ 602 \end{gathered}$ | Gasket <br> Material | Under rail posts | 30 square feet |  |



| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 9.55 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WB SR-2 Bridge over SR-615 | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1⁄22-inch <br> Preformed <br> Expansion <br> Joint Filler | On the superstructure |  | 293 square feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 9.55 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EB SR-2 Bridge over SR-615 | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 013 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1⁄22-inch <br> Preformed <br> Expansion <br> Joint Filler | On the superstructure |  | 293 square feet |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ 11.41 \end{array}$ | Hendricks Road Bridge over SR-2 | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ | Caulking Material | Under rail posts | 18 square feet |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ \hline 11.69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WB SR-2 over Heisley Creek | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 145 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rail Gasket Material | On the parapet wall | 4 square feet |  |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ 11.69 \end{array}$ | EB SR-2 over Heisley Creek | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 234 \end{gathered}$ | Rail Gasket Material | On the parapet wall | 4 square feet |  |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ 12.62 \end{array}$ | WB SR-2 over Heisley Creek | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 269 \end{gathered}$ | Caulking Material | Under rail posts | 22 square feet |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { LAK- } \\ \text { 44-6.20 } \end{array}$ | SR-44 Ramp to WB SR-2 | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 323 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rail Gasket Material | On top of parapet wall | 40 square feet |  |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ 13.54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR-2 Ramp to SB- } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 293 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rail Gasket Material | On top of parapet wall | 30 square feet |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LAK-2- } \\ 14.28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Newell Street Bridge over SR-2 | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 358 \end{gathered}$ | Rail Gasket Material | On top of parapet wall | 16 square feet |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 14.76 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB SR-2 over SR- } \\ & 283 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 382 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Electrical Conduits for lighting | In the parapets | $\begin{aligned} & 528 \text { linear } \\ & \text { feet } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 14.76 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB SR-2 over SR- } \\ & 283 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 412 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Electrical Conduits for lighting | In the parapets | $\begin{aligned} & 528 \text { linear } \\ & \text { feet } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 15.17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WB SR-2 over Sanford Street | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 447 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Electrical Conduits for lighting | In the parapets | 181 linear feet |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LAK-2- } \\ 15.17 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EB SR-2 over Sanford Street | $\begin{gathered} 4301 \\ 471 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Electrical Conduits for lighting | In the parapets | 181 linear feet |  |

The removal and disposal of any asbestos containing material during the reconstruction of the bridges must comply with the Ohio Administrative Code, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations, and the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Standards for

Asbestos.

A copy of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) Notification of Demolition and Renovation forms with sections I-VII and XVI completed is included with the bid package. A copy of these form signed by the bridge owner will be provided to the successful bidder. The Contractor will complete sections VIIIXIII of the signed forms and submit the completed forms to the Local Air Authority at least ten (10) days prior to reconstruction of the bridges. The Contractor will provide a copy of the completed form to the Engineer. The Local Air Authority is:

Attn: Bert Mechenbier<br>Lake County<br>Air Pollution Control<br>33 Mill Street<br>Painesville, Ohio 44077

A copy of the Ohio Department of Health notification form is also included with the bid package. A copy of this form must be completed and submitted to the Ohio Department of Health at least ten days (10) prior to the reconstruction of the bridges. The Contractor will provide a copy of the completed forms to the Engineer. The address is:

Ohio Department of Health
Asbestos Program
246 North High Street
P.O. Box 118

Columbus, Ohio4326-0118
The Contractor will provide an individual trained in the provisions of NESHAP that will be on-site during removal of the asbestos containing materials. In addition to the asbestos containing material identified in the Asbestos Survey Report, this individual will also, monitor any additional non-visible asbestos encountered within the project work limits.
The Contractor will furnish all labor, equipment, and materials necessary to complete, submit, and comply with the OEPA Notification form and to remove, transport, and dispose of the materials containing asbestos from within the project work limits. Payment of this work will be included in the bid Lump Sum price Item Special - Asbestos Abatement.

## Item <br> Item Special - Asbestos Abatement

## Unit Lump Sum

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: Bid item 171622 Single Slope Barrier, APP. B-2 on sheets 292-293 and B-1 on sheet 580 is the same piece and is listed twice in the summary tables. Also does there need to be a bid item for Single Slope Barrier APP End Section where abutting the attenuators - what about End Anchors at the ends of some of the runs?
A: The quantities have been revised in this addendum.
Q: The inlet, sign and light foundation wall sections have not been deleted from the total length of the type B, B1 and D barrier wall items.
A: The quantity has been revised in this addendum.
Q: $\quad$ Sheet 261 shows Type D barrier wall for sheet 580 references B-2(56 LF) and B-3(57 LF).

However, sheet 253 indicates that there is 72 LF of Type D wall on sheet 293 for reference B-3 ( 72 LF ). There is a double up of wall, please delete 72 LF from the total quantity.
A: The quantity has been revised in addendum I.
Q: Sheet 286 shows barrier wall B-4 as a type D wall. It should be type B wall. A: Yes you are correct.
A: The quantity has been revised in this addendum.
Q: $\quad$ Sheet 252 shows a quantity of 207 LF for reference B-2 on Sheet 288 . According to sheet 288 this barrier wall run ends at station 392+75 where the guardrail begins. Please verify?
A: On Sheet 252, eliminate B-2, 307’ of Concrete Barrier, Type B, APP. The concrete barrier was converted to guardrail. Also, change the B-4 (sheet 287) on Sheet 252 to be 917' (not 958') of Concrete Barrier, Type B, APP. This accounts for the barrier from Sta. 383+04 to Sta. 392+75. The quantity has been revised in this addendum.

Q: Sheet 255 shows a summary for Type D wall of sheet 254 of 1190 LF. However, looking at sheet 254 for the Type D wall shows a quantity of only 432 LF. Please correct.
A: The quantity has been revised in this addendum. All of the concrete barrier quantities have been corrected in this addendum.

Q: $\quad$ Sheet 858 has a note to reseal the pipe joints in accordance with item 516. Item 516 in the spec book is for expansion and contraction joints joint sealers and bearing devices. What is the intent of this note?
A: Remove the reference to Item 516 from the profile. See the Note \#3 for more details which explains that the cleaning, joining, and sealing of the pipe, is to be done as per Item 603.08.

Q: In regards to reference items 0047, 0048 and 0049 (asbestos abatement) on the LAK-2-3.32 project, we have the following question: On page 46/1679, a takeoff of the summary of the two asbestos surveys (conducted by HZW Environmental) shows a total of 110 SF of asbestos removal and 146 LF of asbestos removal for the bridges within the scope of this project (LAK-2-4.00, LAK-2-4.86 and LAK-2-5.30). However, sheet 46B/1679 shows a total of 6300 SF (REF 0047) and 200 LF (REF 0048). How do the quantities on sheet 46/1679 correlate with the ummaries on sheet $46 B / 1679$ in regards to references 0047 and 0048 . Additionally, what does reference 0049 (asbestos abatement - 1.0 LS) encompass?
A: Ref items 47 and 48 pertain to the quantities on sheet $46 \mathrm{~B} / 1679$ and the special provisionsasbestos inspection report for parcel 9, dated 3/24/08 for the building demolition at 36628 Vine St. Ref item 49 pertains to sheet 47/1679 dealing with asbestos removal for the bridges and is a lump sum pay item. The Asbestos Abatement note on sheet $46 / 1679$ has been revised in this addendum.

Q: Please clarify how the quantities were determined for Ref. 47 and 48. What is this material, and where is it located?
A: There is a general note on sheet $46 B / 1679$ which explains the quantities for reference numbers 47 and 48. The special provisions asbestos inspection report for parcel 9, dated 3/24/08 describes the materials found and where they are located.

Q: The lump sum Asbestos Abatement item (Ref. 49) has notes on plan sheet 46/1679 that are confusing. Several of the structures listed there are not even within the scope of this project. For the structures that are in this project, why are the Square Foot and Linear Foot quantities shown not part of Ref. 47 and 48 ?
A: Ref item 49 refers to the asbestos removal from the bridges which will be paid as a lump sum. The Item Special - Asbestos Abatement note has been revised in this addendum.

Q: Based on the note "REINFORCED END ANCHORAGES" in RM 4.3 page 2 of 2, it would appear that some end anchorages would be required in the Concrete Barrier, Single Slope items (171, 172, and 173). However, we do not find any end anchorge pay items. Please clarify.
A: You are correct. See revisions in this addendum.

THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 11, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 10
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Please be advised that the above referenced project has been delayed from the December 17, 2008 letting and is rescheduled to sell in a special letting scheduled for Wednesday, January 21, 2009.

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum. The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:

## ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

## ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS

 SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

## Proposal Addendum <br> for

## LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597

## General Information for Proposed Alternative Bids for Project Typical Section

The plans and proposal are being changed by this addendum to add Alternate Bid provisions to the proposal to incorporate Item 452 - Non-Reinforced Portland Cement Concrete Pavement as an alternate to the full depth flexible pavement as shown in the plans. Separate typical sections for the PCC alternative have also been provided.

The Contractor will be responsible to supply bids for both alternates. Bid items for PCC specific work will be added (as AA2 items) and the full depth asphalt pavement related items will re-identified as AA1. All nonspecific items will remain as originally shown in the proposal or as revised in previous addenda. Although the pavement build up (thickness) for alternative AA2 is $11 / 2$ " less than alternative AA1, the profile grade, drainage structures and underdrains will be unchanged from sheets 274-297, 450, 451, 468, 469, 482, 488$490,500,501,506,507,512,513,526,532,533,542,543,551,556,561,562,580,581,594,595,616,617$. The cross sections in the plans were developed with alternative AA1 in mind, but earthwork quantity adjustments for alternative AA2 have been incorporated to account for the pavement thickness and edge detail differences.

The contractor is required to submit a Contractor Prepared MOT Plan by bid item for both alternates and implement the MOT plan based on the notes and contract items provided. The maintenance of traffic plans, MOT contract items and quantities that may have been previously viewed are for informational purposes only, or are revised as noted.

All plan references to "maintenance of traffic time frames" other than noted, or specified within this addendum, shall be disregarded.

All requirements of CMS 401 shall apply with the exception of 401.20. An "Asphalt Binder Price Adjustment" will not be provided by the Department, for any of the work provided for within the Bid Documents or any changes during the implementation of the project.

## Alternate AA1:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Alternate <br> Item | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 150 | 302 E 46000 | AA1 | 120116 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 151 | 304 E 20000 | AA1 | 74763 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 152 | 407 E 10000 | AA1 | 40687 | Gal | Tack Coat |
| 153 | 407 E 14000 | AA1 | 20344 | Gal | Tack Coat for Intermediate Course |
| 154 | 408 E 10000 | AA1 | 172035 | Gal | Prime Coat |
| 155 | 442 E 10001 | AA1 | 16370 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, 12.5 MM, <br> Type A (446), As Per Plan |
| 156 | 442 E 10100 | AA1 | 19089 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, 19 MM, <br> Type A (446) |
| 171 | 622 E 10061 | AA1 | 2534 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B, As Per <br> Plan |
| 172 | 622 E 10101 | AA1 | 19815 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B1, As Per |


| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Alternate <br> Item | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 173 | 622 E 10161 | AA1 | 8619 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D, As Per <br> Plan |
| 943 | 614 E 11000 | AA1 | LS | LS | Maintaining Traffic |
| 1009 | 622 E 10200 | AA1 | 3 | Each | Barrier Transition |
| 1010 | 622 E 24840 | AA1 | 2 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type B |
| 1011 | 622 E 24850 | AA1 | 1 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type B1 |
| 1012 | 622 E 25000 | AA1 | 6 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type D |
| 1013 | 622 E 25020 | AA1 | 83 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced |
| 1014 | 622 E 25050 | AA1 | 31 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced, Type <br> D |

## Deleted Items:

| 19 | 203 E 10000 | AA1 | 361191 | CY | Excavation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 20 | 203 E 20000 | AA1 | 279277 | CY | Embankment |
| 24 | 206 E 10500 | AA1 | 12562 | Ton | Cement |
| 25 | 206 E 11000 | AA1 | 422965 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 26 | 206 E 15000 | AA1 | 422965 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade 12" Deep |
| 27 | 206 E 20000 | AA1 | 211 | Hour | Test Rolling |
| 28 | 206 E 30000 | AA1 | LS | LS | Contractor Designed Chemically Stabilized Subgrade |
| 174 | 622 E 90200 | AA1 | 6 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type D |

Added Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Alternate <br> Item | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1015 | 451 E 30000 | AA1 | 178 | FT | Pressure Relief Joint, Type A |
| 1016 | 614 E 18000 | AA1 | 1 | Each | Maintaining Traffic Misc.: <br> Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic <br> Plan |
| 1017 | 618 E 40600 | AA1 | 17.72 | Mile | Rumble Strip, (Asphalt Concrete) |
| 1018 | 203 E 10000 | AA1 | 387194 | CY | Excavation |
| 1019 | 203 E 20000 | AA1 | 279277 | CY | Embankment |
| 1020 | 206 E 10500 | AA1 | 12388 | Ton | Cement |
| 1021 | 206 E 11000 | AA1 | 416280 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 1022 | 206 E 15000 | AA1 | 416280 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade 12" Deep |
| 1023 | 206 E 20000 | AA1 | 209 | Hour | Test Rolling |
| 1024 | 206 E 30000 | AA1 | LS | LS | Contractor Designed Chemically Stabilized <br> Subgrade |

## Alternative AA2:

## Added Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Alternate <br> Item | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1025 | 203E10000 | AA2 | 380099 | CY | Excavation |
| 1026 | $203 E 20000$ | AA2 | 296425 | CY | Embankment |
| 1027 | $206 E 10500$ | AA2 | 12147 | Ton | Cement |


| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Alternate <br> Item | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1028 | 206 E 11000 | AA2 | 408974 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 1029 | 206 E 15000 | AA2 | 408974 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade 12" Deep |
| 1030 | 206 E 20000 | AA2 | 204 | Hour | Test Rolling |
| 1031 | 206 E 30000 | AA2 | LS | LS | Contractor Designed Chemically Stabilized <br> Subgrade |
| 1032 | 304 E 20000 | AA2 | 50332 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 1033 | 451 E 30000 | AA2 | 2028 | FT | Pressure Relief Joint, Type A |
| 1034 | 452 E 17200 | AA2 | 39459 | SY | Non-Reinforced Concrete Pavement, Misc.: <br> $11.5 "$ thickness |
| 1035 | 614 E 18000 | AA2 | 1 | Each | Maintaining Traffic Misc.: <br> Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic <br> Plan |
| 1036 | 618 E 40700 | AA2 | 17.72 | Mile | Rumble Strips, (Concrete) |
| 1037 | 622 E 10061 | AA2 | 2534 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B, As Per <br> Plan |
| 1038 | 622 E 10101 | AA2 | 19815 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B1, As <br> Per Plan |
| 1039 | 622 E 10161 | AA2 | 8619 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D, As Per <br> Plan |
| 1040 | 622 E 10200 | AA2 | 3 | Each | Barrier Transition |
| 1041 | 622 E 24840 | AA2 | 2 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type B |
| 1042 | 622 E 24850 | AA2 | 1 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type B1 |
| 1043 | 622 E 25000 | AA2 | 6 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Section, Type D |
| 1044 | 622 E 25020 | AA2 | 83 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced |
| 1045 | 622 E 25050 | AA2 | 31 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced, <br> Type D |
| 1046 | 614 E 11000 | AA2 | LS | LS | Maintaining Traffic |

## Revise the Note From Addendum \#1 to Read:

The contractor shall be assessed disincentives, as designated in the lane value contract table below, for each unit of time the described critical ramp is restricted from full use by the traveling public within the time period. The disincentives will be assessed for all restrictions of the critical work.

Critical work is shown in the lane value contract table.
Critical work is defined as having the designated sections open to unrestricted traffic as shown in the table, or the entire project if not otherwise listed.

Unrestricted traffic is defined as all traffic lanes being available for use with temporary safety features in place.

| Description or <br> Location of <br> Critical Work | Completion <br> Date | Time Unit | Disincentive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All work needed to re-open SR-91 Ramp A to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-91 Ramp B to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$7000/Day |


| Description or <br> Location of <br> Critical Work | Completion <br> Date | Time Unit | Disincentive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All work needed to re-open SR-640 Ramp A to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-640 Ramp D to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-6401 Ramp E to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-640 Ramp H to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$5000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp A to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$6000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp B to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$7500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp E to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open Lost Nation Ramp F to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$4500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp I to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$11,500/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp K to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$9000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp N to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$13,000/Day |
| All work needed to re-open SR-306 Ramp O to traffic | Day 45 | Day | \$7500/Day |

Add the following Notes:

## Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic, Misc.: Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plans (Asphalt Concrete Alternate) <br> Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic, Misc.: Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plans (Portland Cement Concrete Alternate)

This item of work shall include the preparation of a complete Maintenance of Traffic Plan by the Contractor for each alternate. These plans shall include all necessary phases and details to construct the improvements on SR-2, all ramps, all side streets and all of the bid document work that impacts traffic.

The plans shall be sealed by a Professional Engineer, registered in the State of Ohio and three (3) review sets of 11 " x 17 " plans along with one copy of the electronic images in (.tif format) shall be submitted one month prior to the initial project commencement of work for a compliance and acceptance review by the Department. All Department comments on the plans be shall addressed and resolved prior to the commencement of work. Upon the acceptance of the plan, based on its compliance with the Bid Documents, provide the Department with three (3) copies of the accepted final sets of the 11 " x 17" plans along with one copy of the accepted final electronic images in (.tif format).

The plan shall comply with all current ODOT standard drawings, Traffic Engineering Manual, Ohio Uniform Manual of Traffic Control Devices (OMUTCD) and applicable design guidelines for maintaining traffic.

The contractor prepared Maintenance of Traffic plans shall provide for the following:

1. For SR-2, two lanes in each direction at all times, unless noted.
2. 11' minimum lane width (12' desired) for all lanes maintained.
3. 1 ' minimum offset between edge line and toe of portable concrete barrier ( 1.5 ' offset between edge line and toe of portable concrete barrier desired, as per sheet 189) for all lanes maintained.
4. 1 ' minimum offset between edge line and edge of pavement ( 2 ' desirable) for all lanes maintained.
5. The drop-off treatment detailed in the plans on sheet $189 / 1679$ must be followed.
6. 50 " Portable Concrete Barrier required for SR-2 mainline and ramps. 32" Portable Concrete Barrier will be acceptable on side streets.
7. Crossover Lighting is to be utilized if a crossover is proposed for SR-2. Crossover lighting shall meet all applicable provisions of the OMUTCD and the TEM.
8. Detour routes for ramp reconstruction on sheets $175,176,179,180-182,185$ including details and work items, shall be incorporated into the plan.
9. No consecutive ramps in the eastbound or westbound direction (i.e. EB SR-2 to Lost Nation Rd., Ramp E and EB SR-2 to Reynolds Rd., Ramp K) shall be closed at the same time. Also, at each interchange, a maximum of only two ramps may be closed concurrently.
10. Contractor prepared Maintenance of Traffic plans shall be compatible with the bridge Maintenance of Traffic details in the bridge plans, sheets1272-1618. No changes in the bridge, approach slab or substructure longitudinal cut line will be permitted.
11. Side street reconstruction shall be coordinated with ramp closures and reconstruction.
12. All normal lanes of traffic shall be open to unrestricted traffic from November 1 to March 1.
13. For possible mainline tie-ins between new and old pavement, the maximum grade shall be 1 " in 35 '. For possible ramp tie-ins between new and old pavement, the maximum grade shall be 1 " in 15'.
14. The following revised sheets refer to the asphalt alternative 47-53, 53A including details and work items, shall be incorporated into the plan.
15. The following added sheets refer to the concrete alternative 29A-Q, 56A-H and 188A including details and work items, shall be incorporated into the plan.
16. The following revised sheets refer to both alternatives 89-91, 175, 176, 179, 183, 185-187 including details and work items, shall be incorporated into the plan.
17. The plans shall ensure a reasonable and practical design comparable to conventional ODOT plans, while utilizing cost-effective measures.
18. The contractor prepared MOT plan shall provide for the completion of work by the established completion date within the proposal.
19. Contractor shall maintain positive drainage.
20. In addition to the requirements for the Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic plans, the Contractor will be responsible to supply the Department a jointing plan for the concrete pavement alternative. These jointing plan details will only be required outside of the normal standard drawing applications (i.e. for ramps, pavement transition sections and intersections). The jointing plans shall be prepared in accordance to the Typical Sections, Standard Drawings and Pavement Design manual. Jointing plans shown sheets $822-829$ shall be incorporated into contractor prepared plans.
21. The contractor prepared Maintenance of Traffic plans shall depict the project being completed in four separate stages. The stages shall be constructed in order, beginning with Stage 1 and ending with Stage 4. All work $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ in the previous stage shall be completed and opened to unrestricted traffic prior to starting the next phase.
*For the asphalt alternative, the mainline and ramp pavement work in Stage 1, 2 and 3 shall include the asphalt up to and including the intermediate course. The final surface course shall be placed in Stage 4.
22. If temporary signals are utilized, the contractor shall meet all applicable provisions of the OMUTCD
and the TEM.
23. Plans shall provide a sequence of operations based on the Stages outlined within this addendum.
24. The MOT plans shall be developed in compliance with the permitted lane closure note unless otherwise permitted or restricted by this addendum. Specified time frames and road closure provisions within this addendum shall override any time provisions provided for within the permitted lane closure note.
25. The MOT plans shall include "beam-erection detour" plans as necessary to perform the work. Intermittent roadway closures for beam erection shall only occur during off peak or
nighttime hours and in addition shall comply with the permitted lane closure note and all other provisions within this addendum and the Bid Documents.
26. The plans must, at all times, provide for the maintenance of at least one point of access to properties adjacent to the work, which retain legal access to the public rights of way.
27. Detour Notification: The plans shall provide for, and the contractor shall advise the ODOT District 12 Office (216-584-2007), the Lake County Engineer (440-350-2770), the City of Eastlake (440-951-9361), the City of Willoughby (440-951-2800) and the City of Mentor (440-255-1100) eighteen (18) days in advance of when a detour route should be in effect. All signs and supports required for the designated detours shall be furnished, erected, maintained, and subsequently removed by the contractor. See detour sheets $175,176,179,183,185-187$.

The Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plans shall be prepared to include and provide for the following format and details:
A. Plan scale for the SR-2 reconstruction, 1" $=50$ feet
B. Plan scale for side streets and ramp reconstruction, $1 "=20$ feet or $1 "=40^{\prime}$
C. Work Limits identified.
D. Portable Concrete Barrier offsets to edge lines.
E. Edge Line offsets to edges of pavement
F. Temporary lane widths
G. Lane taper rates
H. Barrier taper rates
I. Proposed MOT signs
J. Temporary pavement marking locations.
K. Begin and end station callouts for all details.
L. Sub summaries of all required quantities with standard ODOT items and extensions.
M. Develop the plans in 11 " x 17 " format and develop electronic images in (.tif format).
N. The time table established within the lane value contract table shall be incorporated into the plan.
O. Temporary pavement locations and quantities.

The work required for each stage and the contractor sequence of operations is outlined below:
For Stage 1, the following must be completed:

- Reconstruct SR-2 pavement, full width, including the median barrier, new drainage and signing from Sta. $420+00$ to Sta. $521+50$. (Note: due to the elevation differences between the old and new pavement at Sta. $420+00$, a temporary pavement tie-in may be required)
- The Reynolds Rd. interchange work shall include the widening of Reynolds Rd., the reconstruction and realignment of Ramp I, K, N and O, new drainage, signing and pavement markings.

1. During construction, Ramps I, K, N and O can be closed for 45 days each. See sheets 180 , 181, 182 and 185 for detour details.
2. For Reynolds Rd., a minimum of 2 thru lanes (11' min.) in one direction and one thru lane in the opposite direction ( $11^{\prime}$ min.) along with the left turn lane onto the entrance ramps shall be maintained in the interchange area with a one lane closure adjacent to the ramp for ramp reconstruction tie in work. These closures shall be staggered so at no time will Reynolds Rd. be limited to one lane in each direction.

- The Lost Nation Rd. interchange work shall include the reconstruction and realignment of Lost Nation Rd., replacement of the Lost Nation Rd. bridge, reconstruction of the Oak Hill Lane and Reeves Rd. intersections, reconstruction and realignment of Ramp A from the Lost Nation intersection to Sta. 89+85, Ramp E from the Lost Nation intersection to Sta. 97+85, Ramps B and F from the Lost Nation intersection to Sta. 205+00, Ramp D from the Lost Nation intersection to Sta. 89+00, new drainage, signing, pavement markings, the removal of the high mast lighting and install temporary lighting.

1. Prior to beginning work at the SR-2/Lost Nation Rd. interchange, the contractor shall remove the high mast towers at the interchange which shall be completed prior to setting up work zones on Lost Nation Rd. and SR-2.
2. During construction, Lost Nation Ramps A, E, B and F can be closed for 45 days each. See sheet 179 for detour details.
3. For Lost Nation Rd., one lane (11' min.) in each direction on Lost Nation Rd. shall be maintained at all times.
4. Reeves Rd. shall be detoured as per sheet 187 when constructing the Lost Nation Rd./Reeves Rd. intersection.
5. When constructing the Lost Nation Rd./Oak Hill Lane intersection, one lane of traffic shall be maintained for Oak Hill Lane.

- The culvert lining work and related construction activities at Sta. 308+66.09, centerline SR-2 and at
E 367 St. shall be completed by the contractor in Stage 1, by June 1, 2009.

1. Vehicular access to the properties south of Lakeland Blvd. E. 367th must be maintained at all times during construction operations.

- Construct the $8^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ and $42^{\prime \prime}$ culverts at Sta. 336+05 and Sta. 345+16, respectively. The contractor shall construct the outlet end first which will require the closing of Stevens Blvd. Stevens Blvd. shall only be closed for one weekend.

1. If needed, the contractor is limited to three weekend one lane closures of SR-2 (10 PM Fri to 6 AM Mon) to facilitate the construction of the $8^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ and $42^{\prime \prime}$ culverts which shall be at the approval of ODOT. The contractor shall contact the surrounding cities and ODOT 18 days prior to closing SR-2 to one lane.
2. Stevens Blvd. traffic shall be detoured as per sheet 183 for one weekend only ( 10 PM Fri to 6AM Mon).

- The following construction activities shall also be constructed during this phase:
- Metro Park Retaining Wall.
- Newell Creek bridges
- Lost Nation Rd. Ramp D bridge.
- Chagrin River Substructure work in the river.
- Culvert at Sta. 341+45
- Wall \#3 (Lost Nation Rd.)
- The following construction activities may be constructed during this phase (work may commence in Stage 1 but does not have to be completed prior to starting Stage 2):
- East $361^{\text {ST }}$ St. left structure.
- Erie St. bridge substructure work.

For Stage 2, the following must be completed:

- Reconstruct SR-2 pavement, full width, including the median barrier, new drainage and signing from Sta. $351+25$ to Sta. $420+00$. (Note: due to the elevation differences between the old and new pavement at $S t a .351+25$, a temporary pavement tie-in may be required).
- For the Lost Nation interchange, reconstruct and realign the remainder of Ramps A, E, B, F and D.

1. During construction, Lost Nation Ramps A, E, B and F can be closed for 45 days each. See sheet 179 for detour details.

- The following construction activities shall also be constructed during this phase:
- Erie St. Bridge.
- Chagrin River Bridge.
- Erie St. Retaining Wall

For Stage 3, the following must be completed:

- Reconstruct SR-2 pavement, full width, including the median barrier, new drainage and signing from Sta. 276+00 to Sta. 351+25.

1. During construction of SR-2 and Vine St. during Stage 3, the westbound entrance ramp on the south side of Vine St. may only be closed during the AM peak (6AM to 10 AM, Mon. Fri.) hours and detoured per sheet 177.

- The SOM Center Rd. work shall include the reconfiguration and widening of SOM Center Rd. and the reconstruction of Ramp A and B, new drainage, signing and pavement markings.

1. During Stage 3 construction, when SOM Center Rd. Ramp A remains open it shall be closed during PM Peak hours (3 PM to 6 PM, Mon. - Fri.) and detoured as per sheet 175.
2. During construction, SOM Center Rd. Ramps A and B can be closed for 45 days each. See sheet 175 for detour details.
3. SOM Center Rd. Ramps C and D shall remain open at all times.
4. SOM Center Rd. shall be completed in part-width construction, maintaining one 11' thru lane of traffic in each direction and the northbound left turn lanes at Ramp D and Curtis Blvd.

- The Vine St. interchange work shall include the reconfiguration and widening of Vine St. the reconstruction and realignment of Ramp A, D, E and H, new drainage, signing and pavement markings.

1. During construction, Vine St. Ramps A, D, E and H can be closed for 45 days each. See sheet 176 for detour details.
2. A minimum of one lane ( $11^{\prime}$ min.) in each direction along with one left turn lane onto the
entrance ramps shall be maintained on Vine St. in the interchange area.

- Lakeland Blvd. shall be constructed in two phases, and shall coincide with the work on the south side of Vine St.

1. Access shall be maintained to the local businesses on Lakeland Blvd. throughout each phase of construction.
2. Each phase shall be completed within thirty (30) calendar days and opened to traffic using part width construction. Liquidated damages in accordance with the C\&MS Table 108.07-1 or as revised in the Contract Documents shall be assessed for each Calendar day phased work extends beyond that required herein.

- The following construction activities shall also be constructed during this phase:

1. Vine St. Retaining Wall.
2. East $361{ }^{\text {ST }}$ St. right structure.
3. Vine St. bridge

Stage 4 (Asphalt Alternative), the following must be completed:

- Stage 4 shall consist of placing the final surface course over the entire roadway surface of the mainline and ramps, final pavement markings, raised pavement markers and all work completed.

1. Traffic shall be maintained in accordance with the permitted lane closure note.

Stage 4 (Concrete Alternative), the following must be completed:

- Stage 4 shall consist of placing the final pavement markings, raised pavement markers and all work completed.

1. Traffic shall be maintained in accordance with the permitted lane closure note.

Develop all necessary changes to the Department accepted Contractor Prepared MOT Plan, to implement the work and to effectively manage traffic and operations, in accordance with the Contract Documents and the identified intent, in accordance with the provisions of this item. Provide the Department three (3) copies of all MOT Plan changes made during the prosecution of the work. If during the prosecution of work, errors are identified in the Contractor Prepared MOT Plan, revisions to the plan shall be developed and provided to the Department. Develop and provide MOT Plan modifications requested by the Department during the prosecution of the work to bring the plan into compliance with the Contract Documents.

Any payment for changes to the Contract Prepared MOT Plan requested by the Department to facilitate the performance of Department directed extra work will be resolved in accordance with the Contract Document change order provisions.

Payment for all work provided for within this item and any changes to the work for this item shall be included within and accounted for by the Bidder at the unit price bid for each Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic, Misc.: Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plan (by Type).

## Maintaining Traffic:

Work necessary to implement the Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plan, for the project, shall be Bid in accordance with the Bid Documents. Payment for all work necessary to implement the MOT Plan in full and as may be modified, in accordance with the Bid Documents, will be made in accordance with the following:
[Department MOT Plan implementation payment in full and as may be modified.] = [Lump sum bid for Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic (Asphalt Concrete Alternate) or (Portland Cement Concrete Alternate)] + [The sum of: \{MOT item unit bid prices, provided for and Bid upon in the Bid Documents $\} \mathbf{x}\{$ MOT items quantity provided for within the Department accepted Contractor Prepared MOT Plan (as accepted prior to the commencement of work), the actual quantity used, or up to the contract item quantity provided in the Bid Documents whichever is less.\}]

## Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic (Asphalt Concrete Alternate):

## Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic (Portland Cement Concrete Alternate):

This item of work, and the lump sum unit bid item for this work, shall provide for the Contractor's, along with his Work Zone Traffic Supervisor(s) implementation, effective management, and maintenance of the accepted Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic, Misc.: Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plan (by Type) and provides for complete and full payment for Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic detailed in the Bid Documents and payment for all MOT items of work and work task (as determined by each Contractor in his "accepted" MOT Plan), above, not provided in, or in excess of that which is provide for within the bid documents. The Department will make payment for MOT items listed in the Bid Documents at the unit bid prices provide at the time of bid up to the quantity provided for within the Department accepted Contractor Prepared MOT plan, as accepted prior to the commencement of work, the actual quantity used, or up to the contract item quantity provided in the Bid Documents whichever is less. All cost to implement the MOT plan or modifications or revisions thereto in order to complete project work are considered to be provided for as incidental to the work compensated for and are provided for under this item of work. The Department will not provide any further compensation to implement the Contractor MOT Plan or to complete the work beyond that which is provided herein. MOT modifications requested by the Department, during the prosecution of the work, to bring the plan into compliance with the Contract Documents are considered to be provided for as incidental to the work compensated for and provided for by this item of work.

All work and traffic control devices shall be in accordance with CMS 614 and other applicable portions of the specifications, as well as the Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices. Payment for all labor, equipment and materials shall be included in the lump sum contract price for Item 614, Maintaining Traffic, unless separately itemized in the bid documents.

Any payment for changes to the Contract MOT work as provided for within the Bid Documents requested by the Department to facilitate the performance of Department directed extra work will be resolved in accordance with the Contract Document change order provisions.

Payment for all work provided for by this item and any changes to the work for included within this item shall be and accounted for by the Bidder within the lump sum bid for Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic (by type).

## Maintenance of Traffic Bid Quantities:

The following contract MOT items and associated quantities are to be Bid upon by all Bidders to establish the basis of pay for the performance of work necessary to implement Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic, Misc.: Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plan (by Type) in accordance with the Bid Documents.

Bid items to implement the Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic, Misc.: Contractor Prepared Maintenance of Traffic Plan (by Type) are either specifically listed below or are to be provided for in accordance with the lump sum bid for Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic (by type). MOT contract items that remain in the Bid Documents other than what is provided for herein will be administered in accordance with the Contract Documents.

| Ref No. | Item Ext. | Quantity | Unit Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 395 | 204E13000 | 1530 CY | Excavation of Subgrade |
| 396 | 204E30010 | 1530 CY | Granular Material, Type B |
| 397 | 254E01000 | 90000 SY | Pavement Planing, Asphalt Concrete |
| 398 | 301E46000 | 75 CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 399 | 304E20000 | 815 CY | Aggregate Base |
| 400 | 407E10000 | 200 Gal | Tack Coat |
| 401 | 410E12000 | 480 CY | Traffic Compacted Surface, Type A or B |
| 402 | 442E10100 | 470 CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, 19MM, Type (446) |
| 403 | 448E47020 | 25 CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |
| 404 | 451E15500 | 6563 SY | 11" Reinforced Concrete Pavement |
| 405 | 603E96600 | 510 Ft | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 15" Type B |
| 406 | 603E96600 | 70 Ft | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 18" Type B |
| 407 | 603E96600 | 30 Ft | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 21" Type B |
| 408 | 603E96600 | 15 Ft | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 24" Type B |
| 409 | 603 E 96600 | 15 Ft | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 27" Type B |
| 410 | 604E04500 | 9 Each | Catch Basin, No. 2-2B |
| 411 | 604E09000 | 2 Each | Catch Basin Adjusted to Grade |
| 412 | 604E31500 | 4 Each | Manhole, No. 3 |
| 413 | 604E34500 | 2 Each | Manhole Adjusted to Grade |
| 414 | 614 E 11100 | 2500 Hour | Law Enforcement Officer With Patrol Car |
| 415 | 614E11300 | 1 Each | Special - Work Zone Traffic Signal |
| 416 | 614E11500 | 36 Mnth | Worksite Traffic Supervisor |
| 417 | 614 E 12200 | 2263 FT | Special - Workzone Guardrail |
| 418 | 614E12336 | 22 Each | Workzone Impact Attenuator |
| 419 | 614 E 12470 | 110 Each | Work Zone Speed Limit Sign |
| 420 | 614 E 12484 | 95 Each | Work Zone Increased Penalties Sign |
| 423 | 614E12756 | 3 Each | Workzone Crossover Lighting System |
| 424 | 614E12801 | 4500 Each | Workzone Raised Pavement Marker, As Per Plan |
| 425 | 614 E 13000 | 345 CY | Asphalt Concrete For Maintaining Traffic |
| 426 | 614E13300 | 2510 Each | Barrier Reflector, Type B |
| 427 | 614E13350 | 3916 Each | Object Marker, One Way |
| 428 | 614E18601 | 144 SNMT | Portable Changeable Message Sign, As Per Plan |
| 429 | 614E20100 | 22.32 Mile | Workzone Lane Line, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 430 | 614 E 20200 | 0.08 Mile | Workzone Lane Line, Class I, 740.06 |
| 431 | 614 E 21100 | 0.92 Mile | Workzone Center Line, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 432 | 614 E 21200 | 1.47 Mile | Workzone Center Line, Class I, 740.06, Type 1 |
| 433 | 614E22100 | 69.07 Mile | Workzone Edge Line, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 434 | 614E22200 | 3.08 Mile | Workzone Edge Line, Class I, 740.06, Type 1 |
| 435 | 614 E 23200 | 61279 Ft | Workzone Channelizing Line, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 436 | 614 E 23400 | 3359 Ft | Workzone Channelizing Line, 740.06, Type 1 |
| 437 | 614E24200 | 14770 Ft | Workzone Dotted Line, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 438 | 614E24400 | 180 Ft | Workzone Dotted Line, Class I, 740.06, Type 1 |
| 439 | 614E26200 | 490 Ft | Workzone Stop Line, Class I, 642 Paint |


| 440 | 614E26400 | 210 | Ft | Workzone Stop Line, Class I, 740.06, Type 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 441 | 614 E 27400 | 210 | Ft | Workzone Cross Walk Line, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 442 | 614E30200 | 14 | Each | Workzone Arrow, Class I, 642 Paint |
| 443 | 614E30400 | 17 | Each | Workzone Arrow, Class I, 740.06, Type 1 |
| 444 | 614E31400 | 4 | Each | Workzone Word On Pavement, 72", Class I, 740.06, Type 1 |
| 445 | 615E10000 | 1 | LS | Roads For Maintaining Traffic |
| 446 | 615E20000 | 83567 SY | Pavement For Maintaining Traffic, Class A |  |
| 447 | 615E25000 | 3301 SY | Pavement For Maintaining Traffic, Class B |  |
| 448 | 616E10000 | 3159 MGal | Water |  |
| 449 | 622E40020 | 780 Ft | Portable Concrete Barrier, 32", |  |
| 450 | 622 E 40031 | 126178 Ft | Portable Concrete Barrier, 50", As Per Plan |  |
| 451 | 622 E 40100 | 5 | Each | Portable Concrete Barrier, "Y" Connector |
| 452 | 630 E 80300 | 709 | SF | Sign, Temporary Overlay |
| 453 | 630 E 89894 | 33 | Each | Removal of Temporary Overlay Sign and Disposal |
| 454 | 642 E 00290 | 2 | Mile | Center Line |

All work and traffic control devices shall be in accordance with CMS 614 and other applicable portions of the specifications, as well as the Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices. Payment for all labor, equipment and materials shall be included in the lump sum contract price for Item 614, Maintaining Traffic, unless separately itemized in the bid documents.

The Department will make payment for MOT items and quantities listed above at the unit bid prices provide at the time of bid up to the quantity provided for within the Department accepted Contractor Prepared MOT plan, as accepted prior to the commencement of work, the actual quantity used, or up to the contract item quantity provided in the Bid Documents whichever is less. All other compensation to implement the Contractor MOT plan shall be provided for within the lump sum bid for Item 614 - Maintaining Traffic (by type).

## PRESSURE RELIEF JOINT, TYPE A (CONCRETE ALTERNATIVE)

This item of work shall consist of constructing pressure relief joints for the concrete pavement alternative in accordance with SCD BP-2.3 and the applicable sections of the construction and material specifications. Pressure relief joints shall be provided for at all bridge approaches within the rigid pavement alternate (AA2).

Pressure relief joints, type A are required at the Lost Nation Bridge, as shown in the plan, regardless of the pavement alternative selected.

## Revise the following proposal notes:

PN 090-04/18/08 - Work Type Codes and Descriptions the third paragraph shall read: Listed below are the work types for this proposal. In accordance with Ohio law, a bidder must possess work types, and perform work equal to at least forty percent of the total amount of the submitted bid price.

PN 525-08/02/04 - Steel Price Adjustment under price adjustment criteria and conditions, table B-1, Steel product title, reinforcing steel, all applies with the exception of any steel related to mainline, ramp and side street concrete pavement including tiebars, bolts, dowels and dowel baskets.

PN 104 - 01/07/1998 - Value Engineering Change Proposal Construction Costs \& Time No Value Engineering Change Proposals to the Contractor prepared maintenance of traffic plan or the asphalt and concrete pavement related alternate bid item work will be accepted by the Department.

Notice to all Bidders: Bidders are required to provide a responsive Bid, which shall include responsive unit bid prices for each alternate contract item provided for within the Bid Documents. In accordance with section 102.14 of the Department's Construction and Material Specifications Manual non-responsive and ineligible Bid's will be disqualified. The Department will follow section 103.02 of the Department's Construction and Material Specifications Manual and award the contract to the lowest competent and responsible Bidder.

The following sheets are revised:
40, 47-53, 53A, 89-91, 175, 176, 179, 183, 185, 186, 187
Add the Following Plan Sheets:
29A-Q, 56A-56H, 188A
The following sheets are to be disregarded and shall not be used by ODOT or the contractor to perform the work, for the basis of payment or for the settling of disputes or claims. The contractor prepared MOT plans will be the basis of payment for MOT items and will provide for the staged progress of work:
54-56, 58-88, 92-174, 191-197, 201-221, 224-243, 215A
Add/Revise the following standard drawings:
BP-2.1 Dated 07/18/08
BP-2.2 Dated 07/18/08
BP-6.1 Dated 07/28/00

THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 15, 2008

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 11
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 202E23000 | 179637 | SY | Pavement Removed |
| 21 | 204E10000 | 33848 | SY | Subgrade Compaction |
| 29 | 209E60201 | 151 | STA | Linear Grading, As Per Plan |
| 159 | 448E46061 | 734 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 1, Under Guardrail, PG64-22, As Per Plan |
| 505 | 202E22901 | 200 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 545 | 202E22901 | 200 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 760 | 202E22901 | 167 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 796 | 202E22901 | 167 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 831 | 202E22901 | 133 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 851 | 202E22901 | 133 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 895 | 202E22901 | 278 | SY | Approach Slabs Removed, As Per Plan |
| 933 | 606E10810 | 653 | Each | Special - Noise Barrier, Misc.: Concrete Noise Barrier Post and Drilled Shaft Foundation |
| 71 | 671 E 15020 | 4000 | SY | Erosion Control Mat, Type C |
| 86 | 603E04400 | 1626 | FT | 12" Conduit, Type B |
| 790 | 524E94404 | 269 | FT | Drilled Shafts, 18 " Diameter, Into Bedrock |
| 825 | 524E94404 | 194 | FT | Drilled Shafts, 18" Diameter, Into Bedrock |
| 2 | 202E20010 | 32 | Each | Headwall Removed |
| 10 | 202E35200 | 3003 | FT | Pipe Removed, Over 24" |
| 52 | 601 E 32104 | 78 | CY | Rock Channel Protection, Type B With Fabric Filter |
| 54 | 601 E 32304 | 41 | CY | Rock Channel Protection, Type D With Fabric Filter |
| 77 | 602 E 20000 | 63 | CY | Concrete Masonry |
| 89 | 603 E 05900 | 3312 | FT | 15" Conduit, Type B |
| 90 | 603 E 06100 | 1991 | FT | $15^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type C |
| 93 | 603E07400 | 984 | FT | 18" Conduit, Type B |
| 98 | 603E10600 | 1329 | FT | 24" Conduit, Type C |
| 100 | 603E12100 | 603 | FT | 27" Conduit, Type C |
| 112 | 603 E 96600 | 4701 | FT | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 15", Type B |
| 123 | 604E04500 | 6 | Each | Catch Basin, No. 2-2B |
| 447 | 615E25000 | 3676 | SY | Pavement For Maintaining Traffic, Class B |
| 931 | 606E10310 | 122209 | SF | Special - Noise Barrier (Absorptive), Over 10' to 14' Height |
| 102 | 603 E 16200 | 67 | FT | 36" Conduit, Type A, 706.02 (2250 D Load) |
| 104 | 603 E 19200 | 225 | FT | 42" Conduit, Type A, 706.02 |
| 106 | 603 E 23800 | 17 | FT | 60" Conduit, Type B, 706.02 |

Added Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1047 | 254 E 01000 | 7572 | SY | Pavement Planing, Asphalt Concrete |
| 1048 | 448 E 46050 | 661 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64-22 |
| 1049 | 448 E 47020 | 472 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |
| 1050 | 622 E 40046 | 3059 | FT | Portable Concrete Barrier, 50", Bridge Mounted |
| 1051 | 509 E 10000 | 1553 | LB | Epoxy Coated Reinforcing Steel |
| 1052 | 511 E 46600 | 9.5 | CY | Class C Concrete, Headwall |
| 1053 | 603 E 16600 | 20 | FT | 36" Conduit, Type C |
| 1054 | 603 E 16200 | 211 | FT | 36" Conduit, Type A, 706.02 (1250 D Load) |

## Deleted Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 72 | 671 E 15030 | 2000 | SY | Erosion Control Mat, Type D |
| 103 | 603 E 16400 | 21 | FT | 36" Conduit, Type B |

## Revised Plan Sheets Linked to this Addendum

Plan sheet 960/1679
Plan sheet $248,249,250,251,252,253,254,255$
Plan sheet 261
Plan sheet 1647

## Reports are attached to this Addendum

Asbestos abatement inspection report for parcel 9, dated 3/24/08 for the Building Demolition at 36628 Vine St.

## Special Provisions are attached to this Addendum

Special Provisions, 404 Permit

## Spreadsheet linked to this Addendum

Rebar list for headwalls

## Revise the following sheets:

The cross section sheets 714-716 incorrectly show pavement to be removed, please disregard.
Clarification on the plan note on sheets 1274 and 1465, Inspection of Existing Structural Steel: The contractor can assume, for repair of cracks, a hole drilling per crack found.

## Revise Sheet:

Revise sheet 1603/1679, under section A-A, the porous backfill is to REMAIN instead of being removed.
Revised plan sheet 1647/1679 to include a $75^{\prime}$ x $45^{\prime}$ temporary parking pad using 375 SY of Item 615E25000 - Pavement For Maintaining Traffic, Class B (linked to this addendum).

## Add supplemental Specification:

SS 839 Dated 04/20/07
SS 939 Dated 04/18/08

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: The proposal has not provided a pay quantity for Item 254 Pavement Planing 3 inch max. listed in the proposed Typical section sheet 40 of 1679 on SR 306. Please clarify.
A: The quantity has been corrected in this addendum and revised spread sheets have been posted. ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

Q: General Summary quantities do not provide for Item 202 Pavement Removed on Lost Nation Road Ramps, Lost Nation Road, St. Clair Street, Riverside Commons Drive, SR 91 Ramp A and B, and all acceleration and deceleration lanes throughout the project. Furthermore, the quantities provided for Som Center Road ( $24,990 \mathrm{SY}$ ) on sheet 260 of 1679 would be sufficient to remove all existing pavement within the entire Som Center Road work limits. Similarly the quantities for Item 202 Traffic Island Removed listed on sheet 260 of 1679 for sheet 632 and the blank row beneath it are wrong.
A: The quantities have been corrected in this addendum and revised spreadsheets have been posted.
Q: Ref. \#3 "Pavement Removed"- Plan Sheet 260 in the roadway subsummary states that there are 24,990 SY on SR 91 (SOM Center Rd.). The breakdown provided on pp 260 is as follows: 3,711 SY on pp $630,3,206 \mathrm{SY}$ on pp $632,11,685$ SY on pp 634 and 6,388 SY on pp 636 , all of which reference the SR 91 plan and profile plan sheets (pp $630-637$ ). A pavement removal takeoff based on crosshatched sections of the SR 91 cross sections yields quantities that are much less than the given 24,990 SY. The roadway subsummary also does not reference any pavement removal quantities for any of the SR 91 ramps $A$ and $B$, despite existing typical sections (page 24) that show existing concrete pavement and proposed typical sections (page 19) that show proposed asphalt pavement, as well as office calculations that give subgrade compaction quantities. These office calculations, however, show no pavement removed quantities for either SR 91 or ramps A or B. Can the Department please check and clarify pavement removed quantities on SR 91 and its adjoining ramps and address in an addendum?
A: Quantities have been corrected in this addendum and revised quantity spreadsheets have been posted.
Q: The office calculations for S.R. 306 (Reynolds Road) include subgrade compaction and proof rolling quantities for asphalt overlay, which appear to be erroneous.when this work will not be performed. The stations included are the entire length of S.R. 306 within the project limits, Sta. 14+50-30+05. Please verify and update quantities in an addendum.
A: The quantity has been corrected in addendum \#11 and revised spread sheets have been posted.
Q: Roadway Subsummary pages 258-259 for Lost Nation Rd./St. Clair St./River Side Commons Drive do not include any quantity for Item 202 - Pavement Removed. The existing typical sections, however, show $9^{\prime \prime}$ to 10 " of concrete on Lost Nation Rd. The cross sections for Lost Nation Rd. and St. Clair St. show Item 202 - Pavement Removed detailed in these sections. The stations on Lost Nation Rd are from Sta. $46+10-70+22$ and the on St. Clair St. from Sta. 114+00-116+00.
A: The pavement removal quantities for Lost Nation Road and Riverside Commons are shown on the revised pavement calculation spreadsheets. St. Clair St does not have concrete pavement or a concrete base. The cross sections incorrectly show pavement to be removed..

Q: $\quad$ Sheet 960 of 1679 has missing information at the top of the page. Can you reissue this sheet?
A: A complete sheet 960 is linked to this addendum.
Q: Plan sheet 901- existing catch basin is located at station $65+52$ RT.- Plan sheet 850 calls for this to be adjusted while plan sheet 709 calls for this to be removed. Is this catch basin to be removed or adjusted? If removed, does existing 18 " pipe connecting it to catch basin at $64+98$ RT. also get removed or abandoned?
A: The existing catch basin on Lost Nation Road, at Sta. $65+52,47.7$ ' Rt. Should be adjusted to grade as shown on the summary sheet 850 . It was incorrectly labeled to be removed on the plan and profile sheets, but is correct in the general summary.

Q: Revised Office Calcs show full depth pavement replacement from station 510+00 to station 514+50. There is no quantity for Item 202 Pavement Removed in this area, nor are there excavation/embankment quantities provided in the cross sections. Is this area to be replaced full depth?
A: It is assumed that temporary pavement for the LAK-2-3.32 project will be in place in Construction Year \#1 from Sta. 510+00 to 520+50. Quantities for temporary pavement and the required earthwork are included plans. The contractor will need to develop maintenance of traffic plans according to addendum \#10. The LAK-2-3.32 project will start in reverse order - the contractor will start full depth pavement and the normal typical section at Sta. 510+00 and go towards Sta. 276+00. Construction year \#2, the LAK-2-7.76 project will begin its full depth pavement section at Sta. 510+00 and move towards Sta. 805+00. The existing pavement and temporary pavement will be removed, and all of the earthwork will be completed under this contract. If the LAK-2-7.76 project is delayed and the LAK-23.32 project is completed in the mean time, a detail on sheet 790 has been included for the final pavement elevations. The intent is to only resurface this area (including the temporary pavement area as the base) and place the asphalt wedge course and the surface course.

Q: The structure drawings showing the phased construction show temporary bridge mounted concrete barrier wall with anchors. There is no bid item for this wall.
A: A quantity for bridge mounted portable concrete barrier has been added to this addendum.
Q: The project cover sheet shows the old date for standard drawings BP 2.1 and BP 2.2, please update these to the current standards shown online.
A: Updated in alternate bid addendum (\#10).
Q: $\quad$ The phased bridges show anchored barrier (i.e. sheet 1277), however there is no bid item for this. Please provide a bid item for 32 " PCB, Bridge Mounted.
A: A bid item for 50" PCB bridge mounted was added to this addendum.
Q: All Portable Concrete Barrier on SR 2 is shown as 50", As Per Plan, however most of this barrier is to protect the work zone; not face-on-face traffic. Is it ODOT's intention to use 50", APP PCB for all PCB on SR 2?
A: Yes.
Q: On sheet 52 under Side Road Maintenance of Traffic for Bridge Construction, the plans call for the using Standard Drawing MT-96.10 on East 361st St Bridge and Erie St Bridge. This standard utilizes temporary signals, however, these signals are not paid under the work zone signals item. Is it ODOT's intent to include this cost in the lump sum item 614, Maintenance of Traffic?
A: Yes. See the maintaining traffic note on sheet $47 / 1679$ states: Payment for all labor, equipment and materials shall be included in the lump sum contract price for Item 614, Maintaining Traffic, unless separately itemized in the plan.

Q: The note on sheet 53 under Work Zone Pavement Markings for Winter Months states that states that polyester markings are to be placed between November 1st and April 1st. Please provide bid items for this work.
A: This item of work has been deleted in the alternate bid addendum (\#10).
Q: Also, under Winter Time Limitations, note 3 states that we are to return traffic to their unshifted position by October 1st and have pavement markings placed by October 15th. This conflicts with the note under WZ Pavement Markings for Winter Months note. Please clarify.
A: The work zone pavement markings for winter months note has been deleted in the alternate bid addendum (\#10), so there is no conflict.

Q: $\quad$ Sheet 55 states that the remaining portion of Lost Nation Ramp A is to be constructed in Stage 2, Phase C. However, there is no Maintenance of Traffic shown for this work. Can the ramp be closed and detoured in Stage 2 Phase C as it was in Stage 1 Phase B Step 3?
A: In the alternate bid addendum(\#10), the contractor is required to prepared the MOT plans within the guidelines provided.

Q: Where the concrete pavement widening on Vine St are shown on page 36 of the plans there is a note (Note A) that says "INSTALL A BUTT JOINT PER BP 2.5 \& SPEC 255". On standard BP 2.5 we cannont find a reference to a "butt joint". Please clarify if the longitudial joint between the old pavement and the proposed pavement on Vine is to be a Doweled joint as shown on BP 2.5 or a Type D tied joint as shown on BP 2.1?
A: The joint between the old pavement and proposed pavement on Vine St. should be doweled unless greater than $10^{\prime}$ in length. If greater than $10^{\prime}$ in length, the joint should be as a type $D$ tied joint as per BP-2.1.

Q: $\quad$ The approach slab removal bid item quantities for bid items $505,545,760,796,831,851,895$ appear to be substantially overstated. Please revise these quantities in an addendum.
A: The quantities have been corrected in this addendum.
A: Addendum \#8 questions \& answers regarding the asbestos abatement mentions an inspection report for parcel 9, dated 3/24/08 for the Building Demolition at 36628 Vine St. We cannot locate this report, please provide.
A: The inspection report for parcel 9 has been linked to this addendum.
Q: The general Notes on plan sheets 41 and 42 of 1679 mention that the 404 and 401 permits are attached to the plans. The 401 permit is but the 404 permit is not. Is there a Nationwide permit that is in effect for this project? If so which one?
A: The Special Provisions, 404 Permit is linked to this addendum.
Q: The total count for bid item 606E10810 "Noise Barrier Concrete Posts" shown on sheet 970 (qty=653) conflicts with the values shown on sheet no. 247A (qty=673) and in the bid pay schedule (qty=673). Please confirm the correct amount.
A: The correct quantity is 653 , revised in this addendum.
Q: $\quad$ "Top of Barrier Elevation" and "Barrier Height" are understated by 1 ft for Noise Barrier Bays B168B171 (ref sheets nos. $985 \& 986$ ). As a result, item 606E10310 is understated by $4 \mathrm{x} 8 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}=32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ on sheets 247A, 970 and Schedule of Pay items. Please review and confirm.
A: $\quad 32$ SF has been added to ref\# 931 in this addendum.

Q: Reference 0072671 Erosion Control Mat, Type D is no longer available for purchase. An Item Master Search on the ODOT website reveals that Erosion Control Mat, Type D has not been bid on other projects for several years as "No matching records found" is the response. Please consider deleting this item.
A: The item has been deleted, and the Type C quantity has been revised in this addendum. Erosion Control Mat, Type C will be used where Type D was specified.

Q: The quantities for Bid items $790 \& 825$ appear to be overstated. It appears that Bid item 790 should be 263 lf and 825 should be 194 LF. Please revise in the next addendum.
A: The quantities have been corrected in this addendum.
Q: Plan sheet 901, ref. D-1 shows a 12" B Conduit crossing the pavement on Lost Nation Rd. at station $63+59.5$. This sheet shows it on the plan and profile as a 12 " pipe. Plan sheet 850 in the subsummary shows this as a $15^{\prime \prime}$ B Conduit.
A: The quantity for D-1 (99' of pipe) should be 12 " Conduit, Type B as shown on the plan and profile sheets and cross sections, not the 15 " Conduit, Type B shown in the subsummary sheet. It was put into the incorrect column. Corrected in this addendum.

Q: Plan sheet 874 , references D-3, D-4 show a pair of longitudnal pipe runs as $15^{\prime \prime}$ B pipe. Drainage subsummary on plan sheet 842 shows both of these as "Conduit Bored or Jacked, 15" Type B". Given that these are longitudnal runs that do not cross pavement, should these be bored or open cut as 15 " B? Please review and address in an addendum.
A: D-3 and D-4 have been revised to 15 " Conduit, Type B in this addendum.
Q: Plan sheet 887 , reference D-5 shows a crossover on the westbound side of mainline SR 2. Drainage subsummary shows this as $15^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type C. Should this run of pipe be paid for as a bored or jacked pipe? Please review and address in an addendum.
A: This $83^{\prime}$ of pipe has been revised to Conduit Bored or Jacked, $15^{\prime \prime}$ Type B in this addendum.
Q: Plan sheets 858 and 862 call for full-size headwalls to be built for elliptical pipe and box culvert. ODOT Standard Drawings HW-1.1 sheets 1 and 2 give details for round pipe. Please provide additional information for elliptical and box culvert so formwork and reinforcing steel can be taken off.
A: Headwall quantities will not be appreciably different between elliptical and round pipe. SCD HW-1.1 can be adjusted for elliptical pipe as stated in the notes. The box culvert headwalls are detailed on sheets 865 and 866. Quantities for Item 509 - epoxy coated reinforcing steel and Item 511 - Class C Concrete have been added to this addendum. A bar list spreadsheet has also been linked to this addendum.

Q: Plan sheet 967 under method of measurement for the noise walls states "Square feet of noise barrier constructed below ground line shall also not be included for payment". Noise Wall 'C' is a buried wall. The quantities in the plans appear to be the entire SF of wall installed. Please provide the quantity of noise wall above the ground line which will be included for payment.
A: The quantity has been adjusted in this addendum.
Q: There are no quantities provided in the General Summary for the large size Conduits Removed as shown on pages $855-862$. Please verify and address in an addendum.
A: Quantities for Pipe Removed, Over 24" and Headwalls Removed have been added to this addendum.

Q: In regards to Bridge No. LAK-2-0760 L\&R, we have the following question. On page 1603/1679, Section A-A shows the existing porous backfill to be removed. However, pages 1604/1679 and 1605/1679 show the limits of the porous backfill with filter fabric ending at approximately 12' LT/RT of CL. Also, Section B-B on page 1606/1679 notes the existing 2'-0" +/- of porous backfill to remain. Will the Department please clarify if the existing porous backfill beyond 12' LT/RT of CL is to be removed or retained.
A: Section A-A, on sheet 1603 , the porous backfill is to REMAIN instead being removed.
Q: Ref. 103-36" Conduit, Type B: Plan sheet 898 calls this out as Type C conduit and not Type B. Please verify which this should be since this is outside of the paved area. Also, drainage subsummary on plan shhet 850 calls for $1^{\prime}$ of $36^{\prime \prime}$ B on Lost Nation Rd. sta. 69+42L. Is this a mistake?
A: 20' of $36^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type C was added to this addendum. The $1^{\prime}$ of $36^{\prime \prime}$, Type B was in the wrong column. 1 each Catch Basin 2-2B was added to this addendum.

Q: Ref. 102-36" Conduit, Type A: Plan sheet 860 specifies this pipe for two of the sections to be 706.02, D-2750. Is pipe limited to this or is open to all applicable type A pipes?
A: The pipe is limited to $706.02, \mathrm{D}-2250$. The item has been added to this addendum.
Q: Also, plan sheet 856 shows 211' of this item which crosses the SR 2 mainline at station $450+95$. Plan sheet 115 in the MOT plans shows this as being "bored or jacked under pavement". Given that this section of pavement must be built in three phases (1B, 1C, 1D), it will be impossible to properly maintain flow given that an adjacent 36 " pipe must also be removed in these three phases. Also note that there is no quantity setup for removal of existing 36 " pipe at this location. Please review this and revise in an addendum as there is no bid item for $36^{\prime \prime}$ pipe bored or jacked as well as for the adjacent removal.
A: The proposed pipe has been offset 10 from the existing pipe so the flow can be maintained. See addendum \#10 for changes to the MOT.

Q: Addendum No. 9 made significant modifications and additions to the concrete barrier wall items. Please provide the revised Roadway Subsummary sheets for these added items and also the revised items.
A: Revised plan sheets containing subsummaries are linked to this addendum.
Q: Ref. 89-15" Conduit, Type B- Plan sheet 880, refrences D-5 and D-6 call for $15^{\prime \prime}$ B in drainage subsummary which is then carried to general summary. Plan sheets $330-332$ and 881 show these as both 18 " B. Please clarify this plan conflict in an addendum.
A: The quantities were placed in the incorrect column on the sub-summary sheet, but the drainage plan and profile are correct. 500 ' was deducted from the 15 " conduit, type B quantity and $500^{\prime}$ was added to the $18^{\prime \prime}$ conduit, type B in this addendum.

Q: Ref. 89,12" Conduit, Type B: plan sheet 881 , ref. D-15 and plan sheet 884 , ref. D-13. Subsummary says these are type B conduits, however cross-sections specify these as type C and both runs are outside of pavement. Please clarify whether type B or type C in an addendum.
A: The quantities for D-15 on sheet 881 , and D-13 on sheet 884 were placed in the incorrect columns. $48^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime \prime}$ conduit, type $C$ was added, and $48^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime \prime}$ conduit, type $B$ was subtracted in this addendum.

Q: Ref. 90-15" Conduit, Type C. Plan sheet 886 , ref. D-9 calls for $62^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$. Cross-sections on plan sheet 911 show this as $15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}$, as a good portion of this run of pipe goes under pavement. Please clarify what this should be in an addendum.
A: $\quad 62^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type B was added, and $62^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type C was subtracted from this
addendum.
Q: $\quad$ Ref. $93-18$ " Conduit, Type B: Plan sheet 893 , ref. D-2 is called out in the subsummary as 18 " B but is shown on plan sheet 893 and cross section sheets $423-425$ as 15 " B . Please clarify what size this run of pipe is to be in an addendum.
A: The $15^{\prime \prime}$ conduit is correct. $345^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type B was added, and $345^{\prime}$ of 18 " Conduit, Type B was subtracted from this addendum.

Q: The typical sections on page 15 and 16 appear to show a raceway in the Type D Barrier. Does all Type D Barrier get raceway, or only the walls with light poles in them? Also, how is this raceway paid for? Please note Detail "A" on page 15 appears to show 3 conduits in the Type D Barrier!
A: Only one raceway should be located on the backside of the Type D barrier and only where it is required for the lighting design. This also holds true for the center median Type B1 barrier. The raceways are included in the cost of the barrier where required as per details RM-4.3, 4.4, and 4.5 , so no quantity changes are required.

Q: There are conflicts in shoulder with dimensions shown between Rt. 2 plans sheets and Ramp Gore detail sheets. Please see sheets 292 and 811 for Ramp I conflicts. Also see sheets 295 and 814 for Ramp O conflicts. Please confirm shoulder widths 8 ft or 10 ft .

A: The shoulder detail for mainline SR 2/ Ramp I on Sheet 811 is correct and is as shown below:

| STATION | EOP OFFSET | PAVEMENT WIDTH | OUTSIDE SHOULDER WIDTH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $344+44.00$ | $49^{\prime}$ LT | $36^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| $356+94.00=56+94$ | $74^{\prime}$ LT | $61^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| $\quad$ RAMP I |  |  |  |
| $57+94$ RAMP I | $76.79^{\prime}$ LT | $63.79^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ |

The mainline SR 2/ Ramp O details on Sheet 814 is correct. Similar information for the SR 2/ Ramp O interface is shown below:

| STATION | EOP OFFSET | PAVEMENT WIDTH | OUTSIDE SHOULDER WIDTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 487+78.01=187+78.01 | $61^{\prime}$ LT | $48^{\prime}$ | $8^{\prime}$ Shoulder + $2^{\prime}$ Barrier Offset $=10^{\prime}$ |
| RAMP O |  |  |  |
| 489+56.26 | 61' LT | 48' | 8'Shoulder $+2^{\prime}$ Offset $=10^{\prime}$ |
| 490+55.77 | 49' LT | $36^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ Shoulder $+2^{\prime}$ Offset $=12^{\prime}$ |

The conflict between the plan sheets arises from that the SR 2 mainline Sheet 295 calls out the actual shoulder widths to be $8^{\prime}$ and $10^{\prime}$, as opposed to the overall shoulder widths of $10^{\prime}$ and $12^{\prime}$ at each location respectively.

Q: Ref. 98-24" Conduit, Type C: Plan sheet 532, Ref. D-2 shown in subsummary as 24 " C. Details on plan sheet 917 calls this out as $27^{\prime \prime}$ C. Please clarify whether $24^{\prime \prime}$ or $27^{\prime \prime}$ in an addendum.
A: The $27^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit is correct. $148^{\prime}$ of $27^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type C was added, and $148^{\prime}$ of 24 " Conduit, Type C was subtracted from this addendum. 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223

THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 16, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 12
PID No. 13486
Lake-SR 2 - 3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 9 | 202 E 35100 | 13402 | FT | Pipe Removed, 24" and Under |
| 10 | 202 E 35200 | 1013 | FT | Pipe Removed, Over 24" |
| 141 | 605 E 11110 | 100354 | FT | 6" Shallow Pipe Underdrains With Fabric Wrap |
| 143 | 605 E 14020 | 100478 | FT | 6" Base Pipe Underdrains With Fabric Wrap |
| 630 | 513 E 10281 | 255271 | LB | Structural Steel Members, Level 4, As Per Plan |
| 671 | $513 E 10281$ | 255548 | LB | Structural Steel Members, Level 4, As Per Plan |
| 712 | $513 E 10240$ | 230201 | LB | Structural Steel Members, Level 2 |
| 743 | $513 E 10240$ | 185934 | LB | Structural Steel Members, Level 2 |
| 89 | $603 E 05900$ | 3269 | FT | 15" Conduit, Type B |
| 90 | $603 E 06100$ | 2034 | FT | 15" Conduit, Type C |
| 1034 | $888 E 14060$ | 394559 | SY <br> AA2 | Portland Cement Concrete Pavement, 11.52" Thick <br> (Non-Reinforced Per 452) |

## Revise Sheet:

Revise typical sections to depict Shallow Pipe Underdrains (30" Deep Typ.) for all of SR-91 and SR-306 Ramps, while SR-91 and SR-306 side roads should depict Base Pipe Underdrains, unless called out in the tables to utilize unclassified underdrains.

Revise the note, on sheet $967 / 1679$, that is titled Wall, Material and Color to delete any reference to motor joint color. This project will not utilize a separate motor joint color. The entire brick panel (highway and resident side), with the exception of the icons, posts and caps, shall be Federal Color \#595B-20109 red brick in color. The brick formliner brick size shall be 2 2/3" x 8 " for the highway and resident side.

## Add the following supplemental specification:

## 888 Portland Cement Concrete Pavement QC/QA Dated 04/18/08

All reference to Item 452 - 11.5" Non-Reinforced Concrete Pavement within the Bid Documents shall be revised to read Item 888 - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement, 11.5" Thick (NonReinforced per 452). Supplemental Specification 888 Portland Cement Concrete Pavement QC/QA applies to the mainline and ramp concrete pavement, for the concrete alternative only. CMS 451 or 452 shall apply to side road concrete pavement as detailed in the plans.

PN 420 applies only to Asphalt Concrete Pavement.

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: Further to data on sheet 976 please confirm that all steel components of the TL-4 transparent barrier system are coated "buff" after galvanizing.
A: Per note 8 on sheet 976 , only the vertical support posts shall be coated as per item 514, Buff color.

Q: We would suggest that coating be applied to all areas "exposed to view". Since there is colored acrylic sheeting covering all steel from the top of the precast barrier to the deck, could this portion of the steel framing just be left in a galvanized state?
A: Per note 8 on sheet 976 , only the vertical support posts shall be coated as per item 514 , Buff color.

Q: It is clear on sheet 976, that the non transparent acrylic panels are to be "buff color". Is the GSCC transparent sheet to be clear transparent with black filaments or a tinted color, (smoky brown), with black filaments?
A: There are no non transparent acrylic panels specified for this project. The transparent panels are not to be tinted, but should contain black filaments.

Q: On past projects the icon(sign) panels have always been a separate bid item to avoid an escalated cost for the regular noise wall items. Will ODOT consider the sign panels as a separate unit price item?
A: The icons should be included in the bid price of the concrete panels.
Q: Please clarify that the icon, (sign)panels is a projected relief from the face of the panel. What is the minimum relief of this projection?
A: The minimum relief should conform to the manufacturers specifications.
Q: Please clarify the brick formliner finish on the absorptive surface. Is the brick size 4 " x 12 " or 6" x 12"?
A: The "brick" size should be $2-2 / 3$ " x 8 ". See addendum this addendum.

Q: There is no specific elevation view of the residential side of the panels. Typically the rolled brick pattern has been a large CMU type pattern (8" x 16"). Please calrfiy the pattern on the concrete face of the noise wall panels.
A: $\quad$ The "brick" size should be the same on both sides $2-2 / 3$ " x 8 ".

Q: Also please clariy the coating for this side. Are the mortar joints to be left natural color? or is the whole panel to be coated one color, (buff)?
A: The panel color should be Federal color \#595B-20109 Red Brick. A separate mortar joint color is not to be utilized on this project. See addendum this addendum.

Q: On sheet 973 Post and panel caps are detailed and there is a note that integral caps are acceptable as decided by the project engineer. Integral caps cannot have the same dimensions as detailed on sheet 973, (20" wide for panel cap, and 26 " wide for post caps)
as typically the maximum overhang on a panel is 2 " and on the post there is no overhang, the cap detail is a false joint cast into the top of the post.If the post and panel caps can in fact be integral, please clarify the dimensions that the post and panel caps must be for this alternate.
A: If the contractor elects, an integral cap may be used. The successful bidder would submit an integral cap detail to the engineer for approval.

Q: Can manufacturers approved spacing, ie: 24 feet be used on this project or must we adhere to the plans as detailed?
A: The spacing in the plans must be adhered to.
Q: For the Bridge mounted TL-4 system, please specify the type and method of coating after all steel components are galvanized. Powder coating has been used in the past but is more expensive than an epoxy-urethane type finish. Please confirm which method is acceptable to ODOT?
A: Use an epoxy-urethane finish.
Q: Ref. 89-15" Conduit, Type B: plan sheet 881, ref. D-11 calls for type B conduit in subsummary but is shown on cross sections as type C conduit. Pipe run is outside the paved area. Please clarify whether this is to be type B or type C in an addendum.
A: The conduit should be 15", Type C. The quantities have been corrected in addendum \#12.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 17, 2008

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 13
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

## Proposal Addendum

For
LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7 | 202E30800 | 3900 | SY | Traffic Island Removed |
| 913 | 513 E 10280 | 662000 | LB | Structural Steel Members, Level 4 |

## Deleted Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 912 | $513 E 10220$ | 36500 | LB | Structural Steel Members, Level 1 |

The following documents were referenced in Addendum \#11. These documents have been attached to this addendum.

## 1. Reports are attached to this Addendum

Asbestos abatement inspection report for parcel 9, dated 3/24/08 for the Building Demolition at 36628 Vine St.

## 2. Special Provisions attached to this Addendum

Special Provisions, 404 Permit.

## Add the following Note:

## Item 619 Field Office, Type C, As Per Plan

In addition to the requirements of the CMS and the plan note on Sheet $44 / 1679$, provide an additional 25 'x25'(minimum) area of floor space to be used as a conference room for project-related meetings, provide a scanner capable of scanning $11 \times 17$ documents to a computer and increase the number of all-weather parking spaces to 20 spaces total.

Revise sheets 1421, 1423 and 1424: Delete the "Prebored Grouted Anchor Rod Assembly" note.

## REVISED plan sheet 260 is linked to this addendum.

Answers to Prebid Questions:
Q: Ref. 7- Traffic Island Removed: included in total plan quantity of 15,656 sq. yd. is a quantity of 7099 s.y. indicated on roadway subsummary sheet 260 . Is this a mistaken quantity? Please review this bid item takeoff and address in an addendum.
A: The quantities have been corrected in this addendum. See revised sheet 260 which is linked to this addendum.

Q: The underdrain subsummary on plan sheet 926 conflicts with the typical sections for some of the ramps and sideroads. For examples, 1.sr91 ramps and lost nation ramps typ sections call out 18" deep base ud but the subsummary calls out shallow ud which seems wrong $2 . s r 91$ typ sections call out shallow ud with a depth of 18 " but the subsummary lists it as base which seems right 3 . lost nation
typ sections call out shallow ud with a depth of 18" and the subsummary lists it as shallow which seems like it should be 18" base ud

A: Lost Nation Road Ramps/ Sideroad:
8692 Feet of Shallow Pipe Underdrain should be deducted from the bid contract, and 8692 Feet of Base Pipe Underdrain should be added. The Lost Nation Road/ St. Clair St./ Riverside Commons Typical Sections should show bubble \#18 for Base Pipe Underdrains as opposed to \#17 Shallow Pipe Underdrains. Quantities have been revised in addendum \#12.

SR 91 \& SR 306 Ramps/ Sideroads:
The actual depths for each run of underdrain are shown in the underdrain detail sheets. This is the accurate information and what should be used for bidding purposes. The quantities are correct. However, the typical sections are incorrect at some locations - All of SR 91 and SR 306 Ramps should use Shallow Pipe Underdrains (30" Deep Typ.), while SR 91 and SR 306 sideroads should use Base Pipe Underdrains, unless called out in the tables to use unclassified underdrains.

Q: Reference plan sheet 284 West bound Rt-2 approximate stations 349+75lt. to 357+00 lt. Please provide stations along with widths for the shoulder pavement and tapers. The shoulder widens in this area and typical sections or details do not provide this information. Also, sheet 804 Ramp D Pavement details have conflicting shoulder widths.
A: Below is the information from Microstation/ Geopak for the shoulder tapers:

STATION
349+74.87
352+18.79
356+04.15
(Begin Approach Slab)

OFFSET
59' LT/ 61’ LT
71.17’ LT/ 73’ LT 75.22’ LT/ 78.45’ LT

SHOULDER WIDTH
10' Shoulder + 2'Barrier Offset $=12^{\prime}$
20.6' Shoulder + 1.83 Barrier Offset $=22.43^{\prime}$
18.33' Shoulder $+1.83^{\prime}$ Barrier Offset $=20.16^{\prime}$

Q: Bridge LAK-2-0363 Lt \& Rt

- Plan sheets 1282 and 1283, rear abutment left and right, show 5 ea steel (bearing) retainers per abutment. Plan sheets 1294 and 1295, rear abutment left and right, show 3 ea steel retainers per abutment. Which is correct, 5 ea or 3 ea per local?
A: The correct number is 3 . The beam locations are indicated correctly on sheet 1299.
Q: Plan sheets 1283 and 1285, forward abutment right and left, show 5 ea steel (bearing) retainers per abutment. Plan sheets 1296 and 1297, forward abutment left and right, show 3 ea steel retainers per abutment. Which is correct 5 ea or 3 ea per local?
A: The correct number is 3. The beam locations are indicated correctly on sheet 1299.
Q: Bridge LAK-2-0400 Lt \& Rt
- Plan sheet 1348, upper right hand corner, under "Legend" heading, has two notes. The double asterisk note calls for 6 ea seismic steel retainers per abutment. The triple asterisk note calls for 4 ea bearing retainers per abutment. That totals 10 ea steel retainers per each abutment! These retainers do not show on the abutment drawings, bearing drawings, or abutment diaphragm drawings. Please confirm that 10 ea steel retainers are required at both abutments for both bridges.
A: The designer confirmed that 10 total at each abutment for both bridges (total=40) is correct.
Q: Plan sheet 1362, bottom half shows existing girder elevation. It appears ODOT wants 4 ea new bearing stiffeners $3 / 4$ " $\times 73 / 4$ " to be field welded at each pier on all existing (left/right) plate girders.

There are no notes to address this. Please confirm if these stiffeners are required.
A: On sheet 1362 the existing girder elevation calls out the proposed 3/4-inch X 7 3/4-inch bearing stiffeners. The designer confirms that they are required. For clarity the Pier Bearing Stiffener Detail on sheet 1364 should state the following under the detail title "Proposed Girder Shown, Existing Girder Similar"

Q: Where is this steel paid?
A: The additional quantity for the bearing stiffeners is 3956 LBS for the Lt . structure and 3956 lbs for the Rt. structure to be included under Item 513E10281 Structural Steel Members, Level 4, As Per Plan. See addendum \#12.

Q: Where is the existing paint removal and touch-up paid for these ocations?
A: This is paid for under Item 514E00050 Surface Preparation of Existing Structural Steel; 514E00056 Field Painting of Existing Structural Steel, Prime Coat; 514E00060 Field Painting Structural Steel, Intermediate Coat; and 514E00066 Field Painting Structural Steel, Finish Coat. See note 5 on sheets 1362 \& 1364.

Q: Bridge LAK-2-0486 Lt \& Rt

- Plan sheet 1421 (eastbound piers 1 and 2), and plan sheets 1423 and 1424 (westbound pier 1 and pier 2) have a note in plan view stating "prebored grouted anchor rod assembly (typ). See sheet 30/70 for details." Plan sheet 30/70 has no such detail and pier bearing detail on plan sheet 1449 shows no anchoring requirements. Please confirm that the referenced note does not apply to these bridges.
A: The notes in question on plan sheets 1421, 1423, and 1424 do not apply and should have been deleted. See addendum \#13.

Q: Structural steel, level 2 (left and right bridges) plan quantity appears low. The takeoff weight appears to include WF beams, splices and connection plates, only. Adding type 3 crossframes to both bridges, will make plan weight overrun. Please check left and right bridge steel weights.
A: It appears that your observation is correct. The quantities have been revised in addendum \#12:
a)LAK-2-0486L (Westbound): Item 51310240 Structural Steel Members, Level 2 = 230,201 LBS
b)LAK-2-0486R (Eastbound): Item 51310240 Structural Steel Members, Level $2=185,934$ LBS

Q: Bridge LAK-2-0530 Lt \& Rt

- Plan sheet 1514 (rear abutment-drilled shaft spiral) SP 402 appear to weigh $107.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ea}$. On plan sheet 1515 (forward abutment drilled shaft spiral) SP 402 appear to weigh $34.25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ea}$. Both rear and forward abutment drilled shaft spirals, SP 402 are identical in size/shape and should be the same weight. Please correct the appropriate plan sheet "table" weight.

Plan sheet 1514 (rear abutment-drilled shaft spiral) SP 403 appears to weigh 203.2 lb/ea. On plan sheet 1515 (forward abutment drilled shaft spiral) SP 403 appears to weigh $64.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ea}$. Both rear and forward abutment drilled shaft spirals, SP 403 are identical in size/shape and should be the same weight. Please correct the appropriate plan sheet "table" weight.
A: The revised weight for SP402 is 1737 lbs and SP403 is 4173lbs, on sheet 1514 . The revised weight for SP402 is 1853lbs and SP403 is 3734lbs on sheet 1515 . This reinforcing is included with respective Item 524 for payment.

Q: Bridge LAK-2-0542

- Plan sheet 1551 lists two structural steel estimated quantity pay items: the first is level 1 and
the second is level 4. There are no general notes or steel notes defining what is to be included under each pay item. Level 4 appears to include girders, stiffeners and splice plates. Level 1 appears to cover intermediate crossframes. Please confirm that it is the designer's intent to split these items as outlined above.
A: Item 513-Structural Steel Members, Level 1 has been deleted, and Item 513 - Structural Steel Members, Level 4 has been increased to 662,000 lbs in addendum \#13.

Q: Plan sheet 1576, web splice detail shows the bottom flange "outside" plate as 5/8" $\times 12$ " and 3' - 7' long and the bottom flange "inside" plates as $1 / 2$ " x 5 " and 3 " - 7 ' long. Since the bottom flange is 24 " wide on both sides of the splice, we believe the bottom flange outside plate should be $5 / 8$ " $\times 24$ " and 3' - 7' long and the bottom flange "inside" plates should be $1 / 2$ " x 11 " and 3 ' - 7 ' long. Please review and advise what is required at the bottom flange.
A: On sheet 1576 , the inside bottom flange splice plates should be $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ x $11^{\prime \prime}$ x $3^{\prime}-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$. The outside flange splice plates should be 5/8" x 24" x 3'-7".No quantity changes are required.

Q: Please confirm that the ATT utility work (ls) includes 20 ea steel bottom chord supports not paid as part of level 1 steel.
A: Confirmed.
Q: Please confirm that the Dominion utility work (ls) includes 6 ea steel bottom chord supports not paid as part of level 1 steel.
A: Confirmed.
Q: Bridge LAK-2-0760 Lt \& Rt

- Plan sheet 1607 and 1609 show 1 ea intermediate diaphragm for each structure (WB and EB). Can these diaphragms be galvanized steel MC $18 \times 42.7$, or must they be cast is place concrete intermediate diaphragms?
A: The diagrams shall be cast-in-place concrete as shown in the plans.
Q: Addendum No. 1 - Added Bid Items
ODOT added 97 ea pile splices in addendum no. 1 between the following bridges:

| Bridge | Piles | Splices | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0363 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{R}$ | 72 ea | 24 ea | 33.3 |
| $0400 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{R}$ | 44 ea | 38 ea | 26.4 |
| $0486 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{R}$ | 95 ea | 10 ea | 10.5 |
| 0542 | 112 ea | 25 ea | 22.3 |

Since none of the bridges require splices due to order lengths, what is ODOT's intent here? We don't see why any of these would be performed. Based on soil borings piles will probably underdrive!
A: These were added as a contingency quantity as directed by FHWA.
Q: There is no quantity for subgrade compaction or 12" cement stabilization for Vine St., Lakeland Blvd. or Riverside Commons Drive in the latest version of the office calculations (posted 12/2/08). Is this accurate?
A: A revised spreadsheet has been posted on the Department's Question \& Answer board today (December 17, 2008.) There are quantities for subgrade compaction for Vine St., Lakeland Blvd. and Riverside Commons on the spreadsheet. Since cement stabilization is not specified for these roads, there is no quantity for it.

Q: Ref. 10- Pipe Removed, over $24^{\prime \prime}$ : Plan sheets 881 and 882 call for $870^{\prime}$ of $24^{\prime \prime}$ pipe to be removed as part of this item, which in the subsummary sheet 840 is listed as "Pipe Removed, 24" and over". This
appears to be under the wrong bid item. Please review and revise quantities for ref. 9 and ref. 10 in addendum.
A: The item description was incorrect. 870 FT was subtracted from Ref. No. 10 and 870 was added to ref. No. 9 in addendum \#12.

Q: $\quad$ The bid quantity for Subgrade Compaction per Addendum No. 6 is 35,411 SY. However, the sum of the Subgrade Compaction quantities from the latest version of the pavement office calculations (posted $12 / 2 / 08$ ) is 27,456 SY. Please clarify.
A: A revised spreadsheet has been posted on the Department's Question \& Answer board today (December 17, 2008.) According to the latest spreadsheet, the subgrade compaction quantity is 33,848 SY which was reflected in addendum \#11.

Q: In the latest version of the roadway office calculations (posted 12/2/08) the Vine Street \& associated ramps quantities from the "copy13468GC200" spreadsheet differ from the summary in the "ARCADIS Revised PAVEMENT-CALCS_11-21-08" spreadsheet. Please clarify.
A: A revised spreadsheet has been posted on the Department's Question \& Answer board today (December 17, 2008.)

Q: Ref. 52- Rock Channel Protection, Type B, with Filter: Quantities on plan sheets 855 through 858 appear to be understated when actually taking off areas that are designated. Please review, revise, and address these volumes in an addendum.
A: In general, the quantity that is dimensioned, or called out in the plan/profile view should be used, as opposed to what is geometrically shown in the plan view. That being said, there were some small quantity changes reflected in addendum \#11.
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# SPECIAL PROVISIONS 

## 404 PERMIT- WATERWAY PERMITS

CRS: LAK-2-3.32<br>PID: 13486

- 404 INDIVIDUAL PERMIT FROM THE US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS. (Permit No. 2006-2200-CHA, EFFECTIVE 10/29/2008, EXPIRES ON 12/31/2013)

Operations and Readiness Division
Regulatory Branch
2006-2200-CHA (Chagrin River)
LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486

Mr. James G. Beasley<br>Ohio Department of Transportation<br>1980 West Broad Street<br>Columbus, Ohio 43223<br>Dear Mr. Beasley:

Enclosed is one original and one copy of Department of the Army (DA) Permit Number 2007-342-GMR, authorizing the placement of fill material into waters of the United States for activities associated with the State Route (SR) 2 reconstruction project located between SR 91 on the west and SR 44 on the east, near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby and Mentor in Lake County, Ohio.

Work associated with the proposed project would involve the permanent placement of approximately 4,285 cubic yards of fill material into 3,547 linear feet of fourteen jurisdictional streams and approximately 32,295 cubic yards of fill material into 3.53 acres of six jurisdictional wetlands for the construction of a fourth lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 91 and SR 640 (Vine Street), and between SR 44 N (Heisley Road) and SR 44 S; a third lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 640 and SR 44 N. In addition, the project also consists of reconfiguration of seven interchanges along SR 2 (SR 91, SR 640, Lost Nation Road, SR 306, SR 615, SR 44 north and SR 44 south), widening the existing bridges over Newell Creek (Stream 10) and the Chagrin River, replacement of the existing bridge over Heisley Creek (Stream 15), construction of a new 37 -foot wide bridge over the Chagrin River for Ramp D, replacement of existing culverts, and patching and waterproofing of concrete slab structures within this section of the SR 2 corridor. The project would also involve the temporary placement of approximately 444 cubic yards of fill material into 0.07 acre of the Chagrin River for construction access.

The original copy of this permit is for your records. The enclosed copy of the authorization must be supplied to the project engineers responsible for the construction activities.

If any changes in the location and plans of the work are found necessary, revised plans must be submitted to this office for approval as required by law, before work is initiated. It is imperative that this office be notified two weeks prior to the commencement of construction, and again upon completion of activities.

If you have any questions regarding the information in this letter or the enclosures, please contact Peter Clingan at 614-692-4654.


Rebecca A. Rutherford Chief, North Regulatory Section

## Enclosures

## Copies Furnished w/ enclosures

## Mr. Arthur Coleman

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Division of Surface Water
Post Office Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Mr. Mark Epstein
Ohio Historic Preservation Office
567 East Hudson Street
Columbus, Ohio 43221-1130
Ms. Mary Knapp
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

6950 Americana Parkway, Suite H
Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068-4127
Mr. Michael Pettegrew
Office of Environmental Services
Ohio Department of Transportation
Post Office Box 899
Columbus, Ohio 43216-0899
Mr. Ric QueenOhio Environmental Protection AgencyDivision of Surface Water
Post Office Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Mr. Donald Rostofer
Office of Environmental Services
Ohio Department of Transportation
Post Office Box 899
Columbus, Ohio 43216-0899
Mr. Dave Schulenburg
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region V, WQW-16-J
77 West Jackson Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

# Permittee: Ohio Department of Transportation <br> Permit No: 2006-2200-CHA (Chagrin River) <br> Issuing Office: Huntington District 

NOTE: The term "you" and its derivatives, as used in this permit, means the permittee or any future transferee. The term "this office" refers to the appropriate district or division office of the Corps of Engineers having jurisdiction over the permitted activity or the appropriate official of that office acting under the authority of the commanding officer.

You are authorized to perform work in accordance with the terms and conditions specified below.
Project Description: This permit authorizes the placement of fill material for activities associated with the State Route (SR) 2 reconstruction project located between SR 91 on the west and SR 44 on the east, near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby and Mentor in Lake County, Ohio.

Work associated with the proposed project would involve the permanent placement of approximately 4,285 cubic yards of fill material into 3,547 linear feet of fourteen jurisdictional streams and approximately 32,295 cubic yards of fill material into 3.53 acres of six jurisdictional wetlands for the construction of a fourth lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 91 and SR 640 (Vine Street), and between SR 44 N (Heisley Road) and SR 44 S ; a third lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 640 and SR 44 N . In addition, the project also consists of reconfiguration of seven interchanges along SR 2 (SR 91, SR 640, Lost Nation Road, SR 306, SR 615, SR 44 north and SR 44 south), widening the existing bridges over Newell Creek (Stream 10) and the Chagrin River, replacement of the existing bridge over Heisley Creek (Stream 15), construction of a new 37 -foot wide bridge over the Chagrin River for Ramp D, replacement of existing culverts, and patching and waterproofing of concrete slab structures within this section of the SR 2 corridor. The project would also involve the temporary placement of approximately 444 cubic yards of fill material into 0.07 acre of the Chagrin River for construction access.

Project Location: The project is located in fourteen jurisdictional streams and six jurisdictional wetlands along State Route (SR) 2 between SR 91 on the west and SR 44 on the east, near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby and Mentor in Lake County, Ohio.

Permit Conditions:
General Conditions:

1. The time limit for completing the work authorized ends on December 31. 2013. If you find that you need more time to complete the authorized activity, submit your request for a time extension to this office for consideration at least one month before the above date is reached.
2. You must maintain the activity authorized by this permit in good condition and in conformance with the terms and conditions of this permit. You are not relieved of this requirement if you abandon the permitted activity, although you may make a good faith transfer to a third party in compliance with General Condition 4 below. Should you wish to cease to maintain the authorized activity or should you desire to abandon it without a good faith transfer, you must obtain a modification of this permit from this office, which may require restoration of the area.
3. If you discover any previously unknown historic or archeological remains while accomplishing the activity authorized by this permit, you must immediately notify this office of what you have found. We will initiate the Federal and state coordination required to determine if the remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
4. If you sell the property associated with this permit, you must obtain the signature of the new owner in the space provided and forward a copy of the permit to this office to validate the transfer of this authorization.
5. If a conditioned water quality certification has been issued for your project, you must comply with the conditions specified in the certification as special conditions to this permit. For your convenience, a copy of the certification is attached if it contains such conditions.
6. You must allow representatives from this office to inspect the authorized activity at any time deemed necessary to ensure that it is being or has been accomplished in accordance with the terms and conditions of your permit.

Special Conditions:

## The Special Conditions for this permit are listed on a separate page and are titled "Special Conditions for the Authorization Issued to Ohio Department of Transportation 2006-2200-CHA, LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486."

Further Information:

1. Congressional Authorities: You have been authorized to undertake the activity described above pursuant to:
(x) Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403).
(x) Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).
() Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 1413).
2. Limits of this authorization:
a. This permit does not obviate the need to obtain other Federal, state, or local authorizations required by law.
b. This permit does not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.
c. This permit does not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.
d. This permit does not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project.
3. Limits of Federal Liability: In issuing this permit, the Federal Government does not assume any liability for the following:
a. Damages to the permitted project or uses thereof as a result of other permitted or unpermitted activities or from natural causes.
b. Damages to the permitted project or uses thereof as a result of current or future activities undertaken by or on behalf of the United States in the public interest.
c. Damages to persons, property, or to other permitted or unpermitted activities or structures caused by the activity authorized by this permit.
d. Design or construction deficiencies associated with the permitted work.
e. Damage claims associated with any future modification, suspension, or revocation of this permit.
4. Reliance on Applicant's Data: The determination of this office that issuance of this permit is not contrary to the public interest was made in reliance on the information you provided.
5. Reevaluation of Permit Decision: This office may reevaluate its decision on this permit at any time the circumstances warrant. Circumstances that could require a reevaluation include, but are not limited to, the following:
a. You fail to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit.
b. The information provided by you in support of your permit application proves to have been false, incomplete, or inaccurate (See 4 above).
c. Significant new information surfaces, which this office did not consider in reaching the original public interest decision.

Such a reevaluation may result in a determination that it is appropriate to use the suspension, modification, and revocation procedures contained in 33 CFR 325.7 or enforcement procedures such as those contained in 33 CFR 326.4 and 326.5 . The referenced enforcement procedures provide for the issuance of an administrative order requiring you to comply with the terms and conditions of your permit and for the initiation of legal action where appropriate. You will be required to pay for any corrective measures ordered by this office, and if you fail to comply with such directive, this office may in certain situations (such as those specified in 33 CFR 209.170) accomplish the corrective measures by contract or otherwise and bill you for the cost.
6. Extensions. General condition 1 establishes a time limit for the completion of the activity authorized by this permit. Unless there are circumstances requiring either a prompt completion of the authorized activity or a reevaluation of the public interest decision, the Corps will normally give favorable consideration to a request for an extension of this time limit.

Your signature below, as permitee, indicates that you accept and agree to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit.


This permit becomes effective when the Fed feral official, designated to act for the Secretary of the Army, has signed below.


When the structures or work authorized by this permit are still in existence at the time the property is transferred, the terms and conditions of this permit will continue to be binding on the new owners) of the property. To validate the transfer of this permit and the associated liabilities associated with compliance with its terms and conditions, have the transferee sign and date below.

# Special Conditions for the Authorization <br> Issued to Ohio Department of Transportation <br> 2006-2200-CHA <br> LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486 <br> Page 1(2) 

1. Work shall be done in accordance with the attached plans labeled 2006-2200-CHA, LAK-23.32, PID: 13486, sheets $1-50$.
2. All conditions attached to or contained within the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency's Water Quality Certification dated August 12, 2008, are hereby incorporated by reference as being special conditions of this permit.
3. To compensate for 3.53 acres of unavoidable wetland impacts, the permittee shall purchase a total of 5.4 acres of wetland credit from the Trumbull Creek Wetland Mitigation Bank located on SR 166 along the Ashtabula-Geauga County line and provide this office with an updated balance sheet.
4. To compensate for 3,547 linear feet of unavoidable stream impacts, the applicant proposes to place a 200 -foot easement ( 100 feet on each side) along 5,321 linear feet of stream on a 155 -acre parcel in northwest Trumbull County identified as Groves Woods, which includes a portion of Garden Creek as well as Class II and Class III primary headwater tributaries. The permitte shall provide this office with a copy of the conservation easement within one year from the date of issuance of a permit.
5. All temporary fill material must be removed to an upland location at the completion of construction activities and the river bottom restored to pre-construction contours to the maximum extent practicable.
6. Appropriate site specific best management practices (BMP) for sediment and erosion control will be fully implemented during construction activities at the site. The BMPs include, but are not limited to, the utilization of silt fences, straw bales, check dams, mulching and seeding.
7. Prior to the initiation of any construction activities on bridges, including the removal of any bridge structures, the underside of each bridge must be carefully examined for the presence of bats, especially between April 1 and September 30. If any bats are found roosting on the underside of the bridge, you will immediately contact the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Reynoldsburg Field Office at (614) 469-6923.

2006-2200-CHA
LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486
Page 2(2)
8. Section 7 obligations under the Federal Endangered Species Act must be reconsidered if new information revealing impacts of the proposed project that may affect federally listed species or critical habitat in a manner not previously considered, the project is subsequently modified to include actions which were not considered during Section 7 consultation with the USFWS or new species are listed or critical habitat designated might be affected by the proposed project.
9. In the event of an inadvertent discovery of archaeological or cultural resources, including suspected human remains, during construction activities on site, you shall immediately cease all work and contact this office at 614-692-4654 and the Ohio Historic Preservation Office at 614-298-2000. We will initiate the Federal, state, and Native American coordination required to determine if the remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. If such events occur, you shall ensure work on site is not reinitiated until you have received notification in writing from this office that obligations under Section 106 or the National Historic Preservation Act are fulfilled and on-site disturbance may occur. In the event that human remains are discovered, you shall also contact the Lake County Sheriff's office at 440-350-5620.
10. The permittee must obtain any required flood hazard area development permits prior to construction.
11. The permittee is solely responsible for insuring that all activities are performed in compliance with all permit conditions.
12. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that all contractors and/or workers executing the activity(s) authorized by this permit have knowledge of the terms and conditions of the authorization and that a copy of the permit document with attached special conditions and site development plan is kept at the site during construction.
13. If any changes in the location and/or plans, or proposed impacts of the project are found necessary, the permittee must submit written information concerning the proposed modification(s) to this office for review and evaluation.

|  REOUNSTHOR APBEATE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applicant: Ohio Department of Transportation |  | File Number:2006-2200-CHA | Date: 10/29/08 |
| Attached is: |  |  | See Section below |
| X | INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission) |  | A |
|  | PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission) |  | B |
|  | PERMIT DENIAL |  | C |
|  | APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION |  | D |
|  | PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION |  | E |
|  <br>  Coms regulations at 33 CPR Part 331. |  |  |  |

A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.

- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.

| If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact: | If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ginger Mullins, Chief, Regulatory Branch, 304-399-5389 |  |  |
| Rebecca Rutherford, Ch, North Regulatory Section 304-399-5210 |  |  |
| Mark Taylor, Chief, South Regulatory Section, 304 399-5710 | Mr. Mike Montone <br> Great Lakes and Ohio River Division 550 Main Street, Room 10032 |  |
| Address: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers | Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3222 <br> Phone: (513) 684-6212 |  |
| Regulatory Branch |  |  |
| $5028^{\text {th }}$ Street <br> Huntington, WV 25701 |  |  |
| RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Date | Telephone number: |
| Signature of appellant or agent. |  |  |

# SPECIAL PROVISIONS 

# 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION - WATERWAY PERMITS 

CRS: LAK-2-3.32
PID: 13486

- 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION FROM THE OHIO EPA (PERMIT NO. 083387; EFFECTIVE 08/12/2008, EXPIRES ON 08/12/2013)


# OhioEPA 

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
STREET ADDRESS:
Lazarus Government Center
50 W . Town St., Suite 700
Columbus, Ohio 43215

## Certified Mail

August 12, 2008
1 certify this to be a true and accurate copy of the official documents as filed in the records of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

Mr. James G. Beasley, P.E., P.S., Director
Ohio Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223
c/o Timothy M. Hill, Administrator, Office of Environmental Services
Re: Lake County
Grant of Section 401 Water Quality Certification
Project: (Minimal Degradation Alternative) to enhance safety and reduce
congestion, and add third lane on State Route (SR) 2 in Lake County, Ohio.
ACOE Public Notice No. 2006-2200-CHA
Ohio EPA ID No. 083387
ODOT ID Code:LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486
Ladies and Gentlemen:
The Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency hereby authorizes the above referenced project under the following authority.

## Section 401 Water Quality Certification

Pursuant to Section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, Public Law 95-217, the Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency hereby certifies that the abovereferenced project will comply with the applicable provisions of Sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

This authorization is specifically limited to a 401 water quality certification with respect to water pollution and does not relieve the applicant of further certifications or Permits as may be necessary under the law. I have determined that a lowering of water quality in the Chagrin River Watershed (HUC 04100009) as authorized by this certification is necessary. I have made this determination based upon the consideration of all public comments, and including the technical, social, and economic considerations concerning this application and its impact on waters of the state.


Ohio Department of Transportation
August 12, 2008
Page 2
| I. On-Site Water Resources and impacts
A. Jurisdictional Wetlands

|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{HAB}^{W}$ | HEvent |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weta | Wetland | Cocalon |  |  | Wetland yye THKN |  | Total Size hopacte | Thoact | $\%$ <br> Vavere |
|  | Wat | Leng |  |  |  | aStes |  |  | Kid |
| Wetland $4 / 5$ | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | Mod. <br> 2 <br> 1 | PEM | 1.48 | 0.02 | Fill | 98.65 |
| Wetland 7 | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} N$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{n} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 27.0 | 1 |  | 2.23 | 1.92 | Fill | 14.35 |
| Wetland 11 | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 22.0 | 1 | PEM | 2.40 | 0.01 | Fill | 99.58 |
| Wetland $12$ | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 24 | 1 | PEM | 1.64 | 1.41 | Fill | 14.02 |
| Wetland 21 | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 | 1 | PEM | 0.20 | 0.12 | Fill | 40.0 |
| Wetland 30 | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} N$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 7.0 | 1 | PEM | 0,56 | 0.05 | Fill | 91.07 |
|  |  | 1OTM | Alice |  |  | 8.51 | 3.53 |  |  |

[^4]Ohio Department of Transportation
August 12, 2008
Page 3
B. Jurisdictional Streams

| Stieam MD | Steam Coors <br> lut | ation SSSS Hates <br> EOMG | Q4 UH1] <br> Score | Vesindate | mpact lench 11 | Impact Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1 (UT to Mentor Marsh | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} 23^{n} \mathrm{~W}$ | 56 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 70 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 2 (UT to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64 HHEl | Class II, PHWH | 40 | Culvert |
| Stream 3 (UT to Stream 1 to Mentor Marsh) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 45 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 907 | Relocation |
| Stream 4 (UT to Wasson Ditch) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 50 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 1,050 | Relocation |
| Stream 5 (UT to Heisley Creek) | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64 HHEl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class III, } \\ & \text { PHWH } \end{aligned}$ | 33 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 6 (Marsh Creek) | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64.25 QHEI | WWH | 65 | Repair concrete slab |
| Stream 8 (UT to Stream 9) | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 14 HHEI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class I, } \\ & \text { PHWH } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 9 (UT to UT to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 78 HHEl | Class III, PHWH | 120 | Repair concrete slab |
| Stream 10 (Newell Creek) | $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 24 HHEl | Class I, PHWH | 240 | Bridge work |
| Stream 12 (UT to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53 \prime \mathrm{~W}$ | 69 HHEI | Class ill, PHWH | 161 | Culvert replacement |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stream } 13 \text { (UT } \\ & \text { to Chagrin River) } \end{aligned}$ | $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 51 HHEI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class II, } \\ & \text { PHWH } \end{aligned}$ | 85 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 14 (Wasson Ditch) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 HHEl | WWH, provisional | 105 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 15 (Heisley Creek) | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 57.5 QHEI | WWH | 320 | Bridge work |
| Stream 16 (Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 QHEI | WWH | 281 | Bridge work |
|  |  | diveravo |  |  | $3547 /$ |  |

*As provided by applicant
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## II. General Conditions

A: All water resources and their buffers which are to be avoided shall be clearly indicated on site drawings and demarcated in the field with suitable materials, prior to site disturbance. These materials shall remain in place and be maintained throughout the construction process. The water resources also shall be protected with suitable materials, including silt fencing if appropriate, prior to site disturbance. These materials shall remain in place and be maintained throughout the construction process.
B. Best Management Practices (BMPs) must be employed throughout the course of this project to avoid the creation of unnecessary turbidity which may degrade water quality or adversely affect aquatic life outside of the project area.
C. Work shall only take place during low water conditions in order to minimize adverse impacts to water quality away from the project site.
D. Temporary fill shall consist of suitable non-erodible material or shall be stabilized to prevent erosion.
E. Materials used in this project for fill or bank protection shall consist of suitable material free from toxic contaminants in other than trace quantities. Broken asphalt is specifically excluded from use as bank protection.
F. BMPs shall be taken during construction to minimize erosion.
G. BMPs shall be taken upon completion of this project, to ensure bank stability. This may include, but is not limited to, bank seeding.
H. Procedures shall be developed and implemented to eliminate the possibility of spills and to control dust that may enter the waterway by runoff or point discharge.
I. Unpermitted impacts to surface water resources and/or their buffers occurring as a result of this project will be reported within 24 hours of occurrence to Ohio EPA for further evaluation.
J. In temporary impact areas where trees have been removed to facilitate construction, they shall be replaced with appropriate native tree species.
L. Permittee shall be in compliance with the NPDES General Construction Permit for all phases of this project.
M. Other permits may be required by Ohio EPA. For information concerning application procedures, contact the Ohio EPA District Office at the following address:

Northeast District office 2110 East Aurora Road
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
N. Representatives from the Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water will be allowed to inspect the authorized activity at any time deemed necessary to insure that it is being or has been accomplished in accordance with the terms and conditions of this water quality certification.
O. In order to protect the Indiana bat from impacts from this development, the applicant shall not cut bat habitat trees between April $15^{\text {th }}$ and September $15^{\text {th }}$.
P. The bottom elevations shall be restored as nearly as possible to preproject conditions.
Q. Blasting will not be done within or near stream channels without prior consultation with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, to determine what protective measures should be taken to minimize damage to fish and other aquatic life.
R. Cadmium chromium arsenate (CCA) and creosote treated lumber shall not be used in structures in contact with waters of the state.
S. This project may affect the drinking water wells for the adjoining CityNillage. Precautions must be taken to limit any affect on the water supply. Officials at the CityNillage should be notified before beginning the project and activities shall be coordinated with them.
T. If pesticide application(s) are proposed for the control of invasive plant species, a site specific application permit must be obtained by calling 614-644-2001 and speaking with the Toxicology Specialist.

## ill. MITIGATION

A. Description of Required Wetland Mitigation

The Permittee shall compensate for the 3.53 acres of impacts to jurisdictional wetlands by purchasing a minimum of 5.4 mitigation credits from the Trumbull Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank, located on SR 166 along the AshtabulaGeauga County line.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

August 12, 2008
Page 6

## B. Timing of Required Wetland Mitigation

The Permittee shall provide Ohio EPA with an updated balance sheet showing it has purchased 5.4 mitigation credits from the Trumbull Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank within six months from the date of issuance of this certificate.

## C. Compensatory Stream Mitigation

The Permittee shall compensate for the estimated 3,547 linear feet of impacts to the designated streams in the project area by preserving 5,321 linear feet ( 3,547 If X 1.5 ) of streams at Groves Woods, a Cleveland Museum of Natural History site in Trumbull, County, Ohio. The mitigation streams consists of Class Il and Class III Primary Headwater Habitat (PHWH) streams.

## B. Timing of Required Stream Mitigation

The Permittee shall obtain a minimum of 5,321 linear feet of streams that are protected with a conservation easement, in perpetuity. The Permittee shall further provide Ohio EPA with a copy of the conservation easement for the Groves Woods site within one year from the date of issuance of this certificate.

## IV. Notifications To Ohio EPA

All notifications, correspondence, and reports regarding this certification shall reference the following information:

| Permittee: | Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Enhancement of SR 2 |
|  | LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486 |

Ohio EPA ID\#: 083387
and shall be sent to: Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401 Unit Lazarus Government Center 55 West Town Street, Suite 700
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Ohio Department of Transportation
August 12, 2008
Page 7
You are hereby notified that this action of the Director is final and may be Appealed to the Environmental Review Appeals Commission pursuant to Section 3745.04 of the Ohio Revised Code. The appeal must be in writing and set forth the action complained of and the grounds upon which the appeal is based. The appeal must be filed with the Commission within thirty (30) days after notice of the Director's action. The appeal must be accompanied by a filing fee of $\$ 70.00$ which the Commission, in its discretion, may reduce if by affidavit you demonstrate that payment of the full amount of the fee would cause extreme hardship. Notice of the filing of the appeal shall be filed with the Director within three (3) days of filing with the Commission. Ohio EPA requests that a copy of the appeal be served upon the Ohio Attorney General's Office, Environmental Enforcement Section. An appeal may be filed with the Environmental Review Appeals Commission at the following address: Environmental Review Appeals Commission, 309 South Fourth Street, Room 222, Columbus, OH 43215

Sincerely,

cc: Deborah L Wegmann, Team Leader, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Huntington District, Ohio Regulatory Transportation Office Wayne Gorski; U.S. EPA, Region 5, William Cody, Asst. Administrator, OES/ODOT Mike Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permits Unit, OES/ODOT Don Rostofer, Supervisor, Ecological Unit, OES/ODOT
Karen L. Hallberg, USF\&W (Reynoldsburg Office)
Brian Mitch, ODNR
Ed Wilk, NEDO/Ohio EPA
Joe Loucek, NEDO/Ohio EPA

## SPECIAL PROVISIONS

# OHIO EPA ISOLATED WETLAND PERMIT - WATERWAY PERMITS 

## CRS: LAK-2-3.32 PID: 13486

- OHIO EPA ISOLATED WETLAND PERMIT (PERMIT No. 083337; EFFECTIVE 3/12/2008, EXPIRES ON 3/12/2010)

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency


Dear Mr. Hill:
On April 10, 2007, the Director of the Ohio EPA, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Section 6111.021 issued the Ohio General Permit for filling Category 1 and Category 2 Isolated Wetlands. The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) has submitted a complete and acceptable General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (Level 1) and, after our review, hereby has been granted a General Isolated Wetland Permit, effective March 12, 2008, relative to the above referenced project. This permit only authorizes the designated impacts ( 0.02 acres) to Isolated Wetlands W-3 and W-13 described in the application.

We received the permit application in our office on March 7, 2008. The project consists of the construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street, and third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44, in Lake County, Ohio. In addition, construction work will include full shoulders and concrete median barrier, and modification of seven freeway interchanges. The project is estimated to impact 0.02 acres of isolated wetlands.

Please note ODOT is required to abide by all of the provisions of the General Permit, specifically the permit conditions listed in Part III and the mitigation requirements listed in Part IV. Per ORC Section 6111.022 ( $E$ ) and Part V of the General Permit, the proposed filling of the isolated wetlands must be completed within two years of the date of this permit. If ODOT does not complete the filling within this two year period, it must submit a new pre-activity notice (PAN) to Ohio EPA. According to the application, ODOT shall provide compensatory mitigation for the 0.02 acres of impacts to Wetlands W-3 and W-13 by deducting 0.03 acres of wetland mitigation credits from the Trumbull

[^5]Timothy M. Hill, Administrator Ohio Department of Transportation Office of Environmental Services General Isolated Wetland Permit (Level One) LAK-2-3.31, PID 13486
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Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank located on State Route 166 along the AshtabulaGeauga County line. ODOT shall provide Ohio EPA with a balance sheet or equivalent documentation showing it has withdrawn the specified number of credits.
Please note in accordance with Part IV (F) of the General Permit, when mitigation will occur at an approved wetland mitigation bank, mitigation credits must be acquired within 15 days after receipt of the written notice of approval authorizing impacts to isolated wetlands.
We thank you for submitting the isolated wetland permit application for our review. If you have any questions or issues you would like to discuss regarding this determination or the project, please contact me at (614) 644-2138.
Sincerely,

Arthur L. Coleman, Jr, Ohio EPA, DSW
Environmental Mitigation and Special Permitting Section

cc: Deborah Wegmann, USACE, Huntington District Wayne Gorski, US EPA/Region V William Cody, Asst. Administrator, OES/ODOT Mike Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permit Unit, OES/ODOT Donald Rostofer, Supervisor, Ecological Systems Unit, OES/ODOT Ed Wilk, NEDO/Ohio EPA<br>Megan Seymour, USF\&W (Reynoldsburg Office) Brian Mitch, ODNR

# SPECIAL PROVISIONS 

## COMBINED 404/401 WATERWAY PERMIT APPLICATION WATERWAY PERMITS

CRS: LAK-2-3.32
PID: 13486

- COMBINED 404/401 WATERWAY PERMIT APPLICATION (SUBMITTED TO THE US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND OHIO EPA ON MARCH 5, 2008)

Section 404 Application for Department of the Army Permit and Section 401 Application for the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Water Quality Certification

LAK-2-3.32 (PID 13486) Reconstruction Project in the Municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor Lake County, Ohio

## By

## Richard M. Paul, Ecologist/Environmental Specialist

> Submitted By:
> Andrew Campbell
> Project Manager
> ASC Group, Inc.

4620 Indianola Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43214
614.268.2514

> Submitted to: Scott Graham, P.E. ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 1100 Superior Avenue Suite 1250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216.7816177  Lead Agency: Ohio Department of Transportation

March 3, 2008
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Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 hours per response, meluding the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Delense, Washington Headquaters Service Directorate of Infirmation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Sutie 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302; and to the Oftice of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0710-0003), Washington, DC 20503. Please DO NOT RETURN your form to either of those addresses. Completed applications must be submitted to the District Engineer having jurisdiction over the location of the proposed activity.

## PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

Authority: 33 USC 401, Section 10:1413, Section 404. Principal Purpose: These laws require authorizing activities in, or affecting, navigable waters of the United States, the discharge or till material into waters of the United Slates, and the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it into ocean waters. Routine Uses: Infomation provided on this form will be used in evaluating the application for a permit. Disclosure: Disclosure of requested information is voluntary. If intormation is not provided, however, the pemit application cannot be processed nor can a pennit be issued. One set of original drawings or good reproducible copies which show the location and character of the proposed activity must be attached to this application (see sample drawings and instructions) and be submitted to the District Engineer having jurisdiction over the location of the proposed activity. An application that is not completed in full will be returned.
(ITEMS 1 THRU 4 TO BE FILLED BY THE CORPS)

16. OTHER LOCATION DESCRIPTIONS, IF KNOWN isee instructions) Section, Township. Range, Lat/Lon, andior Accessors's Parcet Number, for example. Along State Route 2 near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor in Lake County.

## 17. DIRECTIONS TO THE SITE

From Cleveland take I-90 east. Exit at Exit 189 (State Route 91) and go north. The project begins at the State Route $2 /$ State Route 91 intersection and extends east to the State Route 44 junction.

## 18. Nature of Activity Description of project, melude all features)

The proposed project includes the addition of a third lane from State Route 640 to State Route 44 , the reconfiguration of seven Interchanges, concrete slab structures need to be patched and waterproofed, existing pipe culverts and box culverts need to be replaced, widening of existing I beam and three-span steel beam bridge, and the construction of a new 37 foot wide three-span steel beam bridge are all required. Fourteen streams and six wetlands will be affected by the proposed project. The streams and wetlands were identified through the preparation of the Ecological Survey Report including OHEI and HHEI data forms for the streams and ORAM forms for wetlands. Reference Table A, summary of streams impacted and Table B, summary of wetlands impacted for more detailed information.
19. Project Purpose (Describe the reason or purpose of the project, see instructions)

The primary purposes for improvements to the LAK-2-3.32 corridor are to enhance safety and reduce congestion on State Route 2 in Lake County for both local and through traffic. This fimited-access freeway facility displays functional and operational issues based on current traffic operation, safety considerations, and physical roadway deficiencies. Traffic congestion and outdated design features contribute to a high accident rate and safety concerns on the State Route 2 freeway mainline and interchanges. Maintenance activities for the roadway surface, highway lighting, and drainage facilities are becoming more extensive, frequent, and expensive for the responsible agencies.

## USE BLOCKS 20-22 IF DREDGED AND/OR FILL MATERIAL IS TO BE DISCHARGED

## 20. Reason (s) for Discharge

Placement of fill in streams and wetlands is necessary to allow for proper roadway geometry and to be in compliance with ODOT specifications. Existing concrete slab structures need to be patched and waterproofed, existing pipe culverts and box culverts need to be replaced, widening of existing I beam and three-span steel beam bridge, and the construction of a new 37 foot wide three-span steel beam bridge are all required. Temporary discharge is necessary to create a temporary work area for replacement of the existing piers and abutments. Temporary fill will be removed upon completion of the project. Permanent fill will be necessary for construction of the abutments and approach slabs. The discharge associated with the placement of rock channel protection is needed to prevent erosion under the structure.
21. Types of Material Being Discharged and the Amount of Each Type in Cubic Yards

The types of material being discharged are specified by the ODOT Construction and Material Specifications 203. This includes natural soil, natural
granular material, granular material types, shate, and rack., The total amount being discharged is 37,264
cubic yards.
Wetland Fill Material Quantity - 32,295 cubic yards (See Table C for Stream and Wetland Impacts)
Stream Fill Material Quantity - 4,729 cubic yards (See Table C for Stream and Wetland Impacts)
22. Surface Area in Acres of Wetlands or Other Waters Filled isee instructions)

Overall, a total of 14 streams will be impacted resulting in 3,547 linear feet, or 2.366 acres, of impact. A total of six wetlands will be impacted resulting in 3.53 acres of impact (See Table C for Stream and Wetland Impacts)
23. Is Any Portion of the Work Already Complete? Yes __ No $X$ IF YES, DESCRIBE THE COMPLETED WORK N/A
24. Addresses of Adjoining Property Owners, Lessees, Etc., Whose Property Adjoins the Waterbody it more than can be entered here, please
attach a supplemental lists.
See Exhibit 2.
25. List of Other Certifications or Approvals/Denials Received from other Federal, State, or Local Agencies for Work Described in This Application.
AGENCY TYPE APPROVAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DATE APPLES DATE APPROVED DATE DENIED

USACE Jurisdictional Determination n/a
Ohio EPA isolated Wetland Permit n/a USFWS
City of Willoughby
City of Mentor
Ohio EPA
isolated Wetland Permit na March 2008
Ecological Coordination n/a January 2005
Floodplain Permit na n/a
Floodplain Permit $\quad$ na January 2006
Section 401 WQC March 2008

1/12/07
n/a
$n / a$
n/a
n/a
n/a
na
n/a
n/a n/a

- Would include but is not restricted to zoning, building, and flood plain permits

26. Application is hereby made for a permit or permits to authorize the work described in this application. I certify that the information in this application is complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein or am acting as the duly authorized agent of the applicant.


The application must be signed by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity (applicant) or it may be signed by a duly authorized agent if the statement in block 11 has been filled out and signed.
18 U.S.C. Section 1001 provides that: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up any trick, scheme, or disguises a material fact or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entry, shall be fined not more than $\$ 10,000$ or imprisoned not more than five years or both.

Exhibit 1-404 Application: Block 13. Summary of Streams and Wetlands Impacted

Block 13. Summary of Streams and Wetlands Impacted

| Site / Feature | USGS 7.5" Quadrangle | USGS Coordinates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1; UT ${ }^{\text {r }}$ to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 2; UT ${ }^{1}$ to UT' ${ }^{\text {to Chagrin River }}$ | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 3; UT' to Stream 1 to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 4; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 5; UT' to Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 6; Marsh Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 8; UT' to Stream 9 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 9; UT ${ }^{1}$ to UT $^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 10; Newell Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 12; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 13; UT' ${ }^{\text {to }}$ Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 14; Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 15; Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 16; Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 4/5 ${ }^{2}$ | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 7 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 11 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 12 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 21 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 344^{\prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 30 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 'UT = Unnamed Tributary2Wetlands were combined into one wetland after a Jurisdictional Determination was completed on November 8, 2006. |  |  |


| Yousef and Loubaba Karim | Robert and Evelyn Orlando | Hendricks Commercial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3901 Harvard Dr. | 7727 Kittery Ln. | Properties, LLC |
| Willoughby, OH | Mentor, OH | 8745 Munson Rd. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Wm. W. and Patricia Ann | Vivianni Family Limited |  |
| Burkey | Partnership | Brijovia Properties, LLC |
| 3893 Harvard Dr. | 7255 Industrial Pk. | 6520 Hopkins Rd. |
| Willoughby, OH | Mentor, OH | Mentor, OH |
| Constance A. Rawlry | Richard Parker | United Way of Lake County, |
| 3883 Harvard Dr. | 3460 Lost Nation Rd. | Inc. |
| Willoughby, OH | Mentor, OH | 9285 Progress Pkwy. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Paul and Denise Winegar | Jason Grimm and Leslie |  |
| 3875 Harvard Dr. | Wuest | Donald and Sandra Berlin |
| Willoughby, OH | 7860 Champaign Dr. <br> Mentor, OH | 9372 Trillium Ln. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Sonia \& Brothers, Inc. |  |  |
| 35000 Curtis Blvd. | Douglas and Barbara Denton | Jamie and Kim Tavano |
| Eastlake, OH | 7866 Champaign Dr. <br> Mentor, OH | 9382 Trillium Ln . <br> Mentor, OH |
| Cornerstone Church |  |  |
| 7510 Reynolds Rd. | Shelly and Barbara Detrick | Charles and Linda Croaker |
| Mentor, OH | 7600 Tyler Blvd. <br> Mentor, OH | 9392 Trillium Ln. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Edward and Teresa Sherry |  |  |
| 7707 Kittery Ln. | Jeff and Nancy Sloat | Bruce and Kristine Harper |
| Mentor, OH | 7620 Tyler Blvd. <br> Mentor, OH | 9362 Trillium Ln. <br> Mentor, OH |
| John and Renee Dickson |  |  |
| 7717 Kittery Ln. | Jim Brown Chevrolet, Inc. | David and Lisa Huffman |
| Mentor, OH | 6877 Center St. <br> Mentor, OH | 5876 Primavera Dr. <br> Mentor, OH |
| DWK Properties, ILC |  |  |
| 7275 Industrial Pk. | Marie and Phillip Plestis | Kevin Shoda and Dawn |
| Mentor, OH | 8477 Tyler Blvd. | Murphy |
|  | Mentor, OH | 5684 Primavera Dr. |
| Freeway Lanes Holdings, |  | Mentor, OH |
| LLC | Thomas Wheeler |  |
| 7300 Palisades Pkwy. | 8507 Tyler Blvd. | BP Exploration and Oil Inc. |
| Mentor, OH | Mentor, OH | 5711 Heisley Rd. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Hugh Carroll | Zoltan and Stacy |  |
| 7184 Bunker Cove | Dudevszsky | Ventas Realty |
| Mentor, OH | 8567 Tyler Blvd. | 5700 Emerald St. |
|  | Mentor, OH | Mentor, OH |

# APPLICATION FOR OHIO EPA SECTION 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION 

## Effective October 1, 1996 Revised August 1998

This application must be completed whenever a proposed activity requires an individual Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification (Section 401 certification) from Ohio EPA. A Section 401 certification from the State is required to obtain a federal Clean Water Act Section 404 permit from the U.S. Army Corps Engineers, or any other federal permits or licenses for projects that will result in a discharge of dredged or fill material to any waters of the State. To determine whether you need to submit this application to Ohio EPA, contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District Office with jurisdiction over your project, or other federal agencies reviewing your application for a federal permit to discharge dredged or fill material to waters of the State, or an Ohio EPA Section 401 Coordinator at (614) 644-2001.

The Ohio EPA Section 401 Water Quality Certification Program is authorized by Section 401 of the Clean Water Act ( 33 U.S.C. 1251) and the Ohio Revised Code Section 6111.03(P). Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Chapter 3745-32 outlines the application process and criteria for decision by the Director of Ohio EPA. In order for Ohio EPA to issue a Section 401 certification, the project must comply with Ohio's Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1) and not potentially result in an adverse long-term or short-term impact on water quality. Included in the Water Quality Standards is the Antidegradation Rule (OAC Rule 3745-1-05), effective October 1, 1996, revised October 1997 and May 1998. The Rule includes additional application requirements and public participation procedures. Because there is a lowering of water quality associated with every project being reviewed for Section 401 certification, every Section 401 certification applicant must provide the information required in Part 10 (pages 3 and 4) of this application. In addition, applications for projects that will result in discharges of dredged or fill material to wetlands must include a wetland delineation report approved by the Corps of Engineers, a wetland assessment with a proposed assignment of wetland category (ies), official documentation on evaluation of the wetland for threatened or endangered species, and appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation as prescribed in OAC 3745-1-50 to 3745-1-54. Ohio EPA will evaluate the applicant's proposed wetland zategory assignment and make the final assignment.

Information provided with the application will be used to evaluate the project for certification and is a matter of public record. If the Director determines that the application lacks information necessary to determine whether the applicant has demonstrated the criteria set forth in OAC Rule 3745-32-05(A) and OAC Chapter 3745-1, Ohio EPA will inform the applicant in writing of the additional information that must be submitted. The application will not be accepted until the application is considered complete by the Section 401 Coordinator. An Ohio EPA Section 401 Coordinator will inform you in writing when your application is determined to be complete.

Please submit the following to "Section 401 Supervisor, Ohio EPA/DSW, P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049:

- Four (4) sets of the completed application form, including the location of the project (preferably on a USGS quadrangle) and $8-1 / 2 \times 11^{11}$ scaled plan drawings and sections.
- One (1) set of original scaled plan drawings and cross-sections (or good reproducible copies).
(See Application Primer for detailed instructions)

1. The federal permitting agency has determined this project: (check appropriate box and fill in blanks)
a. X__ requires an individual 404 permit/401 certification- Public Notice \# (if known)
b.___ requires a Section 401 certification to be authorized by Nationwide Permit \# $\qquad$ -.
$\qquad$ requires a modified 404 permit/401 certification for original Public Notice \# $\qquad$ ـ.
d. $\qquad$ requires a federal permit under $\qquad$ jurisdiction identified by \# $\qquad$ .
e. $\qquad$ requires a modified federal permit under $\qquad$ jurisdiction identified by \# $\qquad$ ـ.


Aa. Statement of Authorization: I hereby designate and authorize the above-named agent to act in my behalf in the processing of this permit application, and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of the application.

Signature of Applicant:
farmers y Bpase-Qus
Date:
Les -
5. Location on land where activity exists or is propose ( Indicate coordinates of a fixed reference point at the impact site (if known) and the coordinate system and datum used.

The proposed LAK-2-3.32 project begins at existing SR 91 in Lake County (east of Cleveland) and extends approximately 10 miles east to the SR 44 south junction in Lake County, just west of Painesville. A total of 14 streams and six wetlands occurring in the Chagrin River drainage basin will be impacted by this proposed project.

See Exhibit 1 for USGS Coordinates and other location information for impacted streams and wetlands.
Chagrin River Watershed Lake County, Ohio

| Watershed | County | Township | City | State |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6. Is any portion of the activity for which authorization is sought complete'? ___ Yes No

If answer is "yes," give reasons, month and year activity was completed. Indicate the existing work on the drawings.
7. List all approvals or certifications and denials received from other federal, interstate, state or local agencies for any structures, construction, discharge or other activities described in this application.


## 8. DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITY (fill in information in the following four blocks $-8 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{c} \& 9$ )

Ba. Activity: Describe the Overall Activity: SR 2 is a six-lane divided highway with three lanes in both directions to the west of Vine Street. East of Vine Street, SR 2 consists of a four-lane divided highway with two lanes in each direction. The proposed improvement will consist of the construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street and a third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44. Full shoulders and a concrete median barrier will be provided. In addition, the proposed improvements will include the modification of even freeway interchanges in order to reduce congestion and crash hazards at these locations.
Affected Streams and Wetlands: The proposed project affects 14 streams and six wetlands in the Chagrin River watershed. See Exhibit 2 for descriptions of proposed actions to these features.
'b. Purpose: Describe the purpose, need and intended use of the activity: The primary purposes for improvements to the LAK-2-3.32 corridor are to enhance safety and reduce congestion on SR 2 in Lake County for both local and through traffic. Three primary needs were identified for the project: Roadway capacity is insufficient to accommodate the existing and future traffic demands; Safety characteristics need to be improved; and Physical deficiencies exist in the corridor.

See Exhibit 2, Block 8 b summarizing additional information regarding project.

8c. Discharge of dredged or fill material: Describe type, quantity of dredged material (in cubic yards), and quantity of fill material (in cubic yards). (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(a))

Streams: Excavation: 5,640 yds $^{2}$ (total project) Wetlands: Excavation: 2,532 yds ${ }^{3}$ (total project) Fill: $\quad 4,969 \mathrm{yds}^{3}$ (total project) Fill: 32,295 yds ${ }^{3}$ (total project)
-
The types of material being discharged are specified by the ODOT Construction and Material Specifications 203. This includes natural soil, natural granular material, granular material types, shale, and rock.

See Table C for summary of discharge quantities by feature.
9. Waterbody and location of waterbody or upland where activity exists or is proposed, or location in relation to a stream, lake, wetland, wellhead or water intake (if known). Indicate the distance to, and the name of any receiving stream, if appropriate.

The proposed project impacts a total of 14 streams and six wetlands.

See Exhibit 2 for additional information.

## 10. To address the requirements of the Antidegradation Rule, your application must include a report evaluating the:

- Preferred Design (your project) and Mitigative Techniques
- Minimal Degradation Alternative(s) (scaled-down version(s) of your project) and Mitigative Techniques
- Non-Degradation Alternative(s) (project resulting in avoidance of all waters of the state)

At a minimum, item a) below must be completed for the Preferred Design, the Minimal Degradation Alternative(s), and the NonDegradation Alternative(s), followed by completion of item b) for each alternative, and so on, until all items have been discussed for each alternative (see Primer for specific instructions). (Application and review requirements appear at OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2), OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6), OAC 3745-1-05(C)(1) and OAC 3745-1-54).

See Exhibit 3.
10a) Provide a detailed description of any construction work, fill or other structures to occur or to be placed in or near the surface water. Identify all substances to be discharged, including the cubic yardage of dredged or fill material to be discharged to the surface water. (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(b))

10b) Describe the magnitude of the proposed lowering of water quality. Include the anticipated impact of the proposed lowering of water quality on aquatic life and wildlife, including threatened and endangered species (include written comments from Ohio Department of Natural Resources and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), important commercial or recreational sport fish species, other individual species, and the overall aquatic community structure and function. Include a Corps of Engineers approved wetland delineation. (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(a, b) and OAC 3745-1-54)

Oc) Include a discussion of the technical feasibility, cost effectiveness, and availability. In addition, the reliability of each alternative shall be addressed (including potential recurring operational and maintenance difficulties that could lead to increased surface water degradation.) (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(h, j-k) and OAC 3745-1-54)

10d) For regional sewage collection and treatment facilities, include a discussion of the technical feasibility, cost effectiveness and availability, and long-range plans outlined in state or local water quality management planning documents and applicable facility planning documents. (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(i))

10e) To the extent that information is available, list and describe any government and/or privately sponsored conservation projects that exist or may have been formed to specifically target improvement of water quality or enhancement of recreational opportunities on the affected water resource. (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(g))

10f) Provide an outline of the costs of water pollution controls associated with the proposed activity. This may include the cost of best management practices to be used during construction and operation of the project. (OAC 3745-01-05(C)(6)(g))

10 g ) Describe any impacts on human health and the overall quality and value of the water resource. (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(c) and OAC 3745-1-54)

10 h ) Describe and provide an estimate of the important social and economic benefits to be realized through this project. Include the number and types of jobs created and tax revenues generated and a brief discussion on the condition of the local economy. (OAC 3745-1-5(B)(2)(e), and OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(i))

10i) Describe and provide an estimate of the important social and economic benefits that may be lost as a result of this project. Include the effect on commercial and recreational use of the water resource, including effects of lower water quality on recreation, tourism, aesthetics, or other use and enjoyment by humans. (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(e,f), and OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(e))
$10 j$ ) Describe environmental benefits, including water quality, lost and gained as a result of this project. Include the effects on the aquatic life, wildlife, threatened or endangered species. (OAC 3745-1-05 (B)(2)(e,f), OAC 3745-1-05 (C)(6)(b) and OAC 3745-1-54)

10k) Describe mitigation techniques proposed (except for the Non-Degradation Alternative):
${ }^{\circ}$ Describe proposed Wetland Mitigation (see OAC 3745-1-54 and Primer)
${ }^{\circ}$ Describe proposed Stream, Lake, Pond Mitigation (see Primer)
11. Application is hereby made for a Section 401 Water Quality Certification. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, such information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities or I am acting as the duly authorized agent of the applicant.


Signature of Agent

The application must be signed by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity (applicant) or it may be signed by a duly authorized agent if the statement in Block 3 has been filled out and signed.

## Exhibit 1-401 Application: Block 5. Locations of Impacted Features for Minimal Degradation Alternative

401 Application: Block 5. Locations of Impacted Features for Minimal Degradation Alternative

| Site / Feature | USGS 7.5" Quadrangle | USGS Coordinates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 2; UT ${ }^{1}$ to UT $^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 3; UT' to Stream 1 to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 4; UT' to Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 5; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 6; Marsh Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime \mathrm{W}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 8; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Stream 9 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime \mathrm{W}} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 9; UT ${ }^{1}$ to UT ${ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 10; Newell Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 12; ${ }^{\text {U }}{ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 13; UT' to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 14; Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 15; Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \mathrm{W}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 16; Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland $4 / 5^{2}$ | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 7 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 155^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 11 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 12 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 21 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 344^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 30 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ UT $=$ Unnamed Tributary <br> ${ }^{2}$ Wetlands were combined into one wetland after a Jurisdictional Determination was completed on November 8, 2006. |  |  |

TABLES
Table A. 404/401 Application: Streams Affected by the Proposed Project for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stream } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | USGS Coord. | Description and Length Impacted | Drainage Basin | Total Length | Receiving Stream | Distance to Receiving Stream | Drainage Area/Area at Impact Site | QHEI or HHEI Score/OEPA Use Designation (if available) | Riparian Corridor and Adjacent Habitats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 70 linear feet | $0.61 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 2.28 mi | Mentor Marsh | 1.27 mi | $0.37 \mathrm{mi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 56 (HHEI) | Residential, Commercial |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 40 linear feet | $0.03 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.93 mi | Unnamed Tributary of Chagrin River | 0.72 mi | $<0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 64 (HHEI) | Residential |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 907 linear feet | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.63 mi | Stream \#1 | 0.23 mi | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 45 (HHEI) | Commercial |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime \mathrm{W}} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Wasson Ditch;1,050 linear feet | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.75 mi | Wasson Ditch | 0.24 mi | $<0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 50 (HHEC) | Residential |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Heisley Creek; 33 linear feet | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0.27 mi | Heisley Creek | 0.40 mi | $0.02 \mathrm{mi}{ }^{2}$ | 64 (HHEI) | Residential, Commercial |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Marsh Creek; 65 linear feet | $17 \mathrm{mi}{ }^{2}$ | 5 mi | Lake Erie | 1.1 mi | $1.18 \mathrm{mi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.25 \text { (QHEI); } \\ \text { WWH } \end{gathered}$ | Commercial |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream;70 linear feet | $0.06 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.69 mi | Stream \#9 | 20 ft | $0.06 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 14 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 120 linear feet | $0.23 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 2.05 mi | Unnamed Tributary of Chagrin River | 0.59 mi | $0.17 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 78 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Newell Creek; 240 linear feet | $1.16 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 7.03 mi | Chagrin River | 3.42 mi | $0.78 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 24 (HHEI) | Commercial |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Chagrin River; 161 linear feet | $0.03 . \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 1.79 mi | Chagrin River | 200 ft | $1.79 \mathrm{mi}{ }^{2}$ | 69 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Chagrin River; 85 linear feet | $<0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.31 mi | Chagrin River | 0.21 mi | $0.004 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 51 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | Wasson Ditch; 105 linear feet | $0.85 \mathrm{mi}{ }^{2}$ | 3.35 mi | Mentor Marsh | 1.7 mi | $0.57 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 56 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |

Table A. 404/401 Application: Streams Affected by the Proposed Project for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| Stream <br> No. | USGS Coord. | Description and Length <br> Impacted | Drainage <br> Basin | Total <br> Length | Receiving Stream | Distance to <br> Receiving <br> Stream | Drainage <br> Area/Area at <br> Impact Site | QHEI or HEEI <br> Score/OEPA Use <br> Designation (if <br> available) | Riparian Corridor <br> and Adjacent <br> Habitats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Heisley Creek; 320 linear feet | $3.8 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 3.79 mi | Marsh Creek | 1.21 mi | $0.54 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | $57.5($ QHEI $) ;$ <br> WWH | Commercial, <br> Residential |
| 16 | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Chagrin River; 281 linear feet | $264 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 47.9 mi | Lake Erie | 3.1 mi | $16.3 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 56 (QHEI); <br> WWH | Metro Park, <br> Wetlands, <br> Commercial |

Table B. 404/401 Application: Wetlands Affected by the Proposed Project for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| Wetland No. | USGS <br> Coordinate | Drainage Basin | Wetland Description | Cowardin et al., 1979 Classification | ORAM | OEPA <br> Category | Total Size <br> (Area <br> Impacted) | Adjacent Habitats | Proximity to Other Surface Waters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/5 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48 " \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | Chagrin River | Low quality and non-native emergent species; Green A.shSilver Maple component | Emergent/Forested Wetland | 36/29 | Modified <br> Category 2/Category 1 | 0.02 ac | Residential, State Route 2 | Adjacent to Stream 12 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Chagrin River | Giant Reed and Black Willow Wetland | Emergent/ScrubShrub Wetland | 27 | Category 1 | 1.92 ac | Chagrin River Metro Park, Chagrin River Riparian Cortidor, State Route 2 | Within 200 feet of Chagrin River |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Marsh Creek | Giant Reed-Cattail Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 22 | Category 1 | 0.01 ac | Commercial, State Route 2 | Tributary of Marsh Creek flows through Wetland 11 |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 333^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Chagrin River | Giant Reed-Purple Loosestrife Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 24 | Category 1 | 1.41 ac | Surrounded by State Route 2 and St. Clair Street | Within 100 feet of Chagrin River |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 344^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Marsh Creek | Giant Reed Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 6 | Category 1 | 0.12 | Commercial and State Route 2 | Adjacent to Stream 6 |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream | Mixed Emergent Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 7 | Category 1 | 0.05 | Commercial and State Route 91 | Adjacent to roadside ditch that flows into unnamed stream |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.
A. STREAMS

| Stream No./Name | Approx. Station (Sta.) Location | Proposed Structure or Action | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Placement of Proposed Structure, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Temporary Crossing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length of Channel Disturbed (linear feet) | Excavation Below OHW |  | Fill Below OHW |  | Length of Channel Disturbed | Excavation / Fill Below OHW |  |
|  |  |  |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) | Area (yd ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |
| 1/Unnamed Stream | Sta. $794+63$ | Replace existing 58 "x91" culvert with a 12'x6' box culvert | 70 | 40 | 315 | 15 | 315 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2/Unnamed Stream | Sta. $308+66.09$ | Reline existing 15' and 10' corrugated metal pipe with 11' and 8' smooth lined pipe | 40 | N/A | N/A | 20 | 70 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3/Unnamed Stream | Heisley Road Interchange, Ramp B Sta. $361+50$ to Sta. $370+00$ and State Route 2 Sta. 776+30 to Sta. $776+90$ (south) | Re-grading of Heisley Road ramp unnamed stream | 907 | 1,235 | 4,275 | 2,045 | 4,275 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4/Tributary of Wasson Ditch | Sta. 755+00 to Sta. 775+00 (North) | Re-grading of Heisley Road Interchange, Ramp A and Ramp D, and parallel Tributary of Wasson Ditch | 1,050 | 350 | 1,050 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5/Tributary of Heisley Creek | Sta. $701+08$ | Replace existing 48"x76" pipe culvert with $8^{\prime} \times 5$ ' | 33 | N/A | N/A | 20 | 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 6/Marsh Creek | Sta. 646+04 | Existing $16^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$ reinforced concrete slab structure to be patched and waterproofed | 65 | N/A | N/A | 55 | 165 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| Stream No./Name | Approx. Station (Sta.) Location | Proposed Structure or Action | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Placement of Proposed Structure, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Temporary Crossing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length of Channel Disturbed (linear feet) | Excavation Below OHW |  | Fill Below OHW |  | Length of Channel Disturbed | Excavation / Fill Below OHW |  |
|  |  |  |  | Volume (yd ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) | Area (yd ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |
| 8/Unnamed Stream | Sta. $524+97.8$ | Replace existing twin 48" pipe culverts with 58"x91" reinforced concrete elliptical pipe | 70 | N/A. | N/A | 20 | 95 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 9/Unnamed Stream | Sta. $548+21$ | Existing 12 'x10' reinforced concrete slab structure to be patched and waterproofed | 120 | N/A | N/A | 75 | 225 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 10/Newell Creek | Sta. 501+81 | Widen existing prestressed I-beam, simple span bridge | 240 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12/Tributary of Chagrin River | S.R. 2, Sta. 395+00 <br> to Sta. $451+00$ <br> (right); Sta. $451+00$ to Sta. $459+00$ (left); S.R. 306 Ramp I, Sta. $59+00$ to Sta. 68+75; <br> Lost Nation Road Ramp B (entire limits); and Lost nation Road, Sta. 50+54 | Replace existing 96" reinforced concrete pipe with 77 "x121" reinforced concrete elliptical pipe under Lost Nation Road; Additional impacts at median drain outlets | 161 | N/A | N/A | 240 | 265 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 13/Tributary of Chagnin River | Sta. 345+16 | Replace existing 42" reinforced concrete pipe with 42" reinforced concrete pipe | 85 | N/A | N/A | 150 | 100 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

## A. STREAMS

| Stream No./Name | Approx. Station (Sta.) Location | Proposed Structure or Action | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Placement of Proposed Structure, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Temporary Crossing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length of Channel Disturbed (linear feet) | Excavation Below OHW |  | Fill Below OHW |  | Length of Channel Disturbed | Excavation / Fill Below OHW |  |
|  |  |  |  | Volume (yd ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\text {3 }}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\text {2 }}$ ) |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathbf{y d}^{2}$ ) |
| 14/Wasson Ditch | Sta. 738+57 | Replace existing 72" <br> reinforced concrete <br> pipe and 15" <br> reinforced concrete <br> pipe with 84" <br> reinforced concrete <br> pipe | 105 | N/A | N/A | 155 | 200 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 15/Heisley Creek | Sta. 717+65 | Replace existing 3span reinforced concrete slab bridge with single span steel beam with concrete deck structure | 320 | N/A | N/A | 975 | 1,830 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 16/Chagrin River | Sta. $381+16$ | Widen existing $3-$ span steel beam with reinforced concrete deck bridge and construct a new 37, (out-to-out) wide 3span steel beam with reinforced concrete deck bridge for Ramp D; relocate existing sanitary sewer | 281 | N/A | N/A | 515 | 515 | 20 | 444 | 333 |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.
B. WETLANDS

| Feature | Location | Description | Total Area Impacted (acres) | Proposed Action | Direct Impacts (within construction limits) |  |  | IndirectImpact Area(outsideconstructionlimits) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Volume Excavated ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)}{\text { Volume Filled }}$ | Area Excavated and/or Filled ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |
| Wetland 4/5 | S.R. 2, Sta. $454+00,160 \mathrm{ft}$ Lt. to S.R. 306 ramp I, Sta. $70+50,100 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{Lt}$. | Modified Category 2 Forested/Emergent Wetland | 0.02 | Sideslope and ditch grading | 15 | 0 | 870 | 0 |
| Wetland 7 | S.R. 2, Sta. 359+75 to Sta. $379+50,100 \mathrm{ft}$ Lt. | Category 1 <br> Emergent/ScrubShrub Wetland | 1.92 | Sideslope and ditch grading; Storm sewer and retaining wall construction | 400 | 27,023 | 83,635 | 0 |
| Wetland 11 | S.R. 615 Ramp B, Sta. $0+50$ to Sta. $11+00$ (S.R. 2 Sta. $614+50$ ), $60 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{Rt}$. | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 0.01 | Sideslope and ditch grading | 15 | 0 | 435 | 0 |
| Wetland 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.R. 2, Sta. } 359+80 \text { to Sta. } \\ 378+75,80 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{Rt} . \end{gathered}$ | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 1.41 | Sideslope and ditch grading, and storm sewer construction | 1,944 | 5,222 | 61,420 | 0 |
| Wetland 21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.R. 2, Sta. } 645+90 \text { to Sta. } \\ 647+90,120 \mathrm{ft} . \text { Rt. } \end{gathered}$ | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 0.12 | Sideslope and ditch grading, and culvert construction | 208 | 0 | 5,227 | 0 |
| Wetland 30 | Som Center Rd. (S.R. 91), Sta. 27+50 (S.R. 2, Sta. $308+00$ ), 390 ft Rt. | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 0.05 | Sideslope grading | 50 | 50 | 2178 | 0 |

C. WHOLE PROJECT SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

| Total Project Lineal Stream Disturbances |  |  | Total Project Excavation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Project Fill |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Length Disturbed due to Proposed Structures, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection | Length Disturbed due to Temporary Crossing | Net Length Disturbed ${ }^{[3]}$ | Stream Excavated |  | Wetland Excavated |  | Total Excavation |  | Stream Filled (standard roadfill, channel protection, temp crossing \& other materials |  | Wetland Filled |  | Total Filled |  |
|  |  |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area <br> ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Volume } \\ \left(\mathbf{y d}^{3}\right) \end{array}$ | Area <br> ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Volume } \\ \left(y d^{3}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Area <br> ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area <br> ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) |
| 3,547 | 20 | 3,567 | 1,625 | 5,640 | 2,632 | 59,903 | 4,157 | 65,543 | 4,429 | 10,228 | 32,295 | 102,434 | 37,264 | 112,662 |

Table D. 404/401 Application: Proposed Lowering of Water Quality by the Preferred, Minimal, and Non-Degradation Alternatives.

| Alternative | Expected Impacts by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Direct Stream Impacts | Aquatic Hab. (QHEI/HHED)/Use Designation/Stream Flow | Aquatic Biota | T\&E Species ${ }^{[1]}$ | Terrestrial Plant/Animals (Riparian Area) | Wetlands | Summary for Alternative |
| Preferred | Primarily short-term construction impacts (increased erosion and sedimentation); Overall, no longterm impacts to water quality are expected. <br> Total Stream Impacts: <br> 5,141 linear feet Temporary Fill: 0.440 acres Permanent Fill: 2.465 acres | Stream 1/56 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 2/64 (HHED)/Intermittent Stream 3 3/45 (HHE)/Intermittent Stream 4/50 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream $5 / 64$ (HHED/Intermittent Stream 6/64.25 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 8/14 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stram 9/78 (HHEI/Intermittent Stream 10/24 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 12/69 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 13/51 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 14/56 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream $15 / 5.5$ (QHEI/Perennial Stream 16/56 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 17/62.25 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 18/35 (HHEI)/Intermittent | The existing fish and macrobenthos are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected within any stream. Examples of fish species include: largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides), white suckers (Catostomus commersoni), smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui), creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus), sunfish (Lepomis sp.). Several examples of macrobenthos species include: Hydropsyche sp., Argia sp., Gammarus sp., Chironomidae sp., and Hirudinea sp. | No federal/state threatened or endangered species were identified in the project area. The range of the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), the piping plover <br> (Charadrius melodus), and the bald eagle <br> (Haliaeetus <br> leucocephalus) <br> include Lake County. It is unlikely that any of these species will be adversely affected. | Very common plant, mammal, bird, and aumphibian species. Examples of mammal, bird, and amphibian species include: whitetailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), raccoon (Procyon lotor), European starling (Sturnus vulgaris), Northern cardinal (Cardinalis cardinalis), and green frog (Rana clamitans). Examples of plant species include: silver maple (Acer saccharinum), chicory (Cichorium intybus), tall fescue (Festuca elatior), common reed (Phragmites australis), and Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis). These species are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected. | Non-Isolated Total Impact: 4.55 acres <br> 3.81 acres of impact for nonforested Category 1 wetlands; 0.65 acres of impact for forested Category 2 wetlands <br> Isolated <br> Total Impact: 0.12 acres <br> 0.07 acres of impact for nonforested Category 1 wetlands; 0.05 acres of impact for forested Category 1 wetlands | No permanent impacts to sensitive habitats, <br> T\&E species, terrestrial species, or aquatic biota. Siltation resulting from the construction activities may reduce species diversity and abundance during construction and shortly afterwards. However, it is unlikely that construction activities will result in the permanent loss of any aquatic species. <br> The project may require the cutting of several trees that possess Indiana bat roosting potential. |

Table D. 404/401 Application: Proposed Lowering of Water Quality by the Preferred, Minimal, and Non-Degradation Alternatives.

| Alternative | Expected Impacts by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Direct Stream Impacts | $\|$Aquatic Hab. <br> (QHEI/HHEI)/Use <br> Designation/Stream Flow | Aquatic Biota | T \& E Species ${ }^{[1]}$ | Terrestrial Plant/Animals (Riparian Area) | Wetlands | Summary for Alternative |
| Minimal Degradation | Primarily short-term construction impacts (increased erosion and sedimentation); Overall, no longterm impacts to water quality are expected. <br> Total Stream Impacts: 3,547 linear feet Temporary Fill: 0.439 acres Permanent Fill: 1.927 acres | Stream 1/56 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 2/64 <br> (HHEI/Intermittent Stream 3/45 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 4/50 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 5/64 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 6/64.25 <br> (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 8/14 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 9/78 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 10/24 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 12/69 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 13/51 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 14/56 <br> (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 15/57.5 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 16/56 (QHEI)/Perennial | The existing fish and macrobenthos are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected within any stream. Examples of fish species include: largemouth bass <br> (Micropterus salmoides), white suckers <br> (Catostomus commersoni), smallmouth bass <br> (Micropterus dolomieui), creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus), sunfish (Lepomis sp.). Several examples of macrobenthos species include: Hydropsyche sp., Argia sp., Gammarus sp., Chironomidae sp., and Hirudinea sp. | No federal/state threatened or endangered species were identified in the project area. The range of the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), the piping plover (Charadrius melodus), and the bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) include Lake County. It is unlikely that any of these species will be adversely affected. | Very common plant, mammal, bird, reptile, and amphibian species. Examples of mammal, bird, and amphibian species include: white- tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), raccoon (Procyon lotor), European starling (Sturnus vulgaris), Northern cardinal (Cardinalis cardinalis), and green frog (Rana clamitans). Examples of plant species include: silver maple (Acer saccharinum), chicory (Cichorium intybus), tall fescue (Festuca elatior), common reed (Phragmites australis), and Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis). These species are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected within any stream. | Non-Isolated Total Impact: 3.53 acres 3.51 acres of impact for non- forested Category 1 wetlands; 0.02 acres of impact for forested Category 2 wetlands Isolated Total Impact: 0.02 acres 0.01 acres of impact for non- forested Category 1 wetlands; 0.01 acres of impact for forested Category 1 wetlands | No permanent impacts to sensitive habitats, T\&E species, terrestrial species, or aquatic biota. <br> Siltation resulting from the construction activities may reduce species diversity and abundance during construction and shortly afterwards. Hoẁever, it is unlikely that construction activities will result in the permanent loss of any aquatic species. The project may require the cutting of several trees that possess Indiana bat roosting potential. |
| Non- <br> Degradation | None | No impacts as current structures are in place (culverts, bridges, etc.) | None | None | None | None | No impacts for this alternative. |
| [1] Impact footprint of the Preferred Alternative includes areas upstream and/or downstream of proposed structures where energy and erosion control components (channe achieve pre-construction stream velocity, water surface elevation and channel stability conditions; no impact to stream flow patterns are expected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table F. 404/401 Application: Proposed Wetland Mitigation for the Preferred and Minimal Degradation Alternatives.

| Wetland No. | Impacted Area | Type of Wetland (Isolated/NonIsolated) | Watershed (8 Digit HUC) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ORAM } \\ \text { v5.0 } \\ \text { Score } \end{gathered}$ | OEPA <br> Category | Mitigated Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Impacted | Mitigated ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  | On-site | Off-site ${ }^{1}$ |
| 3 | 0.03 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 22 | Category 1 | N/A | N/A |
| 4/5 | 0.06 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> 0.02 acres (Minimal Degradation) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 38/29 | Modified Category 2/ Category 1 | N/A | 0.04 |
| 7 | 1.92 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> 1.92 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternatives) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 27 | Category 1 | N/A | 2.88 |
| 11 | 0.15 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> 0.01 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 22 | Category 1 | N/A | 0.02 |
| 12 | 1.47 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> 1.41 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 24 | Category 1 | N/A | 2.12 |
| 13 | 0.07 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> 0.01 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 17 | Category 1 | N/A | 0.02 |
| 17/18 | 0.06 acres (Preferred Altemative); <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 33/43 | Modified Category 2 | N/A | N/A |
| 19 | 0.01 acres (Preferred Altemative); <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative | Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 23 | Category 1 | N/A | N/A |
| 20 | 0.01 acres (Preferred Alternative); No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 24 | Category 1 | N/A | N/A |
| 21 | 0.17 acres (Preferred Alternative); <br> 0.12 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 6 | Category 1 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 23 | 0.65 (Preferred Alternative); No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Non-Isolated | 04110004 | N/A | 36 | Modified Category 2 | N/A | N/A |
| 30 | 0.07 acres (Preferred Alternative); 0.05 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | Non-Isolated | 04110003 | N/A | 7 | Category 1 | N/A | 0.08 |

Table G. 404/401 Application: Impacts and Avoidance Estimations.

| Resource | Acres/Feet Impacted (Alt) | Total Resource (acres/miles) | Volume of Fill (CX) | \% Avoided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1 | 0.061 acres/65 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.065 acres/70 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.28 miles | 51 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 55 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.42\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.40\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 2 | 0.018 acres $/ 50$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.014 acres $/ 40$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.93 miles | 25 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 70 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.98\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.10\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 3 | 0.883 acres/907 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.883 acres/907 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.63 miles | 2,045 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 2,045 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 72.73\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 72.70\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 4 | 0.405 acres $/ 1,960$ linear feet (Preferred Altemative 0.217 acres $/ 1,050$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.75 miles | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 50.51\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> $73.50 \%$ (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 5 | 0.016 acres/50 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.010 acres/33 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.40 miles | 30 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 20 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 97.63\% (Preferred Altemative) <br> 98.50\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 6 | 0.034 acres/ 129 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.034 acres/65 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Altemative) | 5 miles | 55 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 55 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.51\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> >99.99\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 8 | 0.017 acres/61 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.020 acres/70 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.69 miles | 17 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 20 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.33\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.98\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 9 | 0.049 acres $/ 125$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.046 acres/ 120 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative | 2.05 miles | 78 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 75 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.85\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.88\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 10 | 0.370 acres/240 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> $0.370 \mathrm{acres} / 240$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 7.03 miles | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.35\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.94\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 12 | 0.069 acres $/ 161$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.055 acres/161 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 1.79 miles | 305 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 240 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.30\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.30\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 13 | 0.027 acres $/ 108$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.021 acres/85 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 6.60 miles | 190 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 150 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.69\% (Preferred Altemative) <br> 99.95\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |

Table G. 404/401 Application: Impacts and Avoidance Estimations.

| Resource | Acres/Feet Impacted (Alt) | Total Resource (acres/miles) | Volume of Fill (CY) | \% Avoided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 14 | 0.059 acres $/ 150$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> $0.041 \mathrm{acres} / 105$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 3.35 miles | 220 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 155 CY (Minimal Degradation Altemative) | 99.15\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.40\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 15 | 0.378 acres/ 320 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.378 acres $/ 320$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 3.79 miles | 975 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 975 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.40\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.39\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 16 | 0.178 acres/285 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.175 acres/281 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 47.9 miles | 522 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 515 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | >99.99\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> >99.99\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 17 | 0.056 acres/80 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.40 miles | 272 CY (Preferred Alternative) 170 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.37\% (Preferred Alternative) $100 \%$ (Minimal Degradation |
| Stream 18 | 0.341 acres $/ 450$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternarive) | 0.56 miles | 1,585 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 84.78\% (Preferred Alternative) } \\ \text { 100\% (Minimal Degradation } \\ \text { Alternative) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Wetland 3 | 0.03 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.47 acres | 24 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 93.62\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 4/5 | 0.06 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.02 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 1.48 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 95.95\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.65\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 7 | 1.92 acres (Preferred Altemative) <br> 1.92 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.23 acres | $\begin{gathered} \text { 27,023 CY (Preferred Alternative) } \\ \text { 27,023 CY (Minimal Degradation } \\ \text { Alternative) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13.99\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 13.99\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 11 | 0.15 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.01 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.40 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 93.75\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.58\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 12 | 1.47 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 1.41 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 1.64 acres | 5,444 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 5,222 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 10.37\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 14.02\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland13 | 0.07 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.01 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.71 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Altemative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 90.14\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.59\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |

Table G. 404/401 Application: Impacts and Avoidance Estimations.

| Resource | Acres/Feet Impacted (Alt) | Total Resource (acres/miles) | Volume of Fill (CY) | \% Avoided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetland 17/18 | 0.06 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 8.69 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.31\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 19 | 0.01 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.07 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Altermative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 85.71\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 20 | 0.01 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.12 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Altemative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 91.67\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 21 | 0.17 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.12 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.20 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 15\% (Preferred Alternative) 67.57\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 23 | 0.65 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.85 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 33.52\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 30 | 0.07 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.05 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.56 acres | 114 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 50 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $87.5 \%$ (Preferred Altemative) <br> 91.07\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
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Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map.
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Figure 6. Plan views of stream and wetland crossings.


## PLAN SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=50^{\circ}$

PROFILE SCALE $1^{N}=50^{\circ}$
CROSS SECTION HORIZ. SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=50^{\circ}$



LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK-2-7.76 PID 13486 AND 79545
PROPOSED IMPACTS FOR STREAM NO. 2
UNNAMED STREAM STA. 308+66
STA. 2+00 TO STA. 6+50


PLAN SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=50^{\prime}$
PROFILE SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=50^{\prime}$
CROSS SECTION HORIZ. SCALE $1^{*}=50$



MATCH LINE STA. $15+50$

LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK-2-7.76 PID 13486 AND 79545
PROPOSED IMPACTS FOR STREAM NO. 2 UNNAMED STREAM STA. 308+66
STA. $11+00$ TO STA. $15+50$


















PLAN SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=60^{\prime}$
PROFILE SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=60^{\prime}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK-2-7.76 PID } 13486 \& 79545 \\
\text { PROPOSED IMPACTS FOR STREAM NO. } 9 \\
\text { UNNAMED STREAM } \\
\text { STA. } 548+21
\end{gathered}
$$



PLAN SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=40^{\prime}$
PROFILE SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=40^{\prime}$
CROSS SECTION HORIZ. SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=40^{\prime}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK }-2-7.76 \text { PID } 13486 \& 79545 \\
\text { PROPOSED IMPACTS FOR STREAM NO. } 12 \\
\text { TRIBUTARY OF CHAGRIN RIVER } \\
\text { SR } 2 \text { CULVERT DETAIL STA. } 450+95 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$




|  | LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK-2-7.76 PID 13486 \& 79545 PROPOSED IMPACTS FOR STREAM NO. 12 TRIBUTARY OF CHAGRIN RIVER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SR 2 PLAN STA. $406+00$ TO STA. $414+00$ | SHEET 4 OF 12 |
















## IMPACTS TO WETLANDS W4 \& W5

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
S.R. 2 IN LAKE COUNTY

LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK-2-7.76 PID 13486 AND PID 79545
SHEET $1 / 2$










Pre-Activity Notification Application for the General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (Level One Review) for the LAK-2-3.32 (PID 13486) Project in Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor, Lake County, Ohio

## By

Richard M. Paul, Ecologist/Environmental Specialist

Submitted By:
Andrew Campbell
Project Manager
ASC Group, Inc.
4620 Indianola Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43214
614.268.2514

Submitted to:
Scott Graham, P.E.
ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
1100 Superior Avenue
Suite 1250
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
216.7816177

Lead Agency: Ohio Department of Transportation

March 3, 2008

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (Level One Review)
Appendix A - General Maps And Design Drawings
Figure 1 - Portion of the ODOT Lake County highway map showing the project area.

Figure 2 - Portions of the 1963 (photo revised 1992) Eastlake and the 1963
(photo revised 1992) Mentor quadrangles (USGS 7.5' topographic map
illustrating the project area and isolated wetland locations (2 Sheets).
Figure 3 - Plan view of wetlands.
Appendix B - ORAM V.5.0
Appendix C-Photographs
Appendix D - U.S.A.C.E Isolated Waters Determination

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

## general ISOLATED WETLAND PERMIT APPLICATION (Level One Review)

For impacts of $1 / 2$ acre or less to Category $1 \& 2$ isolated wetlands

Please Print or Type (attach additional sheets if necessary)

|  | Applicant | Agent: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Company Name: | Ohio Department of Transportation | ODOT, Office of Environmental Services |
| Address: | 1980 West Broad Street, 3rd Floor | 1980 West Broad Street, 3rd Floor |
| City, State, Zip: | Columbus, Ohio 43223 | Columbus, Ohio 43223 |
| Contact Person: | James Beasley, Director, P.E., P.S. | Michael Pettegrew, Supervisor |
| Phone Number(s): | (614) $466-7102$ | (614) $466-7102$ |
| Fax Number: | (614) $728-7368$ | (614) $728-7368$ |
| E-Mail Address: | mike.pettegrew @dot.state.oh.us | mike.pettegrew@dot.state.oh.us |

PROJECT INFORMATION
Project Name: Lak-2-3.32__ Watershed (include USGS 8-Digit HUC): Chagrin R. 04110003
Street: State Route 2 City/Township: Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor

County: Lake Latitude: $\underline{41.41 .11 \mathrm{~N} ; 41.41 .04 \mathrm{~N}}$ Longitude: $\underline{81.20 .31 \mathrm{~W} ; 81.20 .51}$

Project Description:
The proposed improvement will consist of the construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street and a third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44. Full shoulders and a concrete median barrier will be provided. In addition, the proposed improvements will include the modification of seven freeway interchanges.
Project Type (Check all that appiy):

| $\square$ | Commercial | $\square$ | Landfill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Erosion Control | $\square$ | Mining |
| $\square$ | Flood Control | $\square$ | Mitigation Bank |
| $\square$ | Industrial | $\square$ | Navigation/Boating |
| $\square$ | Lake/Pond Creation | $\square$ | Public |


| $\square$ | Remediation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Residential |
| $\boxtimes$ | Transportation |
| $\square$ | Utility |
| $\square$ | Other: |

I have included the following in this submittal:

| Maps showing project footprint/wetlands | Wetland categorization |
| :--- | :--- |
| including USGS map | Site photographs |
| $\square$ Wetland delineation | Mitigation proposal |
| Corps isolated waters determination | $\square$ Check for applicable fees |

Are there other aquatic resources on the project site (please check all that apply):

| Perennial Streams | Intermittent Streams |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-isolated wetlands | Eakes/Ponds |

List other water-related permits pending, issued, or required for this project (Nationwide permits, Coastal Zone Management, Mining, NPDES, etc.):
Department of Army, 404 Permit
Ohio EPA, 401 Water Quality Certification

Ohio EPA General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (continued). Project Name: Lak-2-3.32
Individual Isolated Wetland Information Table*. Please list all isolated wetlands:

| Wetland ID | ORAM Score | Category | Size (Acres) |  |  | Impacts (Acres) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Forest | Non-Forest | Total Acreage | Forest | Non-Forest | Total Impacts |
| W-3 | 22 | 1 | 0.47 |  | 0.47 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |
| W-13 | 17 | 1 |  | 0.71 | 0.71 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |
| Totals |  |  | 0.47 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Totals - Category 1 Wetlands |  |  | 0.47 | 0.71 | 1.18 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Totals - Category 2 Wetlands |  |  |  | - | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |
| Totals - Category 3 Wetlands |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |

*List more on separate sheets if needed.

## List mitigation techniques utilized for the proposed filling:

| Onsite <br> (check) | Offsite <br> (check) |  | Mitigation Acreage |  |  |  | Name of Bank <br> (If Appl.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Restored | Created | Enhanced | Preserved |  | Watershed (include <br> USGS 8-Digit HUC) |  |
|  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | Trumbull Creek | . |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 04110004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Fee Table:

| a. Application Fee: | $\$ 0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. Review Fee ( $\$ 500.00 \times$ $\qquad$ ): <br> (Acres of impacts to the nearest $1 / 100$ of an acre) |  | (Maximum \$5,000.00) |
| c. Subtotal (add lines a and b): | \$0 | (Maximum \$5,200.00) |
| d. After the Fact Fee (equal to line c): (Only if impacts have occurred without authorization) |  | (Maximum \$5,200.00) |
| e. Total Fee Amount (add lines c and d): | \$.0 | (Maximum \$10,400.00) |

Please make fee check payable to: "Treasurer, State of Ohio"

1 certify that the information provided on this form and as part of this submittal regarding the project is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge:

Applicant
Name (Print): Sames Ce Seasley
Applicant

Send completed application, including fee check, to:
Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water
P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049

ATTN: Isolated Wetlands Permitting

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 18, 2008

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 14
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 90 | $603 E 06100$ | 2061 | FT | 15" Conduit, Type C |
| 95 | $603 E 09100$ | 213 | FT | 21" Conduit, Type C |

## REVISED plan sheet 975 is linked to this addendum.

Revise Addendum \#11: Portable Concrete Barrier, 32 inches high with an 18 inch minimum height glare screen may be used at the option of the contractor. All conditions of the Item 622, Portable Concrete Barrier, 50", As Per Plan note on sheet 51 and 56E shall be followed.

## Answer to Prebid Question:

Q: Plan sheet 723, ref. D-405 shows a 15 " pipe leading from a catch basin to a headwall on Reynolds Road. Cross-section on plan sheet concurs that this is 15 " pipe. Subsummary sheet 851 shows this run as a 21 " pipe and not a 15 " pipe (which in turn gets carried to general summary). Please clarify in an addendum whether this is 15 " C or 21 " C .
A: The 15 " Conduit, Type C is correct. 27' of 15" Conduit, Type C has been added and 27' of $21^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit, Type C has been subtracted in this addendum.

Q: Please check the details on sheet 975 for the noise barrier drainage details. According to the dimensions on this sheet each drain measures 32' wide x 64' long x 8' deep with each drain spaced at 48' intervals.
A: Revised dimensions are $4^{\prime}$ wide x 8 ' long x $1^{\prime}$ deep. Please see revised sheet 975 which is linked to this addendum.

Q: Ref. 123- Catch Basin, 2-2B. Plan sheet 848- drainage subsummary shows 2 each but no source of quantity shown. Where are these 2 basins? Also, plan sheet 902 calls for a 2-2B catch basin with a solid top. Does this get paid for under this bid item or should it be paid separately under a new bid item?
A: The two (2) CB-2-2B basins on sheet 848 was a typo. They should be removed from the overall quantity for CB-2-2Bs. On sheet 902, the intent is to use the proposed CB-2-2B catch basin as a junction structure. The solid top is not necessary, use the grate.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 23, 2008

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 15
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revised Bid Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3 | 202 E 23000 | 208706 | SY | Pavement Removed |
| 150 | 302 E 46000 | 120142 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 151 | 304 E 20000 | 74789 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 152 | 407 E 10000 | 40703 | Gal | Tack Coat |
| 153 | 407 E 14000 | 20352 | Gal | Tack Coat for Intermediate Course |
| 1007 | 446 E 46050 | 666 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64- <br> 22 |
| 1008 | 448 E 47020 | 480 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |
| 112 | 603 E 96600 | 4628 | FT | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 15", Type B |
| 113 | 603 E 96600 | 669 | FT | Conduit, Bored or Jacked: 18", Type B |

## NEW ROW sheet is linked to this addendum.

## NEW Plan sheet 29R is linked to this addendum.

A REVISED pavement calculation spreadsheet has been posted on the Department's question and answer board. https://extranet.dot.state.oh.us/groups/contracts/Construction/PrebidQs.pdf

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: Ref. 3- Pavement Removed- the updated spreadsheets appear to total as follows: Mainline SR $2=$ 146024 SY; VINE/SR 91/SR 306 Ramps = 45998 SY; Lost Nation Ramps = 10409 SY; Lost Nation Rd. $=14282$ SY. In addition to these, plan sheet 834 shows 881 SY. These total 217,594 SY. Latest addendum received shows an updated plan quantity of 179,637 SY. Please clarify, review and make necessary revisions in an addendum.
A: The pavement removed quantity has been revised for a total of 208,706 CY. Reference \#3 has been revised in this addendum, and a revised spreadsheet has been posted.

Q: The box culvert replacement at station $336+/$ shows an existing 16 " waterline going directly over the culvert. Can this line be taken out of service? Will removal and replacement be paid as extra work?
A: It is not anticipated that the waterline will require replacement or relocation, but will require support during construction.

Q: The maintenance of traffic notes for this box replacement allows for weekend lane closures. The web site says friday from 7pm to 11am saturday, then 7pm saturday to 6am monday.This needs to be changed to allow work continuously from 7pm friday to 6am monday. The mot shows only barrels for protecting the work area which will be at least 13 feet deep with traffic only feet away. Barrier protection needs to be included for reasonable safety.
A: The successful bidder may include these items the contractor prepared MOT plans. The web site for lane closures will not be revised.

Q: The new and existing boxes are on differing alignments. This greatly complicates the excavation and water handling schemes. Is there a compelling reason not to place the new structure on the existing alignment?
A: The proposed box was placed on a different alignment for several reasons: To maintain minimum cover over the pipe at SR 2, maintain as much clearance as possible from the existing sanitary sewer and manhole on Stevens Blvd, to keep the junction structure near the top of the slope for easier maintenance and provide sufficient length for the flow discharge to return to a "less turbulent" regime due to the presence of the junction structure. Also, it allows for a better angle to outlet into the stream.

Q: The box cuts through Stevens Blvd. What is the pavement cross section for Stevens? Will pavement replacement be paid under the various bid items?
A: The pavement replacement detail is on sheet 199/1679. The quantities associated with this detail are: 5.4 CY of Item 448 1.25" AC Surface Course
7.6 CY of Item 446 1.75" AC Intermediate Course

26 CY of Item 302 6" AC Base
26 CY of Item 304 6" Aggregate Base
16 Gal of Item 407 Tack Coat
8 Gal of Item 407 Tack Coat for Intermediate Course
These quantities have been added to this addendum.
Q: Sanitary sewer ss-4 is shown on sheets $1030 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$.This installation will require a very wide excavation with an area for temporary storage of excavated materials. Is the adjacent property available for use to accomplish this work?
A: The temporary right of way acquired by the City of Willoughby has been included with this addendum. A new ROW sheet has been linked to this addendum.

Q: There is no quantity for pavement removed for Lakeland Blvd in the plans or office calculations. Could the District please include this quantity or identify where it is?
A: The quantity has been added to addendum \#15 and an updated spreadsheet posted.
Q: Addendum No. 10 added Concrete Alternate items to bid including separate alternate bid items for the various Concrete Barrier Wall items. Will ODOT provide square yard compensation for item 888 11.5" Non Reinforced Concrete Pavement for pavement areas under the proposed barriers? Asphalt typical sections indicate and provide compensation for the 302 10" Asphalt Concrete Base. Please confirm and advise.
A: The bid price for the concrete alternative concrete barrier should include the concrete required for the entire barrier. Per standard drawing RM-4.3: "When barrier is constructed in conjunction with new concrete pavement, place it directly on the base material. Construct the concrete slab against the barrier".

Q: Plan sheet 889, Ref. D-1- shown on this sheet as well as sheet 912 as 18 " Bored Pipe. Plan sheet 846 subsummary shows this as a 15" Bored Pipe, which is then continued to general summary. Please verify bore size in an addendum.
A: 18" bored pipe is correct. See this addendum.
Q: Regarding an answer in Addendum \# 11 about the raceway in the barrier wall the owner answered, "The reaceways are included in the cost of the barrier where required as per details RM-4.3, 4.4, and 4.5, so no quantity changes are required." Please clarify what item \# 223 - "CONDUIT 4", 725.05 (MEDIAN BARRIER)" is for? We assumed this was to pay for the raceway in the barrier wall.
A: You are correct, the raceway is paid for separately under ref. No. 223. The quantities are correct with
only one raceway.
Q: There are no existing typical sections for the Lost Nation Road ramps provided in the plans. Could the District please provide these typical sections?
A: A plan sheet with the existing Lost Nation Rd. ramps is linked to this addendum.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

December 24, 2008

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 16
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^6]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

Revised the following Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description | TRAC | Major <br> Rehab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1050 | 622 E40047 | 3059 | FT | Portable Concrete Barrier, 50", Bridge <br> Mounted, As Per Plan | 2294 | 765 |

## Add the following notes:

Bridge mounted portable concrete barrier, 32 inches high with an 18 inch minimum height glare screen shall be used. The glare screen shall be constructed using one of the screens provided on the approved list, available on the Office of Material Management web page at http://www.dot.state.oh.us/testlab/applists/misc/glarescreen.htm.

Paddle or intermittent type glare screens shall be designed using a 20 degree cut off angle based on tangent alignment. That spacing shall be used throughout the barrier length without regard to barrier curvature.

The glare screen system shall be securely fastened to the 32 inch bridge mounted portable concrete barrier using the hardware and procedures specified by the manufacturer.

Payment shall include all labor, material and equipment necessary to perform the work and shall be paid for at the contract price per foot for Item 622, Bridge Mounted Portable Concrete Barrier, 50", As Per Plan.

Any reference in the bidding documents to October $1^{\text {st }}$ as the winter time limitation shall read November $1^{\text {st }}$. By November $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year, complete all pavement work ( up to and including the proposed intermediate course, for the asphalt alternative) required for the affected stage of construction and return traffic to unshifted position with full lane widths.

Answers to Prebid Questions:
Q: Addendum 10 addressed in the maintenance of traffic in requirement \#12 that all normal lanes of traffic shall be open to unrestricted traffic from November 1 through March 1. Does this mean the winter time limitation note \#1 on plan sheets 53 and 56G should be changed from October 1 to November 1? Also, winter time limitation note \#2 states that traffic shifts for bridge work may remain in place between November 1 and March 1. Does this still apply?
A: The winter time restriction has been changed to November 1st in this addendum. Yes bridge work may remain in place between November 1st and March 1st with the limitations specified in the winter time limitations note \#2.

Q: Addendum No. 11 added reference No.1050, Portable Concrete Barrier 50" Bridge Mounted. Per SCD RM-4.1 (10/20/06) wall is not to be used on bridge deck edges or similar drop-offs. The only suitable barrier is 32" PCB per Structural Engineering's Standard Drawing PCB-91 (7/19/02). Please advise, there is no 50 " approved Bridge Mounted Portable Concrete Barrier for ODOT.
A: Portable concrete barrier 50", bridge mounted as per plan has been added to this addendum. Use 32" PCB bridge mounted with 18 " high glare screen.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 5, 2009

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 17
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^7]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

Revise the following Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 10 | 202 E 35200 | 2133 | FT | Pipe Removed, Over 24"’ |
| 931 | 606 E 10310 | 126752 | SF | Special - Noise Barrier (Absorptive), Over 10' to 14' Height |
| 1027 | 206 E 10500 | 12230 | Ton | Cement |
| 1028 | 206 E 11000 | 411788 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 1029 | 206 E 15000 | 411788 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade 12" Deep |
| 1032 | 304E20000 | 66790 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 1034 | 888E14060 | 386215 | SY | Portland Cement Concrete Pavement, 11.5" Thick (Non- <br> Reinforced Per 452) |

## Answers to prebid Questions:

Q: The noisewall method of measurement on sheet 967 states that noise barrier constructed below the ground line shall not be included for payment. This is an old note and is contrary to the current ODOT measurement for noisewall. Please amend this note to pay for all wall from the bottom of the bottom panel to the top of the top panel including coping. This has been an issue on several recent projects, please review the most recent noisewall guidelines from central office and revise this project accordingly.
A: The quantities have been revised in this addendum to include the entire SF of wall for payment.
Q: The noisewall notes on sheet 967 state that there is incidental seeding and mulching paid with the noisewalls. This has been changed on many projects since there are already established unit prices for this work in other items. It order to simplify measurement and payment of erosion control items, we request that any seeding and mulching around the noisewalls be paid for at the established project unit prices.
A: $\quad$ The seeding and mulching included with the restoration of work area is for crown vetch, which is different from a standard roadside mix, so bid as per plan.

Q: Quantities for Item 202 Pipe Removed Over 24 inches, was revised in addendum 11 and addendum 12. The quantity added in addendum 11 was not taken into consideration when the department deleted quantity in addendum 12. Please revise and include a corrected quantity spreadsheet, which references pipe locations that are to be removed using this bid item, and add it in an addendum.
A: The quantity has been revised in this addendum.
Q: Quantities derived on "scratch paper" for Alternate AA2 appear to be inconsistent with quantities provided for the same work in Alternate AA1. Please provide some documentation proving that these two alternate bids are indeed comparative for bidding purposes. Original Asphalt calculation sheets have had numerous errors (some still yet to be corrected). For example AA2 Item 304 Aggregate Base is shown at $\mathrm{T}=6$ " in the revised typical sections (for concrete alternate). The quantity of this item ( $50,332 \mathrm{CY}$ ) is $24,431 \mathrm{CY}$ less than the Asphalt Alternate AA1 for the same thickness and comparative areas. Please advise in an addendum.
A: Revised calculations have been posted at ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/.

The quantities were based on the most current spreadsheet from the consultant. Some differences from my original quantities were found, (probably due to the numerous revisions of the spreadsheet), and have revised them in this addendum.

Q: \#304 Aggregate base quantities for both alternates appear to be incorrect. The up-to-date aggregate base quantity for the asphalt alternate is 74789 cy. The aggregate base quantity for the concrete alternate is 50332 cy. Based on the foot print areas covered by both, the asphalt alternate's \#304 base appears to be overstated and the concrete alternate's \#304 base appears to be understated. One suggestion to these differences could be the volume of stone base under areas not covered in the alternates being included in one but not the other. Should there be a separate \#304 aggregate base item for those areas not covered in the alternates? Please review, revise, and provide updated calculations for both alternates including all 304, asphalt, concrete, and affected quantities in an addendum.
A: I have posted the concrete pavement calculation ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK13486/ and the aggregate base quantity has increased.

Q: Addendum 11 added reference 1048 Item 448 Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, PG 64-22 and reference 1049 Item 448 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, PG 64-22. Items already exist in the EBS file for this work. What is the Department's intent for these items?
A: Reference numbers 1048 and 1049 were added for the paving of SR-91 and SR-306 that was inadvertently omitted from the general summary. Reference numbers 157,158 and 159 are for driveways or under guardrail.

Q: How is the pavement widening on side roads SR 306 and SR 91 to be handled if the concrete alternate is accepted?
A: The side road pavements are unchanged regardless of the alternative chosen. If the concrete alternative is chosen, the ramps would be paved up to the saw cut already shown in the plans.

Q: In the revised roadway subsummary submitted in Addendum \# 11 it appears that at each "CONCRETE BARRIER END ANCHOR REINFORCED" 15 ft of barrier is being subtracted from the quantity of the "CONCRETE BARRIER, SINGLE SLOPE, TYPE B1". However, in the standard drawings RM - 4.3 no menstion is made of deducting any footage from the barrier wall at end achors (see list of what is to be deducted at bottom of page RM 4.3 page $1 / 2$ ). Also, on RM 4.5 page $1 / 2$ is shows the pay lenght of "Item 622 - CONCRETE BARRIER, SINGLE SLOPE, TYPE D" overlapping the 15 ft of end anchor. Please clarify if the Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B1 and Type D will be paid through the end anchorages, or if 15 ft of wall will be deducted at each end anchor, thus requiring the cost of this deducted barrier to be added to the end anchor pay item.
A: According to the standard drawings, the 15 ' sections were subtracted from the concrete barrier quantity and included with the end anchor quantity.

Q: A prebid question was asked back on November 24, 2008 regarding construction noise and lane closure availabilities. The response was that ODOT was awaiting signed noise variances from 2 of 3 cities, and that only one had been signed. Is there an update on the status of this? Will there be any restrictions on night work?
A: We have signed noise variances from all three Cities. There will be no restrictions on night work concerning noise, except the contractor must adhere with the construction noise note on sheet 41/1679.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 12, 2009

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 18
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.
The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

Revise the following Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantit <br> y | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 604 E 38500 | 10 | Each | Monument Assembly |
| 455 | 503 E 21101 | 205 | CY | Unclassified Excavation, As Per Plan |
| 480 | 503 E 21101 | 4511 | CY | Unclassified Excavation, As Per Plan |
| 939 | 604 E 38500 | 57 | Each | Monument Assembly |
| 1032 | 304 E 20000 | 72509 | CY | Aggregate Base |

## Revise sheet 953:

The callouts and dimension lines for "WALL QUANTITIES" and "ROADWAY QUANTITIES" at the bottom of the Section A-A should be removed. The hatch for the fill material should be extended to the cutline that the excavation limits are currently extended too. The legend note should be revised to read "FILL MATERIAL TO BE INCLUDED WITH ROADWAY ITEM 203 FOR PAYMENT" instead of "FILL MATERIAL TO BE INCLUDED IN WALL QUANTITIES FOR PAYMENT."

## Add Note:

Longitudinal tining as per SS 800, dated 10-17-2008 is approved by the Director. Should Concrete Pavement be the awarded alternate, the successful bidder will provide longitudinal tinning as per SS 800 dated 10-17-08. Reference is made to PN 420 for surface smoothness requirements, including corrective action.

In response to a pre-bid question, existing plan sheets are linked to the internet address listed on the cover page of this addendum.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 12, 2009

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 19
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.
The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

[^8]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For 

LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486
Project 080597
Revise the following Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 17 | 202 E 70100 | 500 | FT | Special - Pipe Cleanout |
| 302 | 645 E 00400 | 3793 | FT | Channelizing Line, Type A1 |
| 307 | 646 E 10401 | 1401 | FT | Stop Line, As Per Plan |
| 308 | 646 E 10501 | 2542 | FT | Crosswalk Line, As Per Plan |
| 309 | 646 E 10601 | 1719 | FT | Transverse/Diagonal Line, As Per Plan |
| 310 | 646 E 10801 | 190 | SF | Island Marking, As Per Plan |
| 311 | 646 E 20301 | 80 | Each | Lane Arrow, As Per Plan |
| 312 | 646 E 20501 | 7904 | FT | Dotted Line, As Per Plan |

Delete the following items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1007 | 446 E 46050 | 661 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64- <br> 22 |
| 1049 | 448 E 47020 | 472 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |

Add the following items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1055 | 645 E01300 | 120 | Each | Lane Arrow, Type A1 |

Revise sheet $14 / 1679$ as follows:
Add: (34) Item 448E46050 - Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64-22
(35) Item 448E47020 - Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22
to the legend.
The 404/401 Permit is attached to this addendum.
Sheet 40/1679 has been replaced with the linked sheet 40/1679. To view this sheet, go to the internet address listed on the cover of this addendum.

## SPECIAL PROVISIONS

## 404 PERMIT- WATERWAY PERMITS

CRS: LAK-2-3.32<br>PID: 13486

- 404 INDIVIDUAL PERMIT FROM THE US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS. (Permit No. 2006-2200-CHA, EFFECTIVE 10/29/2008, EXPIRES ON 12/31/2013)

Operations and Readiness Division<br>Regulatory Branch<br>2006-2200-CHA (Chagrin River)<br>LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486

Mr. James G. Beasley<br>Ohio Department of Transportation<br>1980 West Broad Street<br>Columbus, Ohio 43223<br>Dear Mr. Beasley:

Enclosed is one original and one copy of Department of the Army (DA) Permit Number 2007-342-GMR, authorizing the placement of fill material into waters of the United States for activities associated with the State Route (SR) 2 reconstruction project located between SR 91 on the west and SR 44 on the east, near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby and Mentor in Lake County, Ohio.

Work associated with the proposed project would involve the permanent placement of approximately 4,285 cubic yards of fill material into 3,547 linear feet of fourteen jurisdictional streams and approximately 32,295 cubic yards of fill material into 3.53 acres of six jurisdictional wetlands for the construction of a fourth lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 91 and SR 640 (Vine Street), and between SR 44 N (Heisley Road) and SR 44 S; a third lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 640 and SR 44 N. In addition, the project also consists of reconfiguration of seven interchanges along SR 2 (SR 91, SR 640, Lost Nation Road, SR 306, SR 615, SR 44 north and SR 44 south), widening the existing bridges over Newell Creek (Stream 10) and the Chagrin River, replacement of the existing bridge over Heisley Creek (Stream 15), construction of a new 37 -foot wide bridge over the Chagrin River for Ramp D, replacement of existing culverts, and patching and waterproofing of concrete slab structures within this section of the SR 2 corridor. The project would also involve the temporary placement of approximately 444 cubic yards of fill material into 0.07 acre of the Chagrin River for construction access.

The original copy of this permit is for your records. The enclosed copy of the authorization must be supplied to the project engineers responsible for the construction activities.

If any changes in the location and plans of the work are found necessary, revised plans must be submitted to this office for approval as required by law, before work is initiated. It is imperative that this office be notified two weeks prior to the commencement of construction, and again upon completion of activities.

If you have any questions regarding the information in this letter or the enclosures, please contact Peter Clingan at 614-692-4654.
Enclosures
Copies Furnished w/ enclosures
Mr. Arthur Coleman
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Division of Surface Water
Post Office Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Mr. Mark Epstein
Ohio Historic Preservation Office
567 East Hudson Street
Columbus, Ohio 43221-1130
Ms. Mary Knapp
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
6950 Americana Parkway, Suite H
Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068-4127
Mr. Michael Pettegrew
Office of Environmental Services
Ohio Department of Transportation
Post Office Box 899
Columbus, Ohio ..... 43216-0899
Chief, North Regulatory Section
Rebecca A. Rutherford

Mr. Ric Queen
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Division of Surface Water
Post Office Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Mr. Donald Rostofer
Office of Environmental Services
Ohio Department of Transportation
Post Office Box 899
Columbus, Ohio 43216-0899
Mr. Dave Schulenburg
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region V, WQW-16-J
77 West Jackson Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PERMIT

Permittee: Ohio Department of Transportation
Permit No: 2006-2200-CHA (Chagrin River)

## Issuing Office: Huntington District

NOTE: The term "you" and its derivatives, as used in this permit, means the permittee or any future transferee. The term "this office" refers to the appropriate district or division office of the Corps of Engineers having jurisdiction over the permitted activity or the appropriate official of that office acting under the authority of the commanding officer.

You are authorized to perform work in accordance with the terms and conditions specified below.
Project Description: This permit authorizes the placement of fill material for activities associated with the State Route (SR) 2 reconstruction project located between SR 91 on the west and SR 44 on the east, near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby and Mentor in Lake County, Ohio.

Work associated with the proposed project would involve the permanent placement of approximately 4,285 cubic yards of fill material into 3,547 linear feet of fourteen jurisdictional streams and approximately 32,295 cubic yards of fill material into 3.53 acres of six jurisdictional wetlands for the construction of a fourth lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 91 and SR 640 (Vine Street), and between SR 44 N (Heisley Road) and SR 44 S ; a third lane in both east and west bound directions of SR 2 between SR 640 and SR 44 N . In addition, the project also consists of reconfiguration of seven interchanges along SR 2 (SR 91, SR 640, Lost Nation Road, SR 306, SR 615, SR 44 north and SR 44 south), widening the existing bridges over Newell Creek (Stream 10) and the Chagrin River, replacement of the existing bridge over Heisley Creek (Stream 15), construction of a new 37 -foot wide bridge over the Chagrin River for Ramp D, replacement of existing culverts, and patching and waterproofing of concrete slab structures within this section of the SR 2 corridor. The project would also involve the temporary placement of approximately 444 cubic yards of fill material into 0.07 acre of the Chagrin River for construction access.

Project Location: The project is located in fourteen jurisdictional streams and six jurisdictional wetlands along State Route (SR) 2 between SR 91 on the west and SR 44 on the east, near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby and Mentor in Lake County, Ohio.

## Permit Conditions:

General Conditions:

1. The time limit for completing the work authorized ends on December 31, 2013. If you find that you need more time to complete the authorized activity, submit your request for a time extension to this office for consideration at least one month before the above date is reached.
2. You must maintain the activity authorized by this permit in good condition and in conformance with the terms and conditions of this permit. You are not relieved of this requirement if you abandon the permitted activity, although you may make a good faith transfer to a third party in compliance with General Condition 4 below. Should you wish to cease to maintain the authorized activity or should you desire to abandon it without a good faith transfer, you must obtain a modification of this permit from this office, which may require restoration of the area.
3. If you discover any previously unknown historic or archeological remains while accomplishing the activity authorized by this permit, you must immediately notify this office of what you have found. We will initiate the Federal and state coordination required to determine if the remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.
4. If you sell the property associated with this permit, you must obtain the signature of the new owner in the space provided and forward a copy of the permit to this office to validate the transfer of this authorization.
5. If a conditioned water quality certification has been issued for your project, you must comply with the conditions specified in the certification as special conditions to this permit. For your convenience, a copy of the certification is attached if it contains such conditions.
6. You must allow representatives from this office to inspect the authorized activity at any time deemed necessary to ensure that it is being or has been accomplished in accordance with the terms and conditions of your permit.

Special Conditions:

## The Special Conditions for this permit are listed on a separate page and are titled "Special Conditions for the Authorization Issued to Ohio Department of Transportation 2006-2200-CHA, LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486."

Further Information:

1. Congressional Authorities: You have been authorized to undertake the activity described above pursuant to:
(x) Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403).
(x) Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).
() Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 1413).
2. Limits of this authorization:
a. This permit does not obviate the need to obtain other Federal, state, or local authorizations required by law.
b. This permit does not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.
c. This permit does not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.
d. This permit does not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project.
3. Limits of Federal Liability: In issuing this permit, the Federal Government does not assume any liability for the following:
a. Damages to the permitted project or uses thereof as a result of other permitted or unpermitted activities or from natural causes.
b. Damages to the permitted project or uses thereof as a result of current or future activities undertaken by or on behalf of the United States in the public interest.
c. Damages to persons, property, or to other permitted or unpermitted activities or structures caused by the activity authorized by this permit.
d. Design or construction deficiencies associated with the permitted work.
e. Damage claims associated with any future modification, suspension, or revocation of this permit.
4. Reliance on Applicant's Data: The determination of this office that issuance of this permit is not contrary to the public interest was made in reliance on the information you provided.
5. Reevaluation of Permit Decision: This office may reevaluate its decision on this permit at any time the circumstances warrant. Circumstances that could require a reevaluation include, but are not limited to, the following:
a. You fail to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit.
b. The information provided by you in support of your permit application proves to have been false, incomplete, or inaccurate (See 4 above).
c. Significant new information surfaces, which this office did not consider in reaching the original public interest decision.

Such a reevaluation may result in a determination that it is appropriate to use the suspension, modification, and revocation procedures contained in 33 CFR 325.7 or enforcement procedures such as those contained in 33 CFR 326.4 and 326.5 . The referenced enforcement procedures provide for the issuance of an administrative order requiring you to comply with the terms and conditions of your permit and for the initiation of legal action where appropriate. You will be required to pay for any corrective measures ordered by this office, and if you fail to comply with such directive, this office may in certain situations (such as those specified in 33 CFR 209.170) accomplish the corrective measures by contract or otherwise and bill you for the cost.
6. Extensions. General condition 1 establishes a time limit for the completion of the activity authorized by this permit. Unless there are circumstances requiring either a prompt completion of the authorized activity or a reevaluation of the public interest decision, the Corps will normally give favorable consideration to a request for an extension of this time limit.

Your signature below, as permittee, indicates that you accept and agree to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit.


This permit becomes effective when the Fed oral official, designated to act for the Secretary of the Army, has signed below.


When the structures or work authorized by this permit are still in existence at the time the property is transferred, the terms and conditions of this permit will continue to be binding on the new owners) of the property. To validate the transfer of this permit and the associated liabilities associated with compliance with its terms and conditions, have the transferee sign and date below.

# Special Conditions for the Authorization Issued to Ohio Department of Transportation 2006-2200-CHA <br> LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486 <br> Page 1(2) 

1. Work shall be done in accordance with the attached plans labeled 2006-2200-CHA, LAK-23.32, PID: 13486, sheets 1-50.
2. All conditions attached to or contained within the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency's Water Quality Certification dated August 12, 2008, are hereby incorporated by reference as being special conditions of this permit.
3. To compensate for 3.53 acres of unavoidable wetland impacts, the permittee shall purchase a total of 5.4 acres of wetland credit from the Trumbull Creek Wetland Mitigation Bank located on SR 166 along the Ashtabula-Geauga County line and provide this office with an updated balance sheet.
4. To compensate for 3,547 linear feet of unavoidable stream impacts, the applicant proposes to place a 200 -foot easement ( 100 feet on each side) along 5,321 linear feet of stream on a 155 -acre parcel in northwest Trumbull County identified as Groves Woods, which includes a portion of Garden Creek as well as Class II and Class III primary headwater tributaries. The permitte shall provide this office with a copy of the conservation easement within one year from the date of issuance of a permit.
5. All temporary fill material must be removed to an upland location at the completion of construction activities and the river bottom restored to pre-construction contours to the maximum extent practicable.
6. Appropriate site specific best management practices (BMP) for sediment and erosion control will be fully implemented during construction activities at the site. The BMPs include, but are not limited to, the utilization of silt fences, straw bales, check dams, mulching and seeding.
7. Prior to the initiation of any construction activities on bridges, including the removal of any bridge structures, the underside of each bridge must be carefully examined for the presence of bats, especially between April 1 and September 30. If any bats are found roosting on the underside of the bridge, you will immediately contact the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Reynoldsburg Field Office at (614) 469-6923.

## 2006-2200-CHA

LAK-2-3.32, PID: 13486

## Page 2(2)

8. Section 7 obligations under the Federal Endangered Species Act must be reconsidered if new information revealing impacts of the proposed project that may affect federally listed species or critical habitat in a manner not previously considered, the project is subsequently modified to include actions which were not considered during Section 7 consultation with the USFWS or new species are listed or critical habitat designated might be affected by the proposed project.
9. In the event of an inadvertent discovery of archaeological or cultural resources, including suspected human remains, during construction activities on site, you shall immediately cease all work and contact this office at 614-692-4654 and the Ohio Historic Preservation Office at 614-298-2000. We will initiate the Federal, state, and Native American coordination required to determine if the remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. If such events occur, you shall ensure work on site is not reinitiated until you have received notification in writing from this office that obligations under Section 106 or the National Historic Preservation Act are fulfilled and on-site disturbance may occur. In the event that human remains are discovered, you shall also contact the Lake County Sheriff's office at 440-350-5620.
10. The permittee must obtain any required flood hazard area development permits prior to construction.
11. The permittee is solely responsible for insuring that all activities are performed in compliance with all permit conditions.
12. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that all contractors and/or workers executing the activity(s) authorized by this permit have knowledge of the terms and conditions of the authorization and that a copy of the permit document with attached special conditions and site development plan is kept at the site during construction.
13. If any changes in the location and/or plans, or proposed impacts of the project are found necessary, the permittee must submit written information concerning the proposed modification(s) to this office for review and evaluation.

|  REOUMSTHOR APDEAT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applicant: Ohio Department of Transportation |  | File Number:2006-2200-CHA | Date: 10/29/08 |
| Attached is: |  |  | See Section below |
| X | INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission) |  | A |
|  | PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission) |  | B |
|  | PERMIT DENIAL |  | C |
|  | APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION |  | D |
|  | PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION |  | E |


 Comprueguthons at 33 CPR Part 331 .
A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your wörk is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section $B$ below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.

- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.
PONT ORCONTACT FOR OULSIONS OR INTORMAMON:

If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact:
Ginger Mullins, Chief, Regulatory Branch, 304-399-5389
Rebecca Rutherford, Ch, North Regulatory Section 304-399-5210
Mark Taylor, Chief, South Regulatory Section, 304 399-5710
Address: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Branch
$5028^{\text {th }}$ Street
Huntington, WV 25701

If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact:

Mr. Mike Montone
Great Lakes and Ohio River Division
550 Main Street, Room 10032
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3222
Phone: (513) 684-6212

RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations.

|  | Date: | Telephone number: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Signature of appellant or agent. |  |  |

## SPECIAL PROVISIONS

## 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION - WATERWAY PERMITS

CRS: LAK-2-3.32<br>PID: 13486

- 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION

FROM THE OHIO EPA (PERMIT NO. 083387; EFFECTIVE 08/12/2008, EXPIRES ON 08/12/2013)

## Certified Mail

August 12, 2008
Mr. James G. Beasley, P.E., P.S., Director Ohio Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223

I certify this to be a true and accurate copy of the official documents as filed in the records of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

c/o Timothy M. Hill, Administrator, Office of Environmental Services

## Re: Lake County <br> Grant of Section 401 Water Quality Certification

Project: (Minimal Degradation Alternative) to enhance safety and reduce congestion, and add third lane on State Route (SR) 2 in Lake County, Ohio.
ACOE Public Notice No. 2006-2200-CHA
Ohio EPA ID No. 083387
ODOT ID Code:LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486
Ladies and Gentlemen:
The Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency hereby authorizes the above referenced project under the following authority.

## Section 401 Water Quality Certification

Pursuant to Section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, Public Law 95-217, the Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency hereby certifies that the abovereferenced project will comply with the applicable provisions of Sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

This authorization is specifically limited to a 401 water quality certification with respect to water pollution and does not relieve the applicant of further certifications or Permits as may be necessary under the law. I have determined that a lowering of water quality in the Chagrin River Watershed (HUC 04100009) as authorized by this certification is necessary. I have made this determination based upon the consideration of all public comments, and including the technical, social, and economic considerations concerning this application and its impact on waters of the state.


AUG 142008

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Ohio Department of Transportation
August 12, 2008
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## I. On-Site Water Resources and Impacts

A. Jurisdictional Wetlands

|  |  |  |  | AB | $\text { EE= }+1$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wetland | Cocation |  |  | Wetland ype + $+\sqrt{3}$ ? BEM | Sithedut | Total Size mpacte | hoact <br>  | $\%$ Avoide |
|  |  | URO |  |  | (2) |  | (6eces |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ $W$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mod. } \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | PEM | 1.48 | 0.02 | Fill | 98.65 |
|  | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime \prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 27.0 | 1 | PEM/ <br> Scrub- <br> Shrub | 2.23 | 1.92 | Fill | 14.35 |
|  | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | 22.0 | 1 | PEM | 2.40 | 0.01 | Fill | 99.58 |
|  | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 24 | 1 | PEM | 1.64 | 1.41 | Fill | 14.02 |
|  | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 | 1 | PEM | 0.20 | 0.12 | Fill | 40.0 |
|  | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | 7.0 | 1 | PEM | 0.56 | 0.05 | Fill | 91.07 |
|  |  | TOTA | Al |  |  | ข 8.51 | 3.53 |  | $5$ |

*As provided by applicant, ${ }^{4}$ Palustrine Scrub-Shrub
${ }^{2}$ Non-Forest. ${ }^{3}$ Palustrine Emergent Marsh
${ }^{1}$ Forest, ${ }^{5}$ Palustrine Forested

Ohio Department of Transportation
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## B. Jurisdictional Streams

| Stremul | SHeam ecalion USSS Coorcinate) |  |  <br> Score | Gesidideded | inpaet <br> zengh <br> 11 | In pact TYPe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | luat | Gerg |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 1 (UT to | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 177^{\prime} 23^{n} \mathrm{~W}$ | 56 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 70 | Culvert replacement |
| Mentor Marsh | 414313 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 2 (UT to | $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64 HHEl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class in, } \\ & \text { PHWH } \end{aligned}$ | 40 | Culvert |
| Chagrin River) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 3 (UT to Stream 1 to | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 45 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 907 | Relocation |
| Mentor Marsh) |  |  |  | Class I |  | Relocation |
| Stream 4 (UT to Wasson Ditch) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 50 HHEI | PHWH | 1,050 | Relocation |
| Wasson Ditch) |  |  |  | Class III, | 33 | Culvert |
| Stream 5 (UT to Heisley Creek) | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18{ }^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64 HHEI | PHWH | 33 | replacement |
| Stream 6 (Marsh | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64.25 QHEI | WWH | 65 | concrete slab |
| $\frac{\text { Creek ) }}{\text { Stream } 8 \text { (UT to }}$ | $41^{\circ} 4 \dagger^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 14 HHEI | Class I, PHWH | 70 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 9 (UT to UT to Chagrin | $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 78 HHEl | Class III, PHWH | 120 | Repair concrete slab |
| River) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 10 | $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 24 HHEI | PHWH | 240 | Bridge work |
| $\frac{\text { (Newell Creek) }}{\text { Stream } 12 \text { (UT }}$ |  |  |  | Class ill, | 161 | Culvert |
| to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 69 HH | PHWH | 161 | replacement |
| Stream 13 (UT | $41^{\circ} 38{ }^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 51 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 85 | Culvert replacement |
| to Chagrin River) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 14 | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 HHEl | provisional | 105 | replacement |
| (Wasson Ditch) |  |  |  |  |  | Bridge work |
| Stream 15 (Heisley Creek) | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 57.5 QHEI | WWH | 320 | Bridge work |
| Stream 16 | $39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ | $81^{\circ} 24.21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 QHEI | WWH | 281 | Bridge work |
| (Chagrin River) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wekge |  |  | $3547$ |  |

${ }^{*}$ As provided by applicant
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## II. General Conditions

A: All water resources and their buffers which are to be avoided shall be clearly indicated on site drawings and demarcated in the field with suitable materials, prior to site disturbance. These materials shall remain in place and be maintained throughout the construction process. The water resources also shall be protected with suitable materials, including silt fencing if appropriate, prior to site disturbance. These materials shall remain in place and be maintained throughout the construction process.
B. Best Management Practices (BMPs) must be employed throughout the course of this project to avoid the creation of unnecessary turbidity which may degrade water quality or adversely affect aquatic life outside of the project area.
C. Work shall only take place during low water conditions in order to minimize adverse impacts to water quality away from the project site.
D. Temporary fill shall consist of suitable non-erodible material or shall be stabilized to prevent erosion.
E. Materials used in this project for fill or bank protection shall consist of suitable material free from toxic contaminants in other than trace quantities. Broken asphalt is specifically excluded from use as bank protection.
F. BMPs shall be taken during construction to minimize erosion.
G. BMPs shall be taken upon completion of this project, to ensure bank stability. This may include, but is not limited to, bank seeding.
H. Procedures shall be developed and implemented to eliminate the possibility of spills and to control dust that may enter the waterway by runoff or point discharge.
I. Unpermitted impacts to surface water resources and/or their buffers occurring as a result of this project will be reported within 24 hours of occurrence to Ohio EPA for further evaluation.
J. In temporary impact areas where trees have been removed to facilitate construction, they shall be replaced with appropriate native tree species.
L. Permittee shall be in compliance with the NPDES General Construction Permit for all phases of this project.
M. Other permits may be required by Ohio EPA. For information concerning application procedures, contact the Ohio EPA District Office at the following address:

Northeast District office 2110 East Aurora Road Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
N. Representatives from the Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water will be allowed to inspect the authorized activity at any time deemed necessary to insure that it is being or has been accomplished in accordance with the terms and conditions of this water quality certification.
O. In order to protect the Indiana bat from impacts from this development, the applicant shall not cut bat habitat trees between April $15^{\text {th }}$ and September $15^{\text {th }}$.
P. The bottom elevations shall be restored as nearly as possible to preproject conditions.
Q. Blasting will not be done within or near stream channels without prior consultation with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, to determine what protective measures should be taken to minimize damage to fish and other aquatic life.
R. Cadmium chromium arsenate (CCA) and creosote treated lumber shall not be used in structures in contact with waters of the state.
S. This project may affect the drinking water wells for the adjoining City/Nillage. Precautions must be taken to limit any affect on the water supply. Officials at the City/Nillage should be notified before beginning the project and activities shall be coordinated with them.
T. If pesticide application(s) are proposed for the control of invasive plant species, a site specific application permit must be obtained by calling 614-644-2001 and speaking with the Toxicology Specialist.

## III. MITIGATION

A. Description of Required Wetland Mitigation

The Permittee shall compensate for the 3.53 acres of impacts to jurisdictional wetlands by purchasing a minimum of 5.4 mitigation credits from the Trumbull Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank, located on SR 166 along the AshtabulaGeauga County line.
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## B. Timing of Required Wetland Mitigation

The Permittee shall provide Ohio EPA with an updated balance sheet showing it has purchased 5.4 mitigation credits from the Trumbull Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank within six months from the date of issuance of this certificate.

## C. Compensatory Stream Mitigation

The Permittee shall compensate for the estimated 3,547 linear feet of impacts to the designated streams in the project area by preserving 5,321 linear feet ( 3,547 If X 1.5 ) of streams at Groves Woods, a Cleveland Museum of Natural History site in Trumbull, County, Ohio. The mitigation streams consists of Class Il and Class III Primary Headwater Habitat (PHWH) streams.

## B. Timing of Required Stream Mitigation

The Permittee shall obtain a minimum of 5,321 linear feet of streams that are protected with a conservation easement, in perpetuity. The Permittee shall further provide Ohio EPA with a copy of the conservation easement for the Groves Woods site within one year from the date of issuance of this certificate.

## IV. Notifications To Ohio EPA

All notifications, correspondence, and reports regarding this certification shall reference the following information:

| Permittee: | Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Enhancement of SR 2 |
|  | LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486 |

Ohio EPA ID\#: 083387

| and shall be sent to: $\quad$ | Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401 Unit |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Lazarus Government Center |
|  | 55 West Town Street, Suite 700 |
|  | Columbus, Ohio 43216 |

## Page 7

You are hereby notified that this action of the Director is final and may be Appealed to the Environmental Review Appeals Commission pursuant to Section 3745.04 of the Ohio Revised Code. The appeal must be in writing and set forth the action complained of and the grounds upon which the appeal is based. The appeal must be filed with the Commission within thirty (30) days after notice of the Director's action. The appeal must be accompanied by a filing fee of $\$ 70.00$ which the Commission, in its discretion, may reduce if by affidavit you demonstrate that payment of the full amount of the fee would cause extreme hardship. Notice of the filing of the appeal shall be filed with the Director within three (3) days of filing with the Commission. Ohio EPA requests that a copy of the appeal be served upon the Ohio Attorney General's Office, Environmental Enforcement Section. An appeal may be filed with the Environmental Review Appeals Commission at the following address: Environmental Review Appeals Commission, 309 South Fourth Street, Room 222, Columbus, OH 43215

Sincerely,

## PR i Ratal

Chris Korleski
Director
cc: Deborah L Wegmann, Team Leader, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Huntington District, Ohio Regulatory Transportation Office Wayne Gorski; U.S. EPA, Region 5, William Cody, Asst. Administrator, OES/ODOT
Mike Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permits Unit, OES/ODOT
Don Rostofer, Supervisor, Ecological Unit, OES/ODOT
Karen L. Hallberg, USF\&W (Reynoldsburg Office)
Brian Mitch, ODNR
Ed Will, NEDO/Ohio EPA Joe Loucek, NEDO/Ohio EPA

## SPECIAL PROVISIONS

# OHIO EPA ISOLATED WETLAND PERMIT - WATERWAY PERMITS 

CRS: LAK-2-3.32 PID: 13486

- OHIO EPA ISOLATED WETLAND PERMIT (PERMIT No. 083337; EFFECTIVE 3/12/2008, EXPIRES ON 3/12/2010)


## Ohoera

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

March 12, 2008
Timothy M. Hill, Administrator
Ohio Department of Transportation
Office of Environmental Services
PO Box 899
Columbus, Ohio 43216-0899

> Re: Pre-Activity Notification: General Isolated Wetland Permit (Level 1) Ohio EPA SWIMS ID\#: 083337
> Project: Construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street and a third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44; miscellaneous work
> Project ID \#: LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486

Dear Mr. Hill:
On April 10, 2007, the Director of the Ohio EPA, pursuant to Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Section 6111.021 issued the Ohio General Permit for filling Category 1 and Category 2 Isolated Wetlands. The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) has submitted a: complete and acceptable General Isolated Wetiand Permit Application (Level 1 ) and, after our review, hereby has been granted a General Isolated Wetland Permit, effective March 12, 2008, relative to the above referenced project. This permit only authorizes the designated impacts ( 0.02 acres) to Isolated Wetlands W-3 and W-13 described in the application.

We received the permit application in our office on March 7, 2008. The project consists of the construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street, and third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44, in Lake County, Ohio. In addition, construction work will include full shoulders and concrete median barrier, and modification of seven freeway interchanges. The project is estimated to impact 0.02 acres of isolated wetlands.

Please note ODOT is required to abide by all of the provisions of the General Permit, specifically the permit conditions listed in Part III and the mitigation requirements listed in Part IV. Per ORC Section 6111.022 ( $E$ ) and Part V of the General Permit, the proposed filling of the isolated wetlands must be completed within two years of the date of this permit. If ODOT does not complete the filling within this two year period, it must submit a new pre-activity notice (PAN) to Ohio EPA. According to the application, ODOT shall provide compensatory mitigation for the 0.02 acres of impacts to Wetlands $W-3$ and $W-13$ by deducting 0.03 acres of wetland mitigation credits from the Trumbull
Timothy M. Hill, Administrator
Ohio Department of Transportation
Office of Environmental Services
General Isolated Wetland Permit (Level One)
LAK-2-3.31, MID 13486
Page 2 of 2
Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank located on State Route 166 along the AshtabulaGeauga County line. ODOT shall provide Ohio EPA with a balance sheet or equivalent documentation showing it has withdrawn the specified number of credits.
Please note in accordance with Part IV (F) of the General Permit, when mitigation will occur at an approved wetland mitigation bank, mitigation credits must be acquired within 15 days after receipt of the written notice of approval authorizing impacts to isolated wetlands.
We thank you for submitting the isolated wetland permit application for our review. If you have any questions or issues you would like to discuss regarding this determination or the project, please contact me at (614) 644-2138.
Sincerely,

Arthur L. Coleman, Jr, Ohio EPA, DSW
Environmental Mitigation and Special Permitting Section

cc: Deborah Wegmann, USACE, Huntington District<br>Wayne Gorski, US EPA/Region V<br>William Cody, Asst. Administrator, OES/ODOT<br>Mike Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permit Unit, OES/ODOT<br>Donald Rostofer, Supervisor, Ecological Systems Unit, OES/ODOT<br>Ed Walk, NEDO/Ohio EPA<br>Megan Seymour, USF\&W (Reynoldsburg Office)<br>Brian Mitch, ODNR

## SPECIAL PROVISIONS

## COMBINED 404/401 WATERWAY PERMIT APPLICATION WATERWAY PERMITS

CRS: LAK-2-3.32
PID: 13486

- COMBINED 404/401 WATERWAY PERMIT APPLICATION (SUBMITTED TO THE US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND OHIO EPA ON MARCH 5, 2008)

Section 404 Application for Department of the Army Permit and Section 401 Application for the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Water Quality Certification

# LAK-2-3.32 (PID 13486) Reconstruction Project in the Municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor Lake County, Ohio 

By
Richard M. Paul, Ecologist/Environmental Specialist

Submitted By:<br>Andrew Campbell<br>Project Manager<br>ASC Group, Inc.<br>4620 Indianola Avenue<br>Columbus, Ohio 43214

614.268.2514

Submitted to:<br>Scott Graham, P.E.<br>ARCADIS U.S., Inc.<br>1100 Superior Avenue<br>Suite 1250<br>Cleveland, Ohio 44114<br>216.7816177<br>Lead Agency: Ohio Department of Transportation

March 3, 2008
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Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Delense, Washington Headquarters Service Directorate of Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302; and to the Oltice of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0710-0003), Washington, DC 20503. Please DO NOT RETURN your form to either of those addresses. Completed applications must be submitted to the District Engineer having jurisdiction over the location of the proposed activity.


#### Abstract

Authority: 33 USC 401, Section 10: 1413, Section 404. Principal Purpose: These laws require authorizing activities in, or affecting, navigable waters of the United States, the discharge or till material into waters of the United Slates, and the transportation of dredged material for the purpose of dumping it into ocean waters. Routine Uses: Information provided on this form will be used in evaluating the application for a permit. Disclosure: Disclosure of requested information is voluntary. If information is not provided, however, the pernit application cannot be processed nor can a pennit be issued. One set of original drawings or good reproducible copies which show the location and character of the proposed activity must be attached to this application (see sample drawings and instructions) and be submitted to the District Engineer having jurisdiction over the location of the proposed activity. An application that is not completed in full will be returned.


| (ITEMS 1 THRU 4 TO BE FILLED BY THE CORPS) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. APPLICATION NO. | 2. FIELD OFFICE CODE | 3. DATE RECEIVED | 4. DATE APPLICATION COMPLETED |
| (ITEMS BELOW TO BE FILLED BY APPLICANT) |  |  |  |
| 5. APPLICANT'S NAME James Beasley, Director, P.E., P.S. <br> 8. AUTHORIZED AGENT'S NAME AND TITLE tan agent is not required) Michael Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permits Unit |  |  |  |
| 6. APPLICANT'S AD <br> Ohio Department of 1980 West Broad Columbus, Ohio 43 |  | 9. AGENT'S ADDRESS Ohio Department of T 1980 West Broad Str Columbus, Ohio 4322 | Office of Environmental Services |
| 7. APPLICANT'S PHO <br> a. Residence $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> b. Business 614-4 | REA CODE | 10. AGENT'S PHONE N <br> a. Residence <br> b. Business |  |
| 11. STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION |  |  |  |
| $\text { mes } \frac{y}{} \text { Beanly-Q } 3 / 5108$ <br> APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE |  |  |  |
| NANIE, LOCATION, AND DESCRIPTION OR PROJECT OR ACTIVITY |  |  |  |

12. PROJECT NAME OR TITLE (see instructions) LAK-2-3.32 Road Improvement (PiD 13486)
13. NAME OF WATERBODY, IF KNOWN lif applicable) See Exhibit 1.

## 14. PROJECT STREET ADDRESS (it applicablel

N/A
15. LOCATION OF PROJECT

| Lake |
| :--- |
| COUNTY |

STATE
16. OTHER LOCATION DESCRIPTIONS, IF KNOWN (see instructions) Section, Township, Range, Lat/Lon, and/or Accessors's Parcel Number, for example.

Along State Route 2 near the municipalities of Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor in Lake County.
17. DIRECTIONS TO THE SITE

From Cleveland take l-90 east. Exit at Exit 189 (State Route 91) and go north. The project begins at the State Route $2 /$ State Route 91 intersection and extends east to the State Route 44 junction.

## 18. Nature of Activity Description of project, include all features)

The proposed project includes the addition of a third lane from State Route 640 to State Route 44, the reconfiguration of seven Interchanges, concrete slab structures need to be patched and waterproofed, existing pipe culverts and box culverts need to be replaced, widening of existing I beam and three-span steel beam bridge, and the construction of a new 37 foot wide three-span steel beam bridge are ail required. Fourteen streams and six wetlands will be affected by the proposed project. The streams and wetlands were identified through the preparation of the Ecological Survey Report including QHEI and HHEI data forms for the streams and ORAM forms for wetlands. Reference Table A, summary of streams impacted and Table B, summary of wetlands impacted for more detailed information.

## 19. Project Purpose (Describe the reason or purpose of the project, see instructions)

The primary purposes for improvements to the LAK-2-3.32 corridor are to enhance safety and reduce congestion on State Route 2 in Lake County for both local and through traffic. This limited-access freeway facility displays functional and operational issues based on current traffic operation, safety considerations, and physical roadway deficiencies. Traffic congestion and outdated design features contribute to a high accident rate and safety concerns on the State Route 2 freeway mainline and interchanges. Maintenance activities for the roadway surface, highway lighting, and drainage facilities are becoming more extensive, frequent, and expensive for the responsible agencies.

## USE BLOCKS 20-22 IF DREDGED AND/OR FILL MATERIAL IS TO BE DISCHARGED

## 20. Reason (s) for Discharge

Placement of fill in streams and wetlands is necessary to allow for proper roadway geometry and to be in compliance with ODOT specifications Existing concrete slab structures need to be patched and waterproofed, existing pipe culverts and box culverts need to be replaced, widening of existing I beam and three-span steel beam bridge, and the construction of a new 37 foot wide three-span steel beam bridge are all required. Temporary discharge is necessary to create a temporary work area for replacement of the existing piers and abutments. Temporary fill will be removed upon completion of the project. Permanent fill will be necessary for construction of the abutments and approach slabs. The discharge associated with the placement of rock channel protection is needed to prevent erosion under the structure.
21. Type (s) of Material Being Discharged and the Amount of Each Type in Cubic Yards

The types of material being discharged are specified by the ODOT Construction and Material Specifications 203. This includes natural soil, natural granular material, granular material types, shale, and rock., The total amount being discharged is 37,264 cubic yards.
Wetland Fill Material Quantity - 32,295 cubic yards (See Table C for Stream and Wetland Impacts)
Stream Fill Material Quantity - 4,729 cubic yards (See Table C for Stream and Wetland Impacts)
22. Surface Area in Acres of Wetlands or Other Waters Filled rise instructions)

Overall, a total of 14 streams will be impacted resulting in 3,547 linear feet, or 2.366 acres, of impact. A total of six wetlands will be impacted resulting in 3.53 acres of impact (See Table C for Stream and Wetland Impacts)
23. Is Any Portion of the Work Already Complete? Yes __ No $X$ IF YES, DESCRIBE THE COMPLETED WORK NA
24. Addresses of Adjoining Property Owners, Lessees, Etc., Whose Property Adjoins the Waterbody it more than can be entered here, please attach a supplemental lists.

See Exhibit 2.
25. List of Other Certifications or Approvals/Denials Received from other Federal, State, or Local Agencies for Work Described in This Application. AGENCY TYPE APPROVAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DATE APPLIED DATE APPROVED DATE DENIED USAGE Jurisdictional Determination n/a Ohio EPA USFWS
City of Willoughby City of Mentor Ohio EPA
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { Isolated Wetland Permit } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \text { Ecological Coordination } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { isolated Wetland Permit } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \text { Ecological Coordination } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\end{array}$ n/a

1/12/07
n/a
March 2008
January 2005
n/a
January 2006
n/a
n/a
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Floodplain Permit } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \text { Floodplain Permit } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Floodplain Permit } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \text { Floodplain Permit } & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\end{array}$
n/a
n/a
n/a n/a

Section 401 WAC
n/a
March 2008
n/a n/a

- Would include but is not restricted to zoning, building, and flood plain permits

26. Application is hereby made for a permit or permits to authorize the work described in this application. I certify that the information in this application is complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein or am acting as the duly authorized agent of the applicant.


The application must be signed by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity (applicant) or it may be signed by a duly authorized agent if the statement in block 11 has been filled out and signed.
18 U.S.C. Section 1001 provides that: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up any trick, scheme, or disguises a material fact or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entry, shall be fined not more than $\$ 10,000$ or imprisoned not more than five years or both.

Exhibit 1-404 Application: Block 13. Summary of Streams and Wetlands Impacted

Block 13. Summary of Streams and Wetlands Impacted

| Site / Feature | USGS 7.5" Quadrangle | USGS Coordinates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 2; UT ${ }^{1}$ to UT ${ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 3; UT' to Stream 1 to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 4; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 5; UT ${ }^{\text {² }}$ to Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 6; Marsh Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 8; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Stream 9 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 9; UT ${ }^{1}$ to $\mathrm{UT}^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 10; Newell Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 12; ${ }^{\text {d }}$ t to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 13; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 14; Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 15; Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 16; Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland $4 / 5^{2}$ | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 7 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 11 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 12 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 21 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 30 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UTT = Unnamed Tributary <br> ${ }^{2}$ Wetlands were combined into one wetland after a Jurisdictional Determination was completed on November 8, 2006. |  |  |

Exhibit 2-404 Application: Block 24. Addresses of Adjoining Property Owners

| Yousef and Loubaba Karim | Robert and Evelyn Orlando |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3901 Harvard Dr. | 7727 Kittery Ln. |
| Willoughby, OH | Mentor, OH |
| Wm. W. and Patricia Ann | Vivianni Family Limited |
| Burkey | Partnership |
| 3893 Harvard Dr. | 7255 Industrial Pk. |
| Willoughby, OH | Mentor, OH |
| Constance A. Rawlry | Richard Parker |
| 3883 Harvard Dr. | 3460 Lost Nation Rd. |
| Willoughby, OH | Mentor, OH |
| Paul and Denise Winegar | Jason Grimm and Leslie |
| 3875 Harvard Dr. | Wuest |
| Willoughby, OH | 7860 Champaign Dr. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Sonia \& Brothers, Inc. |  |
| 35000 Curtis Blvd. | Douglas and Barbara Denton |
| Eastlake, OH | 7866 Champaign Dr. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Cornerstone Church |  |
| 7510 Reynolds Rd. | Shelly and Barbara Detrick |
| Mentor, OH | 7600 Tyler Blvd. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Edward and Teresa Sherry |  |
| 7707 Kittery Ln. | Jeff and Nancy Sloat |
| Mentor, OH | 7620 Tyler Blvd. <br> Mentor, OH |
| John and Renee Dickson |  |
| 7717 Kittery Ln. | Jim Brown Chevrolet, Inc. |
| Mentor, OH | 6877 Center St. <br> Mentor, OH |
| DWK Properties, ILC |  |
| 7275 Industrial Pk. | Marie and Phillip Plestis |
| Mentor, OH | 8477 Tyler Blvd. <br> Mentor, OH |
| Freeway Lanes Holdings, |  |
| LLC | Thomas Wheeler |
| 7300 Palisades Pkwy. | 8507 Tyler Blvd. |
| Mentor, OH | Mentor, OH |
| Hugh Carroll | Zoltan and Stacy |
| 7184 Bunker Cove | Dudevszsky |
| Mentor, OH | 8567 Tyler Blvd. <br> Mentor, OH |

Hendricks Commercial Properties, LLC
8745 Munson Rd.
Mentor, OH
Brijovia Properties, LLC
6520 Hopkins Rd.
Mentor, OH
United Way of Lake County, Inc.
9285 Progress Pkwy. Mentor, OH

Donald and Sandra Berlin
9372 Trillium Ln.
Mentor, OH
Jamie and Kim Tavano
9382 Trillium Ln. Mentor, OH

Charles and Linda Croaker 9392 Trillium Ln.
Mentor, OH
Bruce and Kristine Harper
9362 Trillium Ln.
Mentor, OH
David and Lisa Huffman
5876 Primavera Dr.
Mentor, OH
Kevin Shoda and Dawn
Murphy
5684 Primavera Dr.
Mentor, OH
BP Exploration and Oil Inc.
5711 Heisley Rd.
Mentor, OH
Ventas Realty
5700 Emerald St.
Mentor, OH

# APPLICATION FOR OHIO EPA SECTION 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION 

## Effective October 1, 1996 Revised August 1998

This application must be completed whenever a proposed activity requires an individual Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification (Section 401 certification) from Ohio EPA. A Section 401 certification from the State is required to obtain a federal Clean Water Act Section 404 permit from the U.S. Army Corps Engineers, or any other federal permits or licenses for projects that will result in a discharge of dredged or fill material to any waters of the State. To determine whether you need to submit this application to Ohio EPA, contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District Office with jurisdiction over your project, or other federal agencies reviewing your application for a federal permit to discharge dredged or fill material to waters of the State, or an Ohio EPA Section 401 Coordinator at (614) 644-2001.

The Ohio EPA Section 401 Water Quality Certification Program is authorized by Section 401 of the Clean Water Act ( 33 U.S.C. 1251) and the Ohio Revised Code Section 6111.03(P). Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Chapter 3745-32 outlines the application process and criteria for decision by the Director of Ohio EPA. In order for Ohio EPA to issue a Section 401 certification, the project must comply with Ohio's Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1) and not potentially result in an adverse long-term or short-term impact on water quality. Included in the Water Quality Standards is the Antidegradation Rule (OAC Rule 3745-1-05), effective October 1, 1996, revised October 1997 and May 1998. The Rule includes additional application requirements and public participation procedures. Because there is a lowering of water quality associated with every project being reviewed for Section 401 certification, every Section 401 certification applicant must provide the information required in Part 10 (pages 3 and 4) of this application. In addition, applications for projects that will result in discharges of dredged or fill material to wetlands must include a wetland delineation report approved by the Corps of Engineers, a wetland assessment with a proposed assignment of wetland category (ies), official documentation on evaluation of the wetland for threatened or endangered species, and appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation as prescribed in OAC 3745-1-50 to 3745-1-54. Ohio EPA will evaluate the applicant's proposed wetland ategory assignment and make the final assignment.

Information provided with the application will be used to evaluate the project for certification and is a matter of public record. If the Director determines that the application lacks information necessary to determine whether the applicant has demonstrated the criteria set forth in OAC Rule $3745-32-05(\mathrm{~A})$ and OAC Chapter $3745-1$, Ohio EPA will inform the applicant in writing of the additional information that must be submitted. The application will not be accepted until the application is considered complete by the Section 401 Coordinator. An Ohio EPA Section 401 Coordinator will inform you in writing when your application is determined to be complete.

Please submit the following to "Section 401 Supervisor, Ohio EPA/DSW, P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049:

- Four (4) sets of the completed application form, including the location of the project (preferably on a USGS quadrangle) and $8-1 / 2 \times 11^{11}$ scaled plan drawings and sections.
- One (1) set of original scaled plan drawings and cross-sections (or good reproducible copies).
(See Application Primer for detailed instructions)

1. The federal permitting agency has determined this project: (check appropriate box and fill in blanks)
a. X__requires an individual 404 permit/401 certification- Public Notice \# (if known) $\qquad$ .
b.__ requires a Section 401 certification to be authorized by Nationwide Permit \# $\qquad$ .
c. $\qquad$ requires a modified 404 permit/401 certification for original Public Notice \# $\qquad$ .
d. $\qquad$ requires a federal permit under $\qquad$ jurisdiction identified by \# $\qquad$ ـ.
e. $\qquad$ requires a modified federal permit under $\qquad$ jurisdiction identified by \# $\qquad$ -.
`. Application number (to be assigned by Ohio EPA):
2. Name and address of applicant:

Mr. James Beasley, Director, P.E., P.S.
Telephone number during business hours:
Ohio Department of Transportation
1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43223
(614) 466-7102 (Office)
(614) 728-7368
(Fax)
3a. Signature of Applicant: Games y Reave Date: 3/5/08
4. Name, address and title of authorized agent:

Mr. Michael Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permits Unit Ohio Department of Transportation
1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43223

Telephone number during business hours:
$(\underline{614}) \frac{466-7102}{728-7368} \quad$ (Office)
$(\underline{614}) \quad$ (Fax)
$(614)$ 466-7102
(Fax)

Aa. Statement of Authorization: I hereby designate and authorize the above-named agent to act in my behalf in the processing of this permit application, and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of the application.

## Signature of Applicant:

Date:
5. Location on land where activity exists or is propose (2. Indicate coordinates of a fixed reference point at the impact site (if known) and the coordinate system and datum used.

The proposed LAK-2-3.32 project begins at existing SR 91 in Lake County (east of Cleveland) and extends approximately 10 miles east to the SR 44 south junction in Lake County, just west of Painesville. A total of 14 streams and six wetlands occurring in the Chagrin River drainage basin will be impacted by this proposed project.

See Exhibit 1 for USGS Coordinates and other location information for impacted streams and wetlands.
Chagrin River Watershed Lake County, Ohio

| Watershed | County | Township | City | State | Zip Code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6. Is any portion of the activity for which authorization is sought complete? ___ Yes No

If answer is "yes," give reasons, month and year activity was completed. Indicate the existing work on the drawings.
7. List all approvals or certifications and denials received from other federal, interstate, state or local agencies for any structures, construction, discharge or other activities described in this application.


## 8. DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITY (fill in information in the following four blocks - Ba, sb, 8c \& 9)

Ba. Activity: Describe the Overall Activity: SR 2 is a six-lane divided highway with three lanes in both directions to the west of Vine Street. East of Vine Street, SR 2 consists of a four-lane divided highway with two lanes in each direction. The proposed improvement will consist of the construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street and a third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44. Full shoulders and a concrete median barrier will be provided. In addition, the proposed improvements will include the modification of even freeway interchanges in order to reduce congestion and crash hazards at these locations.
Affected Streams and Wetlands: The proposed project affects 14 streams and six wetlands in the Chagrin River watershed. See Exhibit 2 for descriptions of proposed actions to these features.
'b. Purpose: Describe the purpose, need and intended use of the activity: The primary purposes for improvements to the LAK-2-3.32 corridor are to enhance safety and reduce congestion on SR 2 in Lake County for both local and through traffic. Three primary needs were identified for the project: Roadway capacity is insufficient to accommodate the existing and future traffic demands; Safety characteristics need to be improved; and Physical deficiencies exist in the corridor.

See Exhibit 2, Block 8 b summarizing additional information regarding project.

8c. Discharge of dredged or fill material: Describe type, quantity of dredged material (in cubic yards), and quantity of fill material (in cubic yards). (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(a))

Streams: Excavation: 5,640 yds $^{2}$ (total project) Wetlands: Excavation: 2,532 yds ${ }^{3}$ (total project)
Fill: $\quad 4,969 \mathrm{yds}^{3}$ (total project) Fill: $\quad 32,295 \mathrm{yds}^{3}$ (total project)
The types of material being discharged are specified by the ODOT Construction and Material Specifications 203. This includes natural soil, natural granular material, granutar material types, shale, and rock.

See Table C for summary of discharge quantities by feature.
9. Waterbody and location of waterbody or upland where activity exists or is proposed, or location in relation to a stream, lake, wetland, wellhead or water intake (if known). Indicate the distance to, and the name of any receiving stream, if appropriate.

The proposed project impacts a total of 14 streams and six wetlands.

See Exhibit 2 for additional information.
10. To address the requirements of the Antidegradation Rule, your application must include a report evaluating the:

- Preferred Design (your project) and Mitigative Techniques
- Minimal Degradation Alternative(s) (scaled-down version(s) of your project) and Mitigative Techniques
o Non-Degradation Alternative(s) (project resulting in avoidance of all waters of the state)
At a minimum, item a) below must be completed for the Preferred Design, the Minimal Degradation Alternative(s), and the NonDegradation Alternative(s), followed by completion of item b) for each alternative, and so on, until all items have been discussed for each alternative (see Primer for specific instructions). (Application and review requirements appear at OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2), OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6), OAC 3745-1-05(C)(1) and OAC 3745-1-54).

See Exhibit 3.
10a) Provide a detailed description of any construction work, fill or other structures to occur or to be placed in or near the surface water. Identify all substances to be discharged, including the cubic yardage of dredged or fill material to be discharged to the surface water. (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(b))

10b) Describe the magnitude of the proposed lowering of water quality. Include the anticipated impact of the proposed lowering of water quality on aquatic life and wildlife, including threatened and endangered species (include written comments from Ohio Department of Natural Resources and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), important commercial or recreational sport fish species, other individual species, and the overall aquatic community structure and function. Include a Corps of Engineers approved wetland delineation. (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(a, b) and OAC 3745-1-54)

Oc) Include a discussion of the technical feasibility, cost effectiveness, and availability. In addition, the reliability of each alternative shall be addressed (including potential recurring operational and maintenance difficulties that could lead to increased surface water degradation.) (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(h, j-k) and OAC 3745-1-54)

10d) For regional sewage collection and treatment facilities, include a discussion of the technical feasibility, cost effectiveness and availability, and long-range plans outlined in state or local water quality management planning documents and applicable facility planning documents. (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(i))

10 e ) To the extent that information is available, list and describe any government and/or privately sponsored conservation projects that exist or may have been formed to specifically target improvement of water quality or enhancement of recreational opportunities on the affected water resource. (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(g))

10f) Provide an outline of the costs of water pollution controls associated with the proposed activity. This may include the cost of best management practices to be used during construction and operation of the project. (OAC 3745-01-05(C)(6)(g))

10 g ) Describe any impacts on human health and the overall quality and value of the water resource. (OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(c) and OAC 3745-1-54)

10 h ) Describe and provide an estimate of the important social and economic benefits to be realized through this project. Include the number and types of jobs created and tax revenues generated and a brief discussion on the condition of the local economy. (OAC 3745-1-5(B)(2)(e), and OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(i))

10i) Describe and provide an estimate of the important social and economic benefits that may be lost as a result of this project. Include the effect on commercial and recreational use of the water resource, including effects of lower water quality on recreation, tourism, aesthetics, or other use and enjoyment by humans. (OAC 3745-1-05(B)(2)(e,f), and OAC 3745-1-05(C)(6)(e))

10 j ) Describe environmental benefits, including water quality, lost and gained as a result of this project. Include the effects on the aquatic life, wildlife, threatened or endangered species. (OAC 3745-1-05 (B)(2)(e,f), OAC 3745-1-05 (C)(6)(b) and OAC 3745-1-54)

10k) Describe mitigation techniques proposed (except for the Non-Degradation Alternative):
${ }^{\circ}$ Describe proposed Wetland Mitigation (see OAC 3745-1-54 and Primer)
${ }^{\circ}$ Describe proposed Stream, Lake, Pond Mitigation (see Primer)
11. Application is hereby made for a Section 401 Water Quality Certification. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, such information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities or I am acting as the duly authorized agent of the applicant.


The application must be signed by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity (applicant) or it may be signed by a duly authorized agent if the statement in Block 3 has been filled out and signed.

# Exhibit 1-401 Application: Block 5. Locations of Impacted Features for Minimal Degradation Alternative 

401 Application: Block 5. Locations of Impacted Features for Minimal Degradation Alternative

| Site / Feature | USGS 7.5" Quadrangle | USGS Coordinates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 2; UT ${ }^{1}$ to $\mathrm{UT}^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 3; UT' to Stream 1 to Mentor Marsh | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 4; UT' to Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime \mathrm{W}} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 5; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 6; Marsh Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 8; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Stream 9 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 9; UT ${ }^{1}$ to UT ${ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 10; Newell Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 12; UT ${ }^{1}$ to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime \mathrm{W}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 13; UT' to Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 14; Wasson Ditch | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 15; Heisley Creek | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \mathrm{W}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Stream 16; Chagrin River | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland $4 / 5^{2}$ | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 7 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 155^{\prime \prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 11 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 12 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 21 | Mentor | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 344^{\prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wetland 30 | Eastlake | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {UUT }}=$ Unnamed Tributary${ }^{2}$ Wetlands were combined into one wetland after a Jurisdictional Determination was completed on November 8, 2006. |  |  |

TABLES
Table A. 404/401 Application: Streams Affected by the Proposed Project for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Stream } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | USGS Coord. | Description and Length Impacted | Drainage Basin | Total Length | Receiving Stream | Distance to Receiving Stream | Drainage Area/Area at Impact Site | QHEI or HHEI Score/OEPA Use Designation (if available) | Riparian Corridor and Adjacent Habitats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 70 linear feet | $0.61 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 2.28 mi | Mentor Marsh | 1.27 mi | $0.37 \mathrm{mi}{ }^{2}$ | 56 (HHEI) | Residential, Commercial |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 40 linear feet | $0.03 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.93 mi | Unnamed Tributary of Chagrin River | 0.72 mi | $<0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 64 (HHEI) | Residential |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 907 linear feet | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.63 mi | Stream\#1 | 0.23 mi | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 45 (HHEI) | Commercial |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Wasson Ditch;1,050 linear feet | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.75 mi | Wasson Ditch | 0.24 mi | $<0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 50 (HHED) | Residential |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \mathrm{W}} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Heisley Creek; 33 linear feet | $0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.27 mi | Heisley Creek | 0.40 mi | $0.02 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 64 (HHEI) | Residential, Commercial |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{1} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Marsh Creek; 65 linear feet | $17 \mathrm{mi}{ }^{2}$ | 5 mi | Lake Erie | 1.1 mi | $1.18 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.25 \text { (QHEI); } \\ \text { WWH } \end{gathered}$ | Commercial |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream;70 linear feet | $0.06 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.69 mi | Stream \#9 | 20 ft | 0.06 mi ${ }^{2}$ | 14 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream; 120 linear feet | $0.23 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 2.05 mi | Unnamed Tributary of Chagrin River | 0.59 mi | $0.17 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 78 (HHEL) | Commercial, Residential |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Newell Creek; 240 linear feet | $1.16 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 7.03 mi | Chagrin River | 3.42 mi | $0.78 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 24 (HHEI) | Commercial |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime \mathrm{N}} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Chagrin River; 161 linear feet | $0.03 . \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 1.79 mi | Chagrin River | 200 ft | $1.79 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 69 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \mathrm{W}} \end{aligned}$ | Tributary of Chagrin River; 85 linear feet | $<0.01 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 0.31 mi | Chagrin River | 0.21 mi | $0.004 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 51 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | Wasson Ditch; 105 linear feet | $0.85 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 3.35 mi | Mentor Marsh | 1.7 mi | $0.57 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 56 (HHEI) | Commercial, Residential |

Table A. 404/401 Application: Streams Affected by the Proposed Project for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| Stream <br> No. | USGS Coord. | Description and Length <br> Impacted | Drainage <br> Basin | Total <br> Length | Receiving Stream | Distance to <br> Receiving <br> Stream | Drainage <br> Area/Area at <br> Impact Site | QHEI or HHEI <br> Score/OEPA Use <br> Designation (if <br> available) | Riparian Corridor <br> and Adjacent <br> Habitats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \mathrm{W}}$ | Heisley Creek; 320 linear feet | $3.8 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 3.79 mi | Marsh Creek | 1.21 mi | $0.54 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 57.5 (QHEI); <br> WWH | Commercial, <br> Residential |
| 16 | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ <br> $81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Chagrin River; 281 linear feet | $264 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 47.9 mi | Lake Erie | 3.1 mi | $16.3 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | 56 (QHEI); <br> WWH | Metro Park, <br> Wetlands, <br> Commercial |

Table B. 404/401 Application: Wetlands Affected by the Proposed Project for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| Wetland No. | USGS Coordinate | Drainage Basin | Wetland Description | Cowardin et al., 1979 Classification | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ORAM } \\ \text { v5.0 Score } \end{array}$ | OEPA Category | Total Size (Area Impacted) | Adjacent Habitats | Proximity to Other Surface Waters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 488^{\prime N} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime W} \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | Chagrin River | Low quality and non-native emergent species; Green AshSilver Maple component | Emergent/Forested Wetland | 36/29 | Modified Category 2/Category 1 | 0.02 ac | Residential, State Route 2 | Adjacent to Stream 12 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Chagrin River | Giant Reed and Black Willow Wetland | Emergent/ScrubShrub Wetland | 27 | Category 1 | 1.92 ac | Chagrin River Metro Park, Chagrin River Riparian Corridor, State Route 2 | Within 200 feet of Chagrin River |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Marsh Creek | Giant Reed-Cattail Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 22 | Category 1 | 0.01 ac | Commercial, State Route 2 | Tributary of Marsh Creek flows through Wetland 11 |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10 " \mathrm{~N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Chagrin <br> River | Giant Reed-Purple Loosestrife Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 24 | Category 1 | 1.41 ac | Surrounded by State Route 2 and St. Clair Street | Within 100 feet of Chagrin River |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Marsh Creek | Giant Reed Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 6 | Category 1 | 0.12 | Commercial and State Route 2 | Adjacent to Stream 6 |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 122^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ & 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | Unnamed Stream | Mixed Emergent Marsh | Emergent Wetland | 7 | Category 1 | 0.05 | Commercial and State <br> Route 91 | Adjacent to roadside ditch that flows into unnamed stream |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.
A. STREAMS

| Stream No./Name | Approx. Station (Sta.) Location | Proposed Structure or Action | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Placement of Proposed Structure, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Temporary Crossing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length of Channel Disturbed (linear feet) | Excavation Below OHW |  | Fill Below OHW |  | Length of Channel Disturbed | Excavation / Fill Below OHW |  |
|  |  |  |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{2} \text { ) }}$ |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area (yd ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |
| 1/Unnamed Stream | Sta. 794+63 | Replace existing 58"x91" culvert with a 12'x6' box culvert | 70 | 40 | 315 | 15 | 315 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2/Unnamed } \\ & \text { Stream } \end{aligned}$ | Sta. 308+66.09 | Reline existing 15 ' and 10' corrugated metal pipe with 11' and 8' smooth lined pipe | 40 | N/A | N/A | 20 | 70 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3/Unnamed Stream | Heisley Road Interchange,Ramp B Sta. $361+50$ to Sta. $370+00$ and State Route 2 Sta. 776+30 to Sta. 776+90 (south) | Re-grading of Heisley Road ramp unnamed stream | 907 | 1,235 | 4,275 | 2,045 | 4,275 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4/Tributary of Wasson Ditch | Sta. $755+00$ to Sta. $775+00$ (North) | Re-grading of <br> Heisley Road <br> Interchange, Ramp A <br> and Ramp D, and <br> parallel Tributary of <br> Wasson Ditch | 1,050 | 350 | 1,050 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5/Tributary of Heisley Creek | Sta. $701+08$ | Replace existing 48"x76" pipe culvert with $8^{\prime} \times 5$ ' | 33 | N/A | N/A | 20 | 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 6/Marsh Creek | Sta. 646+04 | Existing $16^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$ reinforced concrete slab structure to be patched and waterproofed | 65 | N/A | N/A | 55 | 165 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative,

| Stream No./Name | Approx. Station (Sta.) Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed Structure } \\ \text { or Action } \end{gathered}$ | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Placement of Proposed Structure, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Temporary Crossing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length of Channel Disturbed (linear feet) | Excavation Below OHW |  | Fill Below OHW |  | Length of Channel Disturbed | Excavation / Fill Below OHW |  |
|  |  |  |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{2} \text { ) }}$ | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{2} \text { ) }}$ |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area (yd ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |
| 8/Unnamed Stream | Sta. $524+97.8$ | Replace existing twin 48" pipe culverts with 58 "x91" reinforced concrete elliptical pipe | 70 | N/A | N/A | 20 | 95 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 9/Unnamed Stream | Sta. $548+21$ | Existing 12'x10' reinforced concrete slab structure to be patched and waterproofed | 120 | N/A | N/A | 75 | 225 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 10/Newell Creek | Sta. 501+81 | Widen existing prestressed I-beam, simple span bridge | 240 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 12/Tributary of Chagrin River |  <br> S.R. 2, Sta. $395+00$ <br> to Sta. $451+00$ <br> (right); Sta. $451+00$ <br> ti Sta. $459+00$ (left); <br> S.R. 306 Ramp I, Sta. <br> $59+00$ to Sta. $68+75$; <br> Lost Nation Road <br> Ramp B (entire <br> limits); and Lost <br> nation Road, Sta. <br> $50+54$ | Replace existing 96" reinforced concrete pipe with 77"x121" reinforced concrete elliptical pipe under Lost Nation Road; Additional impacts at median drain outlets | 161 | N/A | N/A | 240 | 265 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 13/Tributary of Chagrin River | Sta. $345+16$ | Replace existing 42" reinforced concrete pipe with 42" reinforced concrete pipe | 85 | N/A | N/A | 150 | 100 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative.

| Stream No./Name | Approx. Station (Sta.) Location | Proposed Structure or Action | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Placement of Proposed Structure, Highway Fill, Channel Change or Channel Protection ${ }^{[1]}$ |  |  |  |  | Existing Channel Disturbed Due to Temporary Crossing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length of Channel Disturbed (linear feet) | Excavation Below OHW |  | Fill Below OHW |  | Length of Channel Disturbed | Excavation / Fill Below OHW |  |
|  |  |  |  | Volume (yd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | Area ( $\mathbf{y d}^{\text {d }}$ ) | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{\text {3 }}$ ) | Area ( $\mathrm{yd}^{2}$ ) |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | Area ( $\mathbf{y d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |
| 14/Wasson Ditch | Sta. 738+57 |  <br> Replace existing 72" <br> reinforced concrete <br> pipe and 15" <br> reinforced concrete <br> pipe with 84" <br> reinforced concrete <br> pipe | 105 | N/A | N/A | 155 | 200 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 15/Heisley Creek | Sta. 717+65 | Replace existing 3span reinforced concrete slab bridge with single span steel beam with concrete deck structure | 320 | N/A | N/A | 975 | 1,830 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 16/Chagrin River | Sta. $\mathbf{3 8 1 + 1 6}$ | Widen existing 3- span steel beam with reinforced concrete deck bridge and construct a new 37 (out-to-out) wide 3- span steel beam with reinforced concrete deck bridge for Ramp D; relocate existing sanitary sewer | 281 | N/A | N/A | 515 | 515 | 20 | 444 | 333 |

Table C. 404/401 Application: Nature of Proposed Activities by Impacted Feature for the Minimal Degradation Alternative. B. WETLANDS

| Feature | Location | Description | Total Area Impacted (acres) | Proposed Action | Direct Impacts (within construction limits) |  |  | IndirectImpact Area(outsideconstructionlimits) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Volume Excavated $\left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume Filled } \\ \left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Area Excavated and/or Filled $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ |  |
| Wetland 4/5 | S.R. 2, Sta. $454+00,160 \mathrm{ft}$ Lt. to S.R. 306 ramp I, Sta. $70+50,100 \mathrm{ft}$.Lt. | Modified Category 2 Forested/Emergent Wetland | 0.02 | Sideslope and ditch grading | 15 | 0 | 870 | 0 |
| Wetland 7 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { S.R. 2, Sta. } 359+75 \text { to Sta. } \\ 379+50,100 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{Lt.} \end{array}$ | Category 1 Emergent/ScrubShrub Wetland | 1.92 | Sideslope and ditch grading; Storm sewer and retaining wall construction | 400 | 27,023 | 83,635 | 0 |
| Wetland 11 | S.R. 615 Ramp B, Sta. <br> $0+50$ to Sta. $11+00$ (S.R. 2 <br> Sta. $614+50$ ) 60 ft. Rt. | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 0.01 | Sideslope and ditch grading | 15 | 0 | 435 | 0 |
| Wetland 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.R. 2, Sta. } 359+80 \text { to Sta. } \\ & 378+75,80 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{Rt.} \end{aligned}$ | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 1.41 | Sideslope and ditch grading, and storm sewer construction | 1,944 | 5,222 | 61,420 | 0 |
| Wetland 21 | S.R. 2, Sta. $645+90$ to Sta. $647+90,120 \mathrm{ft}$ Rt. | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 0.12 | Sidesiope and ditch grading, and culvert construction | 208 | 0 | 5,227 | 0 |
| Wetland 30 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Som Center Rd. (S.R. 91), } \\ \text { Sta. } 27+50 \text { (S.R. 2, Sta. } \\ 308+00 \text { ), } 390 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{Rt.} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Category 1 Emergent Wetland | 0.05 | Sideslope grading | 50 | 50 | 2178 | 0 |

C. WHOLE PROJECT SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

| C. WHOLE PROJECT SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Project Lineal Stream Disturbances |  |  | Total Project Excavation |  |  |  |  |  | Stream Filled (standard roadfill, channel protection, temp crossing \& other materials |  | Total Project Fill |  |  |  |
| Total Length Disturbed due to Proposed Structures, Highway Fill, Channel Change or | Length Disturbed due to Temporary Crossing | Net Length Disturbed ${ }^{[3]}$ | Stream Excavated |  | Wetland Excavated |  | Total Excavation |  |  |  | Wetland Filled |  | Total Filled |  |
| Channel Protection |  |  | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(\text { yd }^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Volume ( $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(y^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Volume } \\ \left(\mathbf{v d}^{3}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{yd}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Volume } \\ \left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{yd}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Volume } \\ \left(\text { yd }^{3}\right) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{yd}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Volume } \\ \left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{yd}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 3,547 | 20 | 3,567 | 1,625 | 5,640 | 2,632 | 59,903 | 4,157 | 65,543 | 4,429 | 10,228 | 32,295 | 102,434 | 37,264 | 112,662 |

Table D. 404/401 Application: Proposed Lowering of Water Quality by the Preferred, Minimal, and Non-Degradation Alternatives.

| Alternative | Expected Impacts by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Direct Stream Impacts | Aquatic Hab. (QHEI/RHED/Use Designation/Stream Flow | Aquatic Biota | T\& E Species ${ }^{[1]}$ | Terrestrial Plant/Animals (Riparian Area) | Wetlands | Summary for Alternative |
| Preferred | Primarily short-term construction impacts (increased erosion and sedimentation); Overall, no longterm impacts to water quality are expected. <br> Total Stream Impacts: <br> 5,141 linear feet Temporary Fill: 0.440 acres Permanent Fill: 2.465 acres | Stream 1/56 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 2/64 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 3/45 (HHED)/Intermittent Stram 4/50 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 5/64 (HHE)/Intermittent Stream 6/64.25 (QHE)/Perennial Stream 8/14 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 9/78 (HHEI/Intermittent Stream 10/24 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 12/69 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 13/51 (HHEI/Intermittent Stream 14/56 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream $15 / 57.5$ (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 16/56 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 17/62.25 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream $18 / 35$ (HHEI)/Intermittent | The existing fish and macrobenthos are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected within any stream. Examples of fish species include: <br> largemouth bass <br> (Micropterus <br> salmoides), white <br> suckers (Catostomus commersoni), smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui), creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus), sunfish (Lepomis sp.). Several examples of macrobenthos species include: Hydropsyche sp., Argia sp., Gammarus sp., Chironomidae sp., and Hirudinea sp. | No federal/state threatened or endangered species were identified in the were identified in range of the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), the piping plover <br> (Charadrius melodus), and the bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) include Lake County. It is unlikely that any of these species will be adversely affected. | Very common plant, mammal, bird, and amphibian species. Examples of mammal, bird, and amphibian species include: whitetailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), raccoon <br> (Procyon lotor), <br> European starling (Sturnus vulgaris), Northern cardinal (Cardinalis cardinalis), and green frog (Rana clamitans). Examples of plant species include: silver maple (Acer saccharinum), chicory (Cichorium intybus), tall fescue (Festuca elatior), common reed (Phragmites australis), and Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis). These species are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected. | Non-Isolated Total Impact: 4.55 acres 3.81 acres of impact for non- forested Category 1 wetlands; 0.65 acres of impact for forested Category 2 wetlands Isolated Total Impact: 0.12 acres 0.07 acres of impact for non- forested Category 1 wetlands; 0.05 acres of impact for forested Category 1 wetlands | No permanent impacts to sensitive habitats, T\&E species, terrestrial species, or aquatic biota. Siltation resulting from the construction activities may reduce species diversity and abundance during construction and shortly afterwards. However, it is unlikely that construction activities will result in the permanent loss of any aquatic species. The project may require the cutting of several trees that possess Indiana bat roosting potential. |

Table D. 404/401 Application: Proposed Lowering of Water Quality by the Preferred, Minimal, and Non-Degradation Alternatives.

|  | Expected Impacts by Alternative |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Direct Stream Impacts | Aquatic Hab. <br> (QHEL/HHE1/Use <br> Designation/Stream Flow | Aquatic Biota | T\&ESpecies ${ }^{[1]}$ | Terrestrial Plant/Animals (Riparian Area) | Wetlands | Summary for Alternative |
| Minimal Degradation | Primarily short-term construction impacts (increased erosion and sedimentation); Overall, no longterm impacts to water quality are expected. <br> Total Stream Impacts: <br> 3,547 linear feet Temporary Fill: 0.439 acres Permanent Fill: 1.927 acres | Stream 1/56 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 2/64 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 3/45 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 4/50 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 5/64 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 6/64.25 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 8/14 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 9/78 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 10/24 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 12/69 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 13/51 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 14/56 (HHEI)/Intermittent Stream 15/57.5 (QHEI)/Perennial Stream 16/56 (QHEI)/Perennial | The existing fish and macrobenthos are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected within any stream. Examples of fish species include: largemouth bass <br> (Micropterus salmoides), white suckers (Catostomus commersoni), smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui), creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus), sunfish (Lepomis sp.). Several examples of macrobenthos species include: Hydropsyche sp., Argia sp., Gammarus sp., Chironomidae sp., and Hirudinea sp. | No federal/state threatened or endangered species were identified in the project area. The range of the Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), the piping plover <br> (Charadrius melodus), and the bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) include Lake County. It is unlikely that any of these species will be adversely affected | Very common plant, mammal, bird, reptile, and amphibian species. Examples of mammal, bird, and amphibian species include: whitetailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), raccoon (Procyon lotor), European starling (Sturnus vulgaris), Northern cardinal (Cardinalis cardinalis), and green frog (Rana clamitans). Examples of plant species include: silver maple (Acer saccharinum), chicory (Cichorium intybus), tall fescue (Festuca elatior), common reed (Phragmites australis), and Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis). These species are expected to be displaced, but not significantly affected within any stream. | Non-Isolated Total Impact: 3.53 acres <br> 3.51 acres of impact for nonforested Category 1 wetlands; 0.02 acres of impact for forested Category 2 wetlands <br> Isolated <br> Total Impact: 0.02 acres <br> 0.01 acres of impact for nonforested Category 1 wetlands; 0.01 acres of impact for forested Category 1 wetlands | No permanent impacts to sensitive habitats, <br> T\&E species, terrestrial species, or aquatic biota. Siltation resulting from the construction activities may reduce species diversity and abundance during construction and shortly afterwards. However, it is unlikely that construction activities will result in the permanent loss of any aquatic species. <br> The project may require the cutting of several trees that possess Indiana bat roosting potential. |
| NonDegradation | None | No impacts as current structures are in place (culverts, bridges, etc.) | None | None | None | None | No impacts for this alternative. |
| [1] Impact footprint of the Preferred Alternative includes areas upstream and/or downstream of proposed structures where energy and erosion control components (channachieve pre-construction stream velocity, water surface elevation and channel stability conditions; no impact to stream flow patterns are expecte |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| V／N | V／N | OS | V／N | V／N | £0001170 | V／N |  <br>  | $\checkmark$ ureans |
| V／N | V／N | St | V／N | $\forall / \mathrm{N}$ | £000ILヤ0 | V／N |  <br>  | $\varepsilon$ urans |
| V／N | V／N | t9 | V／N | V／N | E000IIt0 | V／N |  <br>  | 乙 ueans |
| V／N | V／N | 9S | V／N | V／N | E0001It0 | V／N |  <br>  | I ureans |
| 24！S－110 | 2y！ | OXOOS ITHFIH | 2．00S I＇GHO | ${ }_{\text {xpateril！}}$ | papozdux | ${ }_{\text {r }}$ |  | auk UETMS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table G. 404/401 Application: Impacts and Avoidance Estimations.

| Resource | Acres/Feet Impacted (Alt) | Total Resource (acres/miles) | Volume of Fill (CY) | \% Avoided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 1 | 0.061 acres/65 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.065 acres/70 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.28 miles | 51 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 55 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.42\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.40\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 2 | 0.018 acres/ 50 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.014 acres/40 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.93 miles | 25 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 70 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.98\% (Preferred Alternative) 99.10\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 3 | 0.883 acres/907 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.883 acres/907 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.63 miles | 2,045 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 2,045 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 72.73\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> $72.70 \%$ (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 4 | 0.405 acres/ 1,960 linear feet (Preferred Alternative 0.217 acres $/ 1,050$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.75 miles | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $50.51 \%$ (Preferred Alternative) <br> 73.50\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 5 | 0.016 acres $/ 50$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) $0.010 \mathrm{acres} / 33$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.40 miles | 30 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 20 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $97.63 \%$ (Preferred Alternative) $98.50 \%$ (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 6 | 0.034 acres $/ 129$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.034 acres/65 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 5 miles | 55 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 55 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 99.51\% (Preferred Alternative) } \\ >99.99 \% \text { (Minimal Degradation } \\ \text { Alternative) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Stream 8 | 0.017 acres/ 61 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.020 acres/70 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.69 miles | 17 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 20 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.33\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.98\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 9 | 0.049 acres $/ 125$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.046 acres/ 120 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative | 2.05 miles | 78 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 75 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.85\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.88\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 10 | 0.370 acres $/ 240$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.370 acres/240 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 7.03 miles | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.35\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.94\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 12 | 0.069 acres $/ 161$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.055 acres $/ 161$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 1.79 miles | 305 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 240 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.30\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.30\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 13 | 0.027 acres $/ 108$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) 0.021 acres/ 85 linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 6.60 miles | 190 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 150 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.69\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.95\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |

Table G. 404/401 Application: Impacts and Avoidance Estimations.

| Resource | Acres/Feet Impacted (Alt) | Total Resource (acres/miles) | Volume of Fill (CY) | \% Avoided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream 14 | 0.059 acres $/ 150$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> $0.041 \mathrm{acres} / 105$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 3.35 miles | 220 CY (Preferred Alternative) 155 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 99.15\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 99.40\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 15 | 0.378 acres/ 320 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.378 acres $/ 320$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 3.79 miles | 975 CY (Preferred Alternative) 975 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 98.40\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 98.39\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Stream 16 | 0.178 acres $/ 285$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.175 acres $/ 281$ linear feet (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 47.9 miles | 522 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 515 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $\begin{array}{\|c} >99.99 \% \text { (Preferred Alternative) } \\ >99.99 \% \text { (Minimal Degradation } \\ \text { Alternative) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Stream 17 | 0.056 acres/80 linear feet (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.40 miles | 272 CY (Preferred Alternative) 170 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $99.37 \%$ (Preferred Alternative) $100 \%$ (MinimaldDegradation |
| Stream 18 | 0.341 acres $/ 450$ linear feet (Preferred Alternative) No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternarive) | 0.56 miles | 1,585 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 84.78\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 3 | 0.03 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.47 acres | 24 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 93.62\% (Preferred Alternative) 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 4/5 | 0.06 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.02 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 1.48 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 95.95\% (Preferred Alternative) 98.65\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 7 | 1.92 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 1.92 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.23 acres | 27,023 CY (Preferred Alternative) 27,023 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $\begin{gathered} 13.99 \% \text { (Preferred Alternative) } \\ \text { 13.99\% (Minimal Degradation } \\ \text { Alternative) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Wetland 11 | 0.15 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.01 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 2.40 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 93.75\% (Preferred Alternative) 99.58\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 12 | 1.47 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 1.41 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 1.64 acres | 5,444 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 5,222 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 10.37\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 14.02\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland13 | 0.07 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.01 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.71 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Altemative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 90.14\% (Preferred Alternative) 98.59\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |

Table G. 404/401 Application: Impacts and Avoidance Estimations.

| Resource | Acres/Feet Impacted (Alt) | Total Resource (acres/miles) | Volume of Fill (CY) | \% Avoided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetland 17/18 | 0.06 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 8.69 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $99.31 \%$ (Preferred Alternative) 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 19 | 0.01 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.07 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 85.71\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 20 | 0.01 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.12 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 91.67\% (Preferred Alternative) 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 21 | 0.17 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.12 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.20 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 15\% (Preferred Alternative) 67.57\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 23 | 0.65 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> No Impact (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.85 acres | 0 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 33.52\% (Preferred Alternative) <br> 100\% (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |
| Wetland 30 | 0.07 acres (Preferred Alternative) <br> 0.05 acres (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | 0.56 acres | 114 CY (Preferred Alternative) <br> 50 CY (Minimal Degradation Alternative) | $87.5 \%$ (Preferred Alternative) $91.07 \%$ (Minimal Degradation Alternative) |

## APPENDIX A: GENERAL MAPS AND DESIGN DRAWINGS



Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map.
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Figure 4. Minimum Degradation Alternative. (14 sheets)
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Figure 4. Minimum Degradation Alternative. (14 sheets)
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Figure 6. Plan views of stream and wetland crossings.
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WETLAND 12
TOTAL WETLAND LOSS (1.406 AC)
AREA EXCAVATED OR FILLED (WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS): EXCAVATION BELOW OHW: FILL BELOW OHW:

# IMPACTS TO WETLANDS W11 <br> OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

S.R. 2 IN LAKE COUNTY

LAK-2-3.32 AND LAK-2-7.76 PID 13486 AND PID 79545


Pre-Activity Notification Application for the General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (Level One Review) for the LAK-2-3.32 (PID 13486) Project in Eastlake, Willoughby, and Mentor, Lake County, Ohio

By
Richard M. Paul, Ecologist/Environmental Specialist

Submitted By:
Andrew Campbell
Project Manager
ASC Group, Inc.
4620 Indianola Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43214
614.268.2514

Submitted to: Scott Graham, P.E. ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 1100 Superior Avenue Suite 1250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216.7816177<br>\title{ Lead Agency: Ohio Department of Transportation }

March 3, 2008
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## General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (Level One Review)

## Appendix A - General Maps And Design Drawings

Figure 1 - Portion of the ODOT Lake County highway map showing the project area.
Figure 2 - Portions of the 1963 (photo revised 1992) Eastlake and the 1963 (photo revised 1992) Mentor quadrangles (USGS 7.5' topographic map illustrating the project area and isolated wetland locations (2 Sheets).
Figure 3 - Plan view of wetlands.
Appendix B - ORAM V.5.0
Appendix C - Photographs
Appendix D - U.S.A.C.E Isolated Waters Determination

Please Print or Type (attach additional sheets if necessary)

|  | Applicant | Agent: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Company Name: | Ohio Department of Transportation | ODOT, Office of Environmental Services |
| Address: | 1980 West Broad Street, 3rd Floor | 1980 West Broad Street, 3rd Floor |
| City, State, Zip: | Columbus, Ohio 43223 | Columbus, Ohio 43223 |
| Contact Person: | James Beasley, Director, P.E., P.S. | Michael Pettegrew, Supervisor |
| Phone Number(s): | (614) $466-7102$ | (614) $466-7102$ |
| Fax Number: | (614) $728-7368$ | (614) $728-7368$ |
| E-Mail Address: | mike.pettegrew @dot.state.oh.us | mike.pettegrew@ dot.state.oh.us |

## PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: Lak-2-3.32
Street: State Route 2
County: Lake
Project Description:
The proposed improvement will consist of the construction of a fourth lane between SR 91 and Vine Street and a third lane between Heisley Road and SR 44. Full shoulders and a concrete median barrier will be provided. In addition, the proposed improvements will include the modification of seven freeway interchanges.
Project Type (Check all that apply):

| $\square$ | Commercial | $\square$ | Landfill | $\square$ | Remediation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Erosion Control | $\square$ | Mining | $\square$ | Residential |
| $\square$ | Flood Control | $\square$ | Mitigation Bank | $\boxed{~ T r a n s p o r t a t i o n ~}$ |  |
| $\square$ | Industrial | $\square$ | Navigation/Boating | $\square$ | Utility |
| $\square$ | Lake/Pond Creation | $\square$ | Public | $\square$ | Other: |

I have included the following in this submittal:
( Maps showing project footprint/wetlands
including USGS map
Wetland delineation
( Corps isolated waters determination

区 Wetland categorization
X Site photographs
■ Mitigation proposal
区 Corps isolated waters determination

- Check for applicable fees

Are there other aquatic resources on the project site (please check all that apply):

| $\star$ | Perennial Streams |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-isolated wetlands | Intermittent Streams |
| Lakes/Ponds |  |

List other water-related permits pending, issued, or required for this project (Nationwide permits, Coastal Zone Management, Mining, NPDES, etc.):
Department of Army, 404 Permit
Ohio EPA, 401 Water Quality Certification

Ohio EPA General Isolated Wetland Permit Application (continued). Project Name: Lak-2-3.32
Individual Isolated Wetland Information Table*. Please list all isolated wetlands:

*List more on separate sheets if needed.

## List mitigation techniques utilized for the proposed filling:



## Fee Table:



1 certify that the information provided on this form and as part of this submittal regarding the project is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge:

Applicant
Name (Print): Somas Ce Seas ley Applicant

Send completed application, including fee check, to:

Signature: farmer M Beasherante: $\qquad$ $3 / 5 / 0 \varepsilon$

Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water
P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049

ATTN: Isolated Wetlands Permitting

## Certified Mail

August 12, 2008
Mr. James G. Beasley, P.E., P.S., Director Ohio Department of Transportation 1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223

1 certify this to be a true and accurate copy of the official documents as filed in the records of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

coo Timothy M. Hill, Administrator, Office of Environmental Services
$\mathrm{Re}: \quad$ Lake County
Grant of Section 401 Water Quality Certification
Project: (Minimal Degradation Alternative) to enhance safety and reduce
congestion, and add third lane on State Route (SR) 2 in Lake County, Ohio.
ACOE Public Notice No. 2006-2200-CHA
Ohio EPA ID No. 083387
ODOT ID Code:LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486
Ladies and Gentlemen:
The Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency hereby authorizes the above referenced project under the following authority.

## Section 401 Water Quality Certification

Pursuant to Section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, Public Law 95-217, the Director of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency hereby certifies that the abovereferenced project will comply with the applicable provisions of Sections 301, 302, 303, 306 , and 307 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

This authorization is specifically limited to a 401 water quality certification with respect to water pollution and does not relieve the applicant of further certifications or Permits as may be necessary under the law. I have determined that a lowering of water quality in the Chagrin River Watershed (HUC 04100009) as authorized by this certification is necessary. I have made this determination based upon the consideration of all public comments, and including the technical, social, and economic considerations concerning this application and its impact on waters of the state.

Ohio Department of Transportation
August 12, 2008
Page 2

## | I. On-Site Water Resources and Impacts

## A. Jurisdictional Wetlands

|  |  |  |  |  | $\text { LE }-1$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetla nd 1 D | Wetland Location |  | ORAM Score* | Cat | Wetland Type $F^{1}, N F^{2}$ PEM ${ }^{3}$ PSS ${ }^{4}$ PFO | Total Size (acres) | Total Size Impacte d (acres) | Impact Type | \% Avoide d |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Wetland } \\ 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ $W$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | Mod. <br> 2 <br> 1 | PEM | 1.48 | 0.02 | Fill | 98.65 |
| Wetland 7 | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \\ W \end{gathered}$ | 27.0 | 1 | PEM/ <br> Scrub- <br> Shrub | 2.23 | 1.92 | Fill | 14.35 |
| Wetland 11 | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | 22.0 | 1 | PEM | 2.40 | 0.01 | Fill | 99.58 |
| Wetland 12 | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | 24 | 1 | PEM | 1.64 | 1.41 | Fill | 14.02 |
| Wetland 21 | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{W} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 | 1 | PEM | 0.20 | 0.12 | Fill | 40.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Wetland } \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{W} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7.0 | 1 | PEM | 0.56 | 0.05 | Fill | 91.07 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | 8.51 | 3.53 |  |  |

[^9]Ohio Department of Transportation
August 12, 2008
Page 3

## B. Jurisdictional Streams

| *Stream 1 D | Stream Location USGS Coordinate) |  | QHEIIHEI <br> Score | Desistatio | Impact Length <br> (19) | Impact Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lat | Long |  |  |  |  |
| Stream 1 (UT to Mentor Marsh | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 70 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 2 (UT to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 38$ ' $38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 40 | Culvert |
| Stream 3 (UT to Stream 1 to Mentor Marsh) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 177^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 45 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 907 | Relocation |
| Stream 4 (UT to Wasson Ditch) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 50 HHE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class II, } \\ & \text { PHWH } \end{aligned}$ | 1,050 | Relocation |
| Stream 5 (UT to Heisley Creek) | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18{ }^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64 HHEI | Class III, PHWH | 33 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 6 (Marsh Creek) | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 64.25 QHEI | WWH | 65 | Repair concrete slab |
| Stream 8 (UT to Stream 9) | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 14 HHEI | Class I, PHWH | 70 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 9 (UT to UT to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 78 HHEI | Class III, PHWH | 120 | Repair concrete slab |
| Stream 10 (Newell Creek) | $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 24 HHEI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class I, } \\ & \text { PHWH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 240 | Bridge work |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stream } 12 \text { (UT } \\ & \text { to Chagrin River) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 69 HHEI | Class III, PHWH | 161 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 13 (UT to Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 51 HHEI | Class II, PHWH | 85 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 14 (Wasson Ditch) | $41^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 HHEI | WWH, provisional | 105 | Culvert replacement |
| Stream 15 (Heisley Creek) | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 57.5 QHEI | WWH | 320 | Bridge work |
| Stream 16 (Chagrin River) | $41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $81^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 56 QHEI | WWH | 281 | Bridge work |
|  |  | TOTALS |  |  | $3547$ |  |

*As provided by applicant

## II. General Conditions

A: All water resources and their buffers which are to be avoided shall be clearly indicated on site drawings and demarcated in the field with suitable materials, prior to site disturbance. These materials shall remain in place and be maintained throughout the construction process. The water resources also shall be protected with suitable materials, including silt fencing if appropriate, prior to site disturbance. These materials shall remain in place and be maintained throughout the construction process.
B. Best Management Practices (BMPs) must be employed throughout the course of this project to avoid the creation of unnecessary turbidity which may degrade water quality or adversely affect aquatic life outside of the project area.
C. Work shall only take place during low water conditions in order to minimize adverse impacts to water quality away from the project site.
D. Temporary fill shall consist of suitable non-erodible material or shall be stabilized to prevent erosion.
E. Materials used in this project for fill or bank protection shall consist of suitable material free from toxic contaminants in other than trace quantities. Broken asphalt is specifically excluded from use as bank protection.
F. BMPs shall be taken during construction to minimize erosion.
G. BMPs shall be taken upon completion of this project, to ensure bank stability. This may include, but is not limited to, bank seeding.
H. Procedures shall be developed and implemented to eliminate the possibility of spills and to control dust that may enter the waterway by runoff or point discharge.
I. Unpermitted impacts to surface water resources and/or their buffers occurring as a result of this project will be reported within 24 hours of occurrence to Ohio EPA for further evaluation.
J. In temporary impact areas where trees have been removed to facilitate construction, they shall be replaced with appropriate native tree species.
L. Permittee shall be in compliance with the NPDES General Construction Permit for all phases of this project.
M. Other permits may be required by Ohio EPA. For information concerning application procedures, contact the Ohio EPA District Office at the following address:

Northeast District office
2110 East Aurora Road
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087
N. Representatives from the Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water will be allowed to inspect the authorized activity at any time deemed necessary to insure that it is being or has been accomplished in accordance with the terms and conditions of this water quality certification.
O. In order to protect the Indiana bat from impacts from this development, the applicant shall not cut bat habitat trees between April $15^{\text {th }}$ and September $15^{\text {th }}$.
P. The bottom elevations shall be restored as nearly as possible to preproject conditions.
Q. Blasting will not be done within or near stream channels without prior consultation with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, to determine what protective measures should be taken to minimize damage to fish and other aquatic life.
R. Cadmium chromium arsenate (CCA) and creosote treated lumber shall not be used in structures in contact with waters of the state.
S. This project may affect the drinking water wells for the adjoining City/Village. Precautions must be taken to limit any affect on the water supply. Officials at the City/Village should be notified before beginning the project and activities shall be coordinated with them.
T. If pesticide application(s) are proposed for the control of invasive plant species, a site specific application permit must be obtained by calling 614-644-2001 and speaking with the Toxicology Specialist.

## III. MITIGATION

## A. Description of Required Wetland Mitigation

The Permittee shall compensate for the 3.53 acres of impacts to jurisdictional wetlands by purchasing a minimum of 5.4 mitigation credits from the Trumbull Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank, located on SR 166 along the AshtabulaGeauga County line.

## B. Timing of Required Wetland Mitigation

The Permittee shall provide Ohio EPA with an updated balance sheet showing it has purchased 5.4 mitigation credits from the Trumbull Creek Wetlands Mitigation Bank within six months from the date of issuance of this certificate.

## C. Compensatory Stream Mitigation

The Permittee shall compensate for the estimated 3,547 linear feet of impacts to the designated streams in the project area by preserving 5,321 linear feet $(3,547$ If X 1.5) of streams at Groves Woods, a Cleveland Museum of Natural History site in Trumbull, County, Ohio. The mitigation streams consists of Class II and Class III Primary Headwater Habitat (PHWH) streams.

## B. Timing of Required Stream Mitigation

The Permittee shall obtain a minimum of 5,321 linear feet of streams that are protected with a conservation easement, in perpetuity. The Permittee shall further provide Ohio EPA with a copy of the conservation easement for the Groves Woods site within one year from the date of issuance of this certificate.

## IV. Notifications To Ohio EPA

All notifications, correspondence, and reports regarding this certification shall reference the following information:

| Permittee: | Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Enhancement of SR 2 |
|  | LAK-2-3.32, PID 13486 |

Ohio EPA ID\#: 083387
and shall be sent to: Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401 Unit
Lazarus Government Center
55 West Town Street, Suite 700
Columbus, Ohio 43216

You are hereby notified that this action of the Director is final and may be Appealed to the Environmental Review Appeals Commission pursuant to Section 3745.04 of the Ohio Revised Code. The appeal must be in writing and set forth the action complained of and the grounds upon which the appeal is based. The appeal must be filed with the Commission within thirty (30) days after notice of the Director's action. The appeal must be accompanied by a filing fee of $\$ 70.00$ which the Commission, in its discretion, may reduce if by affidavit you demonstrate that payment of the full amount of the fee would cause extreme hardship. Notice of the filing of the appeal shall be filed with the Director within three (3) days of filing with the Commission. Ohio EPA requests that a copy of the appeal be served upon the Ohio Attorney General's Office, Environmental Enforcement Section. An appeal may be filed with the Environmental Review Appeals Commission at the following address: Environmental Review Appeals Commission, 309 South Fourth Street, Room 222, Columbus, OH 43215

Sincerely,


Chris Korleski
Director
cc: Deborah L Wegmann, Team Leader, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Huntington District, Ohio Regulatory Transportation Office Wayne Gorki, U.S. EPA, Region 5, William Cody, Asst. Administrator, OES/ODOT Mike Pettegrew, Supervisor, Waterway Permits Unit, OES/ODOT Don Rostofer, Supervisor, Ecological Unit, OES/ODOT
Karen L. Hallberg, USF\&W (Reynoldsburg Office) Brian Mitch, ODNR
Ed Wilk, NEDO/Ohio EPA
Joe Loucek, NEDO/Ohio EPA

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 15, 2009

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 20
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revise the following Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 150 | 302 E 46000 | 115117 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 154 | 408 E 10000 | 168370 | GAL | Prime Coat |
| 650 | 840 E 23000 | 1460 | CY | Select Granular Backfill |
| 692 | 840 E 23000 | 1452 | CY | Select Granular Backfill |
| 1018 | 203 E 10000 | 399583 | CY | Excavation |
| 1019 | 203 E 20000 | 310494 | CY | Embankment |
| 1025 | 203 E 10000 | 392488 | CY | Excavation |
| 1026 | 203 E 20000 | 327642 | CY | Embankment |
| 1032 | 304 E 20000 | 69051 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 1034 | 888 E 14060 | 392880 | SY | Portland Cement Concrete Pavement, 11.5" Thick (Non- <br> Reinforced Per 452) |

Delete the following items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 489 | $203 E 35120$ | 470 | CY | Granular Material, Type C |

Add the following items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Alt. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1056 |  | 202 E 22900 | 134 | SY | Approach Slab Removed |
| 1057 |  | 202E22900 | 134 | SY | Approach Slab Removed |
| 1058 | AA2 | 302 E 46000 | 1668 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64- <br> 22 |
| 1059 | AA2 | 407 E 10000 | 1358 | GAL | Tack Coat |
| 1060 | AA2 | 407 E 14000 | 679 | GAL | Tack Coat for Intermediate <br> Course |
| 1061 | AA2 | 408 E 10000 | 2401 | GAL | Prime Coat |

## Add the following note:

In addition to the provisions of SS888 Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Using QC/QA and all Item 452 Non-Reinforced Portland Cement Concrete Pavement, the Contractor shall provide a concrete mix design that meets the following requirements:

## Fine Aggregate

The Fineness Modulus must be 2.7 or greater.

## Coarse Aggregate

The use ODOT No. 8 aggregates is not allowed in any concrete pavement mix design.

## Well Graded Combined Aggregate Mix Design

The Contractor shall propose a combined aggregate gradation that provides a well-graded concrete mix for each proposed concrete mix to be used.

The Contractor shall submit, for review and acceptance, the proposed combined aggregate gradation with all supporting documentation that demonstrates a well-graded concrete mix design. The Engineer will have 14 calendar days to review the submittal. If, in the opinion of the Engineer, there is not enough supporting documentation for the proposed mix design, the Engineer will request additional information and the 14 calendar day period begins when the additional information is received by the Engineer.

The review and acceptance of the proposed mix design does not negate the provisions of ODOT Specification Item 451.16.

The Contractor shall sample all aggregates for gradation testing prior to each day's paving and provide those results to the Engineer prior to beginning paving. No paving shall commence when the test results are not within the gradation limits established for the mix design.

One additional random sample shall be tested during each paving day to ensure conformity with the reviewed and accepted mix design. Additional testing and adjustments shall be conducted by the Contractor as needed to maintain the combined gradation within the reviewed and accepted mix design limits.

The provisions stated above do not eliminate or replace any of the provisions stated in SS888. These sampling and testing requirements shall be consistent with the QC/QA provisions.

## Payment

These requirements are considered incidental to their respective bid items.
LINKED to this addendum is:
PAVEMENT-CALCS-REV20081120.XLS

ATTACHED to this addendum is:
DOC011309-003.pdf

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: There are bid items for removal of approach slabs on all bridges except $0486 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{R}$ Erie Rd bridges. Please provide bid items for the removal of the approach slabs in an addendum.
A: Quantities for the approach slab removal have been included in addendum \#20.
Q: Revised earthwork quantities, shown on the files provided with addendum Q on the Department's FTP site ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/, have once again omitted all excavation and embankment work for all side streets (AA1 \& AA2). The quantities for side streets listed on Plan page 264/1679 are not shown in the revised earthwork summary (Addendum Q). The Department has added 44,720 CY of excavation for shoulders as answered in a pre-bid question (dated 12/16/2008). Our Detailed shoulder excavation takeoff cannot justify this magnitude of change in quantity. Please provide revised cross section and detailed summary sheets of how these quantities have been derived and include in an addendum.
A: The excavation and embankment quantities have been revised in addendum \#20 to include the side streets.

Q: Bid item 489 is 203 Granular Material Type C (703.16C) 470 cy. Plan sheet 1565 / 1679 shows that 203 Granular Material Type C at the bottom of the MSE embankment. Bid item 494 is item 840 Foundation Preparation 1150 sy. Placement of Granular material 703.16C is incidental to item 840 Foundation Preparation. There appears to be duplication of the Granular Material Type C material. Should bid item 489 be deleted?
A: Bid item 489 has been deleted in addendum \#20.
Q: Why is there a difference in surface area quantities being bid for the AA1 442 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course and the AA2 888 Non-reinforced Concrete Pavement? Item 442-16,370 CY x 36" / $1.5 "=392,880$ SY which does not = Item $888-386,215$ SY. Please revise one quantity or the other in an addendum.
A: The 888 item and the concrete pavement calcs have been revised in addendum \#20.
Q: The revised plan quantity calculation sheet for the Vine Street Ramps labeled as ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/LAK-13486-AddendumQPavementQuantitiesRevised.xls still include quantities that are grossly overstated. Please check the cell formulas for cells Q52, Q53, Q54, Q55, and all Totals listed in row 72 (duplications due to subtotals being included in the column summation) then revise the appropriate quantities in an addendum.
A: The spreadsheet and quantities have been revised in addendum \#20.
Q: Again we will ask how is the pavement widening on side roads SR 306 and SR 91 to be handled if the concrete alternate is accepted? The oversight exists in that the Department has not provided any quantity for Item 302 in widening slots if concrete alternate AA2 is accepted.
A: The asphalt quantities have been added to AA2 in addendum \#20.
Q: For Bridge No. LAK-2-0400 (SR 2 Over Vine St.) the abutment cross-section shown on plan page 1348 clearly defines the area for Item 840 - Select Granular Backfill and the area for Granular Material, Type C. Please verify that the 3,398 cy of Select Granular Backfill only includes the quantity specified as Item 840 and does not include the quantity for Granular Material, Type C.

Our takeoff quantity for Item 840 - Select Granular Backfill yielded a quantity approximately 400 cy less than the plan quantity of 3,398 cy. Also, our quantity for the Granular Material, Type C was approximately 400 cy. It was our presumption that the Granular Material, Type C quantity was included in the quantity for Item 840 - Select Granular Backfill. Please verify.

A: The Type C Granular Material was inadvertently included with the Select Granular Backfill. Therefore, the Total Select Granular Backfill quantity for both bridges should be adjusted to 3000 SY and the Type C Granular Material should be included with the Foundation Preparation for payment per supplemental Specification 840. The quantities have been adjusted in addendum \#20.

Q: Maintaining traffic- plan sheet 133 of original maintenance of traffic design (for informational purposes only) stated that "Entrance Ramp (Ramp E, the westbound on-ramp at Lost Nation) To Be Closed During Phase B Per MT 101.60". Note that the ramp is not being reconstructed during this closure in this phase. Addendum 10 gives the contractor 45 days closure for this ramp with disincentives of $\$ 5,000$ per day beyond 45 days. It appears that the reasoning for this ramp closure could have been due to a combination of lack of: acceleration length, curvature, and/or safe distances from the Lost Nation overhead bridge and Chagrin River bridge. The ramp itself is set for reconstruction during the outside WB phase (original stage 2 , phase C ). If the ramp is to be closed for both phases, then the number of days closed will easily go beyond 45 days. Does this ramp need to be shut down while westbound traffic is on the existing outside shoulder? If so, will ODOT revise the
length of closure to extend beyond 45 days?
Also, to confirm addendum 10, under stage 1 work to be completed notes, ramps A,E,B,F can be closed for 45 days. Stage 2 work to be completed notes also state that ramps A,E,B,F can be closed 45 days. The disincentive table shows 45 days closure with disincentives after 45 days. Please confirm whether or not the contractor will be allowed two closures of up to 45 days at these respective ramps.

A: As stated in Addendum 10, Ramps A, E, B and F can be closed for 45 days each during Stage 1, when the Lost Nation Rd bridge will be reconstructed and the approach pavement will be replaced. Also, as stated in Addendum 10, Ramps A, B and F can be closed for 45 days each during Stage 2, when the SR-2 pavement will be replaced. During Stage 2, the 45 day closure restriction for Ramp E shall be waived due to the lack of acceleration length that would be required when the SR-2 WB traffic is placed on the outside shoulder to construct the median pavement.

Q: Does item \# 404-"11in Reinforced Concrete Pavement" - 6563 sy (set up in MOT plans page 53 of 1679) get removed or does it stay in place upon completion of the project? If it gets removed how is the pavement removal paid for?
A: If the successful bidder elects to utilize crossovers in their MOT plans, the reinforced concrete may be used in areas of unsuitable soil. The pavement would require removal. Any removal should be accounted for in the Lump Sum MOT quantity.

Q: Please clarify how the quantities were determined for Ref. 47 and 48 . What is this material, and where is it located?
A: There is a general note on sheet $46 B / 1679$ which explains the quantities for reference numbers 47 and 48. The special provisions asbestos inspection report for parcel 9, dated 3/24/08 describes the materials found and where they are located.

Q: The lump sum Asbestos Abatement item (Ref. 49) has notes on plan sheet $46 / 1679$ that are confusing. Several of the structures listed there are not even within the scope of this project. For the structures that are in this project, why are the Square Foot and Linear Foot quantities shown not part of Ref. 47 and 48 ?
A: Ref item 49 refers to the asbestos removal from the bridges which will be paid as a lump sum. The Item Special - Asbestos Abatement note has been revised in a previous addendum.

Q: In the Special Provision "Ohio EPA Notification of Demolition Renovation", the reports seem to correspond to the items listed for the lump sum Ref. 49. However, the reports that quantify asbestos containing materials show the materials as being "Non Friable Asbestos Material NOT to be Removed, Category I". Do these materials need to be removed as part of this project?
A: The Item Special - Asbestos Abatement note has been revised in a previous addendum.
Q: Also in the asbestos Special Provision, several structure are reported as having asbestos, but no material or quantity is mentioned.
A: The Item Special - Asbestos Abatement note has been revised in a previous addendum.
Q: For the Building Demolition, the plan notes on Sheet 46A state that asbestos abatement will be performed by the contractor. Where is this work to be paid for? Are there asbestos reports indicating what needs to be removed?
A: The asbestos removal for the building to be demolished at 36628 Vine St. will be paid under ref items 47 and 48. A detailed report indicating what needs to be removed can be found in Special Provisions Asbestos Inspection Report for Parcel 9, dated 3/24/08.

Q: Addendum 9, which came out on November 20, 2008, called for removal or non-removal of asbestos on structures that are not being worked on within the job (LAK-2-4.56, LAK-2-9.55, LAK-2-11.41, LAK-2-11.69, LAK-2-12.62, LAK-44-6.20, LAK-2-13.54, LAK-2-14.28, LAK-2-14.76, LAK-215.17). Please verify that no work is to be done on these structures since they are not within limits of the project.
A: LAK-2-4.56 is included in this project, but not the others.



From consultant's spreadsheet 1,571,287 $\omega B \Rightarrow L=23,000^{\circ} \quad \omega=$ varies area of monte $=1, \frac{1,511,2875 F}{}$ $E B \Rightarrow L=23,012^{\prime}$ $\omega=$ varies are e of

Hem $888=335,500 \mathrm{sy}$
for Item $304 \Rightarrow$ add $6^{\prime \prime}$ step and $33.75^{\prime \prime}$ under barrier

$$
\begin{aligned}
W B=\left(23000^{\circ}\right)\left(1.90625^{\prime}\right) & =43843.75 \mathrm{SF} \\
E B=\left(23011^{\prime}\right)\left(1.90625^{\prime}\right) & =43866.625 \mathrm{SF} \\
\text { tote } & =87710.375 \mathrm{SF} \\
& =9745.6 \mathrm{Sy}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 342,167 \\
& -335,500+9746=351,913 \\
& (351,9,3 \\
& (3452446)(61 / 36)=585246 \\
& 57541 \mathrm{cy}
\end{aligned}
$$

$1 \mathrm{tm} 304=\begin{gathered}58652 \\ 5754\end{gathered}$
for Item $206 \Rightarrow$ add $12^{\prime \prime}$ step

$$
\begin{aligned}
W B=(23000)\left(1^{\prime}\right) & =23000 \mathrm{SF} \\
E B=\left(23011^{\prime}\right)\left(1^{\prime}\right) & =23012 \mathrm{SF} \\
\text { tote } & =46,012 \mathrm{SF} \\
& =5112 \mathrm{sy}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
351,9,3 \\
345,245+5112= \\
357,025 \\
350,358 \text { sy } \\
\\
\text { Hem } 206=357,025 \\
350,358 \text { sy }
\end{gathered}
$$

ramps
from cmsuctant's spreadsheet:
Vine st ramps 11,459sy
Lost vatinu ramps $18,6425 y$
SR-91 $+S R$-306 ramps $20,6145 y$

$$
\text { tote }=50,7155 y
$$


item $888=50,715 \mathrm{sy}$

Hem $304 \Rightarrow$ add $6^{\prime \prime}$ step

Vine stramps:
107906 SF $\quad L=2304^{\prime}$
add $6^{\prime \prime}$ step $=2304 \mathrm{sf}$

SR-91:
39,109 SF $L=4190^{\circ}$
add 6" step $=4190 \mathrm{sf}$

Lost Natim:
$167,782 \mathrm{SF} \quad L=4282$
add $6^{\prime \prime}$ step $=4282$ SF
item $304=9249 \mathrm{cy}$
SR-306:
$157,919 \mathrm{SF} \quad L=15,939$
add $6^{\prime \prime}$ step $=15,939 \mathrm{SF}$

Vine $\Rightarrow 110210$ SF $=12246$ sy
Lost Nate $\Rightarrow 172064 S F=191185 \mathrm{~S}$
$S R-306 \Rightarrow 173858 S F=19318 s y$
$S R-91 \Rightarrow 43299 S F=48115 y$
ramps (cm't)
item $206 \Rightarrow$ add 12". step

Vine $\Rightarrow$ add 4608 sf
Lost Nation $\Rightarrow$ add 8564 SF
SR -30 $\Rightarrow$ add 31878 SF
$S R-91 \Rightarrow$ add 8380 SF

Item $206=61,430 \mathrm{sy}$
totals
item $888=386,215$ sy

Item $304=66,790 \mathrm{cy}$.

Item $206=411,788$ sy

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 15, 2009

Re: Project 080597
Addendum No. 21
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 21, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revise the following Items:

| Ref. <br> No. | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Un <br> it | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 167 | 609 E 26000 | 10125 | FT | Curb, Type 6 |
| 168 | 609 E 54000 | 311 | SY | 6" Concrete Traffic Island |
| 1008 | 448 E 47020 | 531 | CY | Asphalt Concrete, Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22 |
| 1048 | 448 E 46050 | 669 | CY | Asphalt Concrete, Intermediate Course, Type 2, PG64-22 |
| 492 | 840 E 20001 | 4593 | SF | Mechanically Stabilized Earth Wall, As Per Plan |
| 936 | 606 E 10920 | 11040 | SF | Special - Noise Barrier. Misc.: Structure Mounted TL-4 Noise <br> Barrier |
| 1026 | 203 E 20000 | 318742 | CY | Embankment |

Add the following items:

| Section | Item <br> Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1062 | 511 E34434 | 25.33 | CY | Class S Concrete, Bridge Deck |
| 1063 | 621 E00100 | 1763 | EAC <br> H | RPM |

Revise the following note on sheet 47:
Item 614 - Law Enforcement Officer (With Patrol Car) For Assistance During Construction
Delete the $5^{\text {th }}$ paragraph which states: Routing patrolling through the work zone (with flashing lights off) as specified in the plans.

Revise the note on sheet 967 :

## VI. Method of Measurement

Delete the sentence which states: Where a noise barrier is constructed behind a concrete parapet, the bottom of the wall shall be defined as the top of the parapet for measurement purposes.

LINKED to this addendum are revised plan sheets 14, 35, 630, 632.
In-stream work restrictions table in .pdf format is attached to this addendum.

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: According to addendum \#10, ODOT will only pay up to the plan quantities for the established MOT bid items. Under the LEO bid item, we are supposed to include "Routine patrolling through the work zone (with flashing lights off) as specified in the plans". This is an "as directed" item that cannot be quantified prior to the bid. This project could be under construction for 1000 days. Just to patrol 10 hours a day would add 10,000 LEO hr to our bid, which, using average unit prices, would increase the Maintaining Traffic, LS cost by almost $\$ 500,000$. This project is located in three different
municipalities, who with their police departments, county sheriff forces, and state highway patrols routinely patrol these roads as is. Please remove this note from the LEO bid item so that all contractors can provide equivalent bids.
A: I have deleted that requirement in addendum \#21.
Q: Addendum 18 provided plan sheets of the existing SR 91 bridges over Lakeland Blvd. and SR 2. Reference 7, Traffic Island Removed, has quantity to be removed on top of both structures. For the Lakeland Bridge, the replacement called for per plan sheet 35, detail AE is a curbed widening with full depth stone and asphalt pavement totalling 19" deep. The existing deck thickness is 8.25 ". On structure over SR 2, plan sections call for pavement removal ane replacement to a similar depth (19") without new curb, and an existing deck thickness of $8.5^{\prime \prime}$. In both cases, the depth of reconstruction goes below the existing bridge decks. Please revisit what should be done with removal and replacement when these islands are on existing bridge decks in an addendum.
A: Details and quantities have been provided in addendum \#21.
Q: Addendum No. 18 provided existing structure information for SR91 Bridge over Lakeland Blvd. and SR91 Bridge over SR-2. Please provide details and scope as to how ODOT wants the pavement and islands removed and the new proposed pavement section constructed. There will be significant structure work to these two bridges in order to remove the islands and pavement including bridge deck and reinforcing removals. Details on pg 35 AE , AG and H cannot be utilized. The proposed pavement thickness exceeds the existing deck thickness. This work should be considered structure work and not normal roadway and pavement work. Please review these existing structures and new proposed work and advise via Addenda with details.
A: Details and quantities have been provided in addendum \#21.
Q: Sheet 956 calls out the noisewall on top of the moment slab as fiberglass, however the noisewall plans do not include any fiberglass noisewall. It appears that this portion of Wall B is included for payment with the rest of the ground mounted wall. Please clarify as to material type and where it is to be paid.
A: The noise wall material is transparent, not fiberglass and it is paid for as ref \# 930.
Q: Reference No. 175 for the moment slab should be itemized by the linear foot or cubic yard and provide a breakdown of the rebar since this is a structural element similar to MSE wall sleeper slabs.
A: Ref \#175 is inclusive of all required items. Bid as per plan.
Q: Ref. 7- removal of existing medians on SR 91 bridge over Lakeland and SR 2 will leave exposed bridge deck. There will be old vertical bars that tie the median to the deck. There will be an existing deck with dozens of old vertical bar holes and a surface that will be uncertain due to how well the raised median bonded to the deck surface. There are no bid items set up for repair of the holes as well as treatment of the existing deck surface prior to any restoration work being done. Also, given that this removal is over a bridge deck, are there any restrictions on what equipment and/or methods can or cannot be used? Please address this in an addendum.
A: Details and quantities have been provided in addendum \#21.
Q: The noisewall Method of Measurement on sheet 967 states that the top of parapet shall be defined as the bottom of barrier when the wall is constructed behind a barrier. It appears that ODOT Reference No. 930 is for 3,928 sf of wall attached to the moment slab in Wall B. The plan quantity accounts for the entire wall panel as shown on sheet 1010-1011 per the note on sheet 968 . Please verify that no deduct will be made for any wall below the top of barrier.
A: The entire SF of noise wall will be paid for; there will be no deduct for noisewall below the top of barrier. The language in the plans has been revised in addendum \#21.

Q: We can not find the exact start and end stations for the moment slab (Reference No. 175). Please provide or tell us what plan sheet to look on.
A: $\quad$ Slab Limits $=$ Sta. $352+22.53$ to Sta. $356+10.47=387.94$

Q: Typical details for sleeper slabs and parapets provide a construction joint between the two elements at the bottom of the parapet. Please clarify if such a joint will be allowed on the moment slab shown on sheet 977 of the plans. This would be extremely difficult to construct without said joint.
A: A joint will be allowed.
Q: Please provide Noise Barrier Moment Slab detail for the Concrete Pavement Alternate indicating how ODOT proposes to adjoin the new $11 \frac{1 / 2}{}$ " concrete pavement to this concrete moment slab. Current details shown on page 956 and 977 are based on asphalt paving only.
A: For the concrete pavement alternative, asphalt will be placed over the moment slab as it is detailed for the asphalt pavement alternative. The asphalt item added is Item 448 - Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type 1, PG64-22.

The slab has a minimum amount of asphalt at the toe of the barrier $=3$ " $\left(0.25^{\prime}\right)$
The slab has a maximum amount of asphalt at $8.42^{\prime}$ from the face of the barrier $=0.25^{\prime}+\left(8.42^{\prime}\right)(0.04$ slope) $=0.59^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Cross Section Area }=1 / 2\left(0.25{ }^{\prime}+0.59^{\prime}\right)\left(8.42^{\prime}\right)=3.54 \mathrm{sf} \\
& \text { Volume of Asphalt }=(3.54 \mathrm{sf})\left(387.94^{\prime}\right)=1373.31 \mathrm{cf}=50.76 \mathrm{CY} \text { of asphalt }
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition to the quantity added to ref \#1008, I also added 5.4 CY that was inadvertently missed form a previous addendum concerning Stevens Blvd.

EXCLUSIONARY DATES FOR IN-STREAM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES (ODNR Division of Wildlife Statewide In-Water Work Restrictions 8/12/05)


ODNR will continue to provide project specific recommendations in our comments and, as in the past, project specific waivers or modifications of the period may be requested from ODNR by contacting DOW at 614.265.6631.

In-Stream Construction Activities Allowed
In-Stream Construction Activities Not Allowed

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 22, 2009

Re: Project 080597 Addendum No. 22
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 28, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

[^10]Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation
TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

## Revise the following Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150 | 302E46000 | 119093 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 |
| 151 | 304E20000 | 74197 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 152 | 407E10000 | 40278 | Gal | Tack Coat |
| 153 | 407E14000 | 20139 | Gal | Tack Coat for Intermediate Course |
| 154 | 408E10000 | 167490 | Gal | Prime Coat |
| 155 | 442E10001 | 16217 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, 12.5 MM, Type A (446), As Per Plan |
| 156 | 442E10100 | 18910 | CY | Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, 19 MM, Type A (446) |
| 166 | 609E14000 | 9407 | FT | Curb, Type 2A |
| 167 | 609 E 26000 | 8073 | FT | Curb, Type 6 |
| 172 | 622 E 10100 | 20348 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type B |
| 173 | 622E10160 | 7713 | FT | Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D |
| 346 | 632 E 64010 | 31 | Each | Signal Support Foundation |
| 359 | 632 E 80500 | 6 | Each | Signal Support, Type TC-81.20, Design 11 |
| 936 | 606E10920 | 5520 | SF | Special - Noise Barrier. Misc.: Structure Mounted TL-4 Noise Barrier |
| 1014 | 622 E 25050 | 30 | Each | Concrete Barrier End Anchor, Reinforced, Type D |
| 1020 | 206E10500 | 12254 | Ton | Cement |
| 1021 | 206E11000 | 412597 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 1022 | 206E15000 | 412597 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade 12" Deep |
| 1023 | 206E20000 | 206 | Hour | Test Rolling |
| 1027 | 206E10500 | 12265 | Ton | Cement |
| 1028 | 206E11000 | 412970 | SY | Curing Coat |
| 1029 | 206E15000 | 412970 | SY | Cement Stabilized Subgrade 12" Deep |
| 1030 | 206E20000 | 206 | Hour | Test Rolling |
| 1032 | 304E20000 | 72918 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 1034 | 888E14060 | 389208 | SY | Portland Cement Concrete Pavement, 11.5" Thick (NonReinforced Per 452) |
| 246 | 621 E 00100 | AA1 | 1763 | Each $\quad$ RPM |

Revise Sheet 1546:

The AS1001 bars in the Rear and Forward Approach Slab Reinforcing Schedules should be revised to read:

Bar Length=31’-0"
Bar Type=25
Dimension A=29'-7"
Weight=7870 LBS.
Rear Total Weight $=12988$ LBS.
Forward Total Weight=13060 LBS.

## Answers to Prebid Questions:

Q: Ref. 892 approach slab for 0530EN reinforcing listed on sheet 1546 shows mark AS1001 as being a straight bar. The standard drawing indicates a 180 degree hook @ the abutment end of the bar. Can you please verify that you want this bar straight with no hook?
A: AS1001 bar type has been revised in addendum \#22.
Q: Please compare the number of signal supports to be installed per Plan Sheets $1167,1170,1173,1177$, 1180, 1183, 1186, 1189, 1194 and 1197 and compare it to the information contained on the signal support charts per Plan Sheets 1169, 1172, 1175, 1179, 1182, 1185, 1188, 1191, 1196 and 1199 there are numerous discrepancies where neither match the plan summary or the bid line items. Please clarify.

Reference Number 346 has a total quantity of 32 each signal support foundations, however if you add the quantities contained in Reference Numbers 354 thru 372 there are a total of only 30 different types of signal supports. Please clarify.
A: The quantities have been revised in addendum \#22.
Q: There appears to be conflicts with Reference No. 173, Concrete Barrier Single Slope Type D. Summary sheets 250, 251, Ref B-2 and B-3 plan pages 284 and 285 approximate Stations 352+00 to $356+00$ show this barrier in the same location as the Noise Barrier Moment Slab. Moment slab details, page 977 indicate this barrier wall to be inclusive to the moment slab item with reinforcement. Please advise if this barrier should be included with the Noise Barrier Moment Slab line item or Single Slope Type D Barrier line item.
A: The barrier has been subtracted in addendum \#22.
Q: Also, page 286 and 287, Code B-4, Station $373+80$ to $380+23$ LT shows Single Slope Type D. Cross sections appear to show this as a Type B Barrier. Please review and advise as to which barrier type should be constructed.

A: Sheet 287/252 - Item B-4 bubble doesn't show up on the plan sheet. It shows up as Type B Barrier APP in the subsummary like it should, but the number should be 946 . (Already picked up with previous addendum)
Sheet 288/252 - Item B-2 is quantified as Type B APP as it should be, but the end station is wrong. It should end at station $392+75$, for a total of 25 ' on that sheet. (Already picked up with previous addendum)

Q: Regarding Ref. 166 Curb, Type 2A. There appears to be curb that is counted twice. Sheet 247 refers to 5717 LF of curb quantified in the "office calcs." This quantity appears to be the same area as depicted on sheet 259. Please verify the actual quantity for Curb, Type 2A.
A: The quantity for curb, type 2A has been revised in addendum \#22. Also see the most current quantity spreadsheets.

Q: The question on the Type 6 Curb quantities asked on January 14 and answered on January 15th. It appears that the proposal quantity still reflects the 2052 LF from 358+77 to 379+29 RT on Lost Nation Ramp D. Please revise the quantity in the proposal.
A: The quantity has been revised in addendum \#22.
Q: There is extensive roadway work required under the CSX structure that is located in Stage 3, however, there has been no Railroad Protective insurance bid item set up on this project. Is CSX going to
require this policy? If so, a bid item needs to be added along with the information needed for contractors to receive a premium quote for this policy.
A: No railroad construction agreement is necessary.

## Ohio Department of Transportation

 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus, OHIO 43223THE DEPARTMENT USES THE BID EXPRESS WEBSITE, http://www.bidx.com AS AN OFFICIAL REPOSITORY FOR ELECTRONIC BID SUBMITTAL. BIDDERS MUST PREPARE THEIR BIDS ELECTRONICALLY USING EXPEDITE AND SUBMITTED VIA BID EXPRESS.

January 23, 2009

Re: Project 080597
PID No. 13486
LAK-2-3.32
Major Widening
Letting: January 28, 2009

Notice to all Bidders and Suppliers to please be advised of the attached Proposal Addendum.

The quantity sheets that show revised items will no longer be attached to the addenda. All Reference Item revisions are reflected in the EBS files (Expedite) for this project.

For internet access to information referenced in this addendum, please see the ODOT web-site at:
ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LAK-13486/

ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

Respectfully,


James G. Beasley
Director
Department of Transportation

TP:jwt

# Proposal Addendum <br> For <br> LAK-2-3.32; PID 13486 <br> Project 080597 

Revise the following Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 608 E 4900 | 22 | Each | Curb Ramp |
| 425 | 614 E 13000 | 225 | CY | Asphalt Concrete for Maintaining Traffic |
| 448 | 616 E 10000 | 3155 | MGA <br> L | Water |

## Delete the following items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401 | 410 E 12000 | 480 | CY | Traffic Compacted Surface, Type A |

## Added Items:

| Ref. No. | Item Number | Quantity | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1064 | 608 E 52000 | 75 | SF | Curb Ramp |
| 1065 | 613 E 41300 | 66 | CY | Low Strength Mortar Backfill, Type 2 |

Delete the following note:
Sheet 48/1679 and Sheet 56B/1679 Item 614, Maintaining Traffic (Estimated Quantities).
Revise the following notes:
Sheet 53/1679 and Sheet 56G/1679 Unsuitable Soils at Crossover Locations should read:
Unsuitable soils may be encountered at crossover locations. The following quantities are provided for use by the contractor, if crossovers are utilized.

| 395 | 204E13000 | 1530 | CY | Excavation of Subgrade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 396 | 204E30010 | 1530 | CY | Granular Material, Type B |
| 399 | 304E20000 | 815 | CY | Aggregate Base |
| 404 | 451E15500 | 6563 | SY | 11" Reinforced Concrete Pavement |

Sheet 52/1679 and Sheet 56F/1679 Drainage Items During Construction:
Delete the wording "as directed by the engineer".
Sheets 17B and 17C have been revised and linked to this addendum in order to include changes to the concrete median barrier details.


[^0]:    ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

[^1]:    ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

[^2]:    ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

[^3]:    ADDENDA AND/OR AMENDMENTS MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE MISCELLANEOUS SECTION OF THE EXPEDITE (EBS) FILE IN ORDER FOR YOUR BID TO BE CONSIDERED FOR AWARD OF THIS PROJECT. BID EXPRESS WILL NOT ACCEPT BIDS THAT DO NOT HAVE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATED. FAILURE TO INCORPORATE CHANGED QUANTITIES OR ITEMS IN YOUR EXPEDITE (EBS) SUBMISSIONS WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR BID.

[^4]:    As provided by applicant, ${ }^{4}$ Palustrine Scrub-Shrub
    ${ }^{2}$ Non-Forest. ${ }^{3}$ Palustrine Emergent Marsh
    ${ }^{1}$ Forest, ${ }^{3}$ Palustrine Forested

[^5]:    Ted Strickland, Governor Lee Fisher, Lieutenant Governor Chris Korleski, Director
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